CONFIDENTIAL ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	x
4	DONALD GRAHAM,
5	Plaintiff,
6	V. Case No.
7	1:15-cv-10160-SHS
8	RICHARD PRINCE, GAGOSIAN GALLERY INC. and LAWRENCE GAGOSIAN,
9	Defendants.
10	ERIC McNATT
11	Plaintiff
12	V. Case No.
13	1:15-cv-28896-SHS
14	RICHARD PRINCE, GAGOSIAN GALLERY INC. and LAWRENCE GAGOSIAN
15	Defendants.
16	x
17	10:30 a.m.
18	July 12, 2018 200 Park Avenue
19	New York, New York
20	* CONFIDENTIAL *
21	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION of ALLAN D.
22	COLEMAN, an Expert Witness in the above entitled matter, pursuant to Notice,
23	before Stephen J. Moore, a Registered Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime
24	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of New York.
25	1.0.1 10111.

1	ALLAN COLEMAN
2	APPEARANCES:
3	CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE, LLP
4	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
5	825 Eighth Avenue
6	New York, New York 10019.
7	
8	BY: NICOLE PELES, ESQ.
9	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
10	Attorneys for Richard Prince
11	And Blum & Poe
12	1840 Century Park East
13	Los Angeles, California 90067
14	
15	BY: IAN C. BALLON, ESQ.
16	- and -
17	DALE GOLDSTEIN, ESQ.
18	DONTZIN NAGY & FLEISSIG LLP
19	Attorneys for Gagosian Gallery
20	980 Madison Avenue
21	New York, New York 10075.
22	
23	BY: TRACY O. APPLETON, ESQ.
24	ALSO PRESENT:
25	BRIAN SEXTON, ESQ.

					_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN				
2	EXAM	EXAMINATION BY PAGE			10:22:37
3	MR. I	MR. BALLON		6	10:22:37
4		EXHIBITS			10:22:37
5	210	Notice of Deposition	15	17	10:22:37
6	211	Rebuttal report of Allan	16	12	10:22:37
7		Douglas Coleman			10:22:37
8	212	Additional CV material	17	19	10:22:37
9	213	Settlement in the In re:	97	8	10:22:37
10		Literary Works in Electronic			10:22:37
11		Databases Copyright Litigation			10:22:37
12		case			10:22:37
13	214	Post from Mr. Coleman blog	153	5	10:22:37
14		entitled "The Photographer and			10:22:37
15		the Painting"			10:22:37
16	215	Twitter compendium	200	21	10:22:37
17					10:22:37
18	216 -	- NOT MARKED			10:22:37
19	217	Blog post by Mr. Coleman	217	20	10:22:37
20	218	Compendium - NOT DISCUSSED ON THE	RECO	RD	10:22:37
21	219 -	- 221 EXHIBITS NOT MAR	KED		10:22:37
22	222	Updated CV of Mr. Coleman	238	19	10:22:37
23					
24					
25					

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning,	10:22:57
3	everyone.	10:22:57
4	This is the video operator	10:22:58
5	speaking, Robert Gibbs, of Epiq Court	10:22:59
6	Reporting, 240 West 35th Street, New York,	10:23:02
7	New York 10001.	10:23:05
8	Today is July 12, 2018, and the	10:23:08
9	time is 10:23 a.m.	10:23:10
10	We are at the offices of Greenberg	10:23:14
11	Traurig, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New	10:23:16
12	York, New York to take the videotaped	10:23:19
13	deposition of Mr. Allan D. Coleman in the	10:23:24
14	matter of multiple cases.	10:23:26
15	Case 1, Donald Graham versus	10:23:28
16	Richard Prince, et al., case number	10:23:30
17	KV-10160-SAS.	10:23:33
18	Case number 2, Eric McNatt versus	10:23:39
19	Richard Prince, et al., case number	10:23:43
20	CV-08896-SHS.	10:23:46
21	Both cases in the United States	10:23:52
22	District Court for the Southern District	10:23:54
23	of New York.	10:23:56
24	Will counsel please introduce	10:23:57
25	themselves for the record.	10:23:58
1		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	MR. BALLON: Ian Ballon,	10:24:00
3	Greenberg Traurig, for Defendants	10:24:02
4	Richard Prince and Blum & Poe.	10:24:03
5	MS. GOLDSTEIN: Dale Goldstein	10:24:06
6	from Greenberg Traurig for Defendants	10:24:07
7	Richard Prince and Blum & Poe.	10:24:09
8	MS. APPLETON: Tracy Appleton	10:24:11
9	from Dontzin, Nagy & Fleissig on behalf	10:24:12
10	of Gagosian Gallery, Inc. and Laurence	10:24:14
11	Gagosian.	10:24:16
12	MR. SEXTON: Brian Sexton,	10:24:17
13	general counsel for Richard Prince.	10:24:18
14	MS. PELES: Nicole Peles from	10:24:20
15	Cravath Swaine & Moore, on behalf of	10:24:22
16	Plaintiffs.	10:24:23
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you,	10:24:24
18	everyone.	10:24:25
19	Will the court reporter, Stephen	10:24:25
20	Moore of Epiq Court Reporting, please	10:24:27
21	swear the witness.	10:24:29
22		10:24:30
23	ALLAN D. COLEMAN, called as	10:24:30
24	a witness, having been first duly sworn by	10:24:30
25	the Notary Public, was examined and	10:24:30
l		

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	testif	Eied as follows:	10:24:30
3			10:24:39
4		THE VIDEOGRAPHER: You may	10:24:39
5	procee	ed, counsel.	10:24:40
6			10:24:40
7	EXAMINATION BY	<u></u>	10:24:40
8	MR. BALLON:		10:24:40
9			10:24:40
10	Q	Good morning, sir.	10:24:41
11	А	Good morning.	10:24:41
12	Q	Could you please state your name	10:24:42
13	for the record	d.	10:24:43
14	А	Yes, my full name is Allan	10:24:43
15	Douglass Colem	man, and I write professionally as	10:24:45
16	A.D. Coleman.		10:24:49
17	Q	Thank you, Mr. Coleman.	10:24:51
18		And where do you currently live?	10:24:52
19	А	Staten Island, New York.	10:24:54
20	Q	How old are you?	10:24:56
21	А	I am 74.	10:24:57
22	Q	Have you been deposed before?	10:24:58
23	A	Yes, I have.	10:24:59
24	Q	How many times?	10:25:00
25	A	Seven or eight.	10:25:04

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York www.deposition.com 1-800-325-3376

				,
	1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	Q	Okay. Have you been deposed as	10:25:05
	3	an expert witne	ss before?	10:25:08
	4	А	Yes, I have.	10:25:09
	5	Q	How many times?	10:25:10
	6	А	The same number.	10:25:12
	7	Q	Have you been deposed in any	10:25:14
	8	cases where you	were not a designated as a	10:25:15
	9	potential exper	t?	10:25:18
	10	А	No.	10:25:19
	11	Q	So, tell me about the seven or	10:25:21
	12	eight times whe	n you previously were deposed as	10:25:22
	13	an expert.		10:25:26
	14	А	They go back quite a ways. I	10:25:27
	15	gave a list to	counsel for the Plaintiffs.	10:25:28
	16		One was a case involving an	10:25:35
	17	accusation of c	hild pornography, one was a	10:25:39
	18	case, a federal	case brought by the friends of	10:25:44
	19	the earth and t	he Sierra Club against James	10:25:50
	20	Watt, who was t	hen the Secretary of the	10:25:56
	21	Interior and th	e Department of the Interior.	10:25:57
	22		One was a copyright case	10:26:04
	23	involving a pho	tographer named Roy Schatt,	10:26:06
	24	S-c-h-a-t-t, an	d a publisher whose name I don't	10:26:08
	25	recall.		10:26:16
- 1				i

		_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	There were a couple of others, I	10:26:21
3	don't recall the details of, but I gave the	10:26:22
4	specifics to counsel.	10:26:25
5	Q To your lawyer.	10:26:26
6	MS. APPLETON: Mr. Coleman, it's	10:26:29
7	difficult to hear you. If you could	10:26:30
8	speak up I would appreciate it.	10:26:32
9	MR. BALLON: Counsel, do you have	10:26:36
10	that list that your client just	10:26:37
11	testified to?	10:26:38
12	MS. PELES: I have the list.	10:26:39
13	None of the cases were within the last	10:26:40
14	four years.	10:26:42
15	MR. BALLON: Is it possible you	10:26:43
16	could provide us with the list?	10:26:44
17	MS. PELES: I'll take it under	10:26:45
18	advisement.	10:26:47
19	MR. BALLON: If you could let us	10:26:47
20	know at the first break. Obviously if	10:26:49
21	he doesn't recall and you have the list,	10:26:50
22	and we can't get it, it puts us at a	10:26:52
23	disadvantage, and we will want to take	10:26:54
24	that up.	10:26:56
25	Q Were any of those cases	10:26:58

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	copyright case	es?	10:26:59
3	А	Only one of them.	10:27:00
4	Q	Which one was that?	10:27:01
5	А	That was Roy Schatt versus a	10:27:02
6	magazine publi	sher whose name I don't recall.	10:27:07
7	These were mos	stly in the New York District, so	10:27:09
8	that one I kno	ow was in New York.	10:27:14
9	Q	Okay.	10:27:16
10	А	That case.	10:27:16
11	Q	Sorry?	10:27:17
12	А	I know that one was a New York	10:27:18
13	case.		10:27:20
14	Q	Right. And in that case, what	10:27:21
15	were you retai	ned as an expert to address?	10:27:25
16	А	To address the issue the case	10:27:27
17	involved a fam	nous photograph by Mr. Schatt of	10:27:31
18	James Dean on	Times Square that had been	10:27:35
19	reproduced wit	thout his knowledge or permission	10:27:38
20	by a by the	e publisher who was the Defendant	10:27:43
21	in the case.		10:27:46
22	Q	And what was your opinion in	10:27:48
23	that case?		10:27:49
24	А	I frankly don't recall. I mean,	10:27:50
25	I don't recall	what I said, it was something	10:27:53
1			

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	like 25 years ago.	10:27:56
3	Q I see. And do you recall who	10:27:57
4	won that case?	10:27:58
5	A I actually don't, no.	10:27:59
6	Q In the other cases, what areas	10:28:02
7	of expertise were you retained for, if not	10:28:04
8	copyright?	10:28:08
9	A One of the cases involved a	10:28:11
10	group of photographs that had been assembled	10:28:15
11	by reproductions of photographs, I should	10:28:19
12	say, that had been assembled by a convicted	10:28:22
13	pedophile who was on parole and the nature of	10:28:26
14	those photographs as published photographs.	10:28:33
15	Their place in the history of	10:28:38
16	photography, their place in contemporary	10:28:39
17	photography, et cetera, were at issue in the	10:28:42
18	case, as I was given to understand.	10:28:46
19	So I was asked to comment on	10:28:48
20	where one would find such photographs. Would	10:28:50
21	they appear in museum collections, would they	10:28:52
22	appear in private collections, would they	10:28:55
23	appear in monographs on photography, et cetera.	10:28:57
24	Q And who did you represent in	10:29:02
25	that case?	10:29:04

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A	I represented the the	10:29:05
3	defense.		10:29:08
4	Q	So the pedophile who had been	10:29:08
5	accused of col	lecting the photos	10:29:10
6	А	Yes.	10:29:13
7	Q	Who prevailed in that case?	10:29:13
8	А	I believe that the opposite	10:29:17
9	the state.		10:29:19
10	Q	The government?	10:29:20
11	А	The government prevailed.	10:29:21
12	Q	So he was convicted?	10:29:22
13	А	He was he was remanded he	10:29:23
14	had been out o	on parole, so he was remanded to	10:29:26
15	custody.		10:29:31
16	Q	I see. And what was the name of	10:29:31
17	the pedophile	that you represented?	10:29:33
18	А	I do not recall. Again, I	10:29:35
19	gave this i	s quite a while ago, I gave this	10:29:37
20	information to)	10:29:39
21	Q	To counsel?	10:29:41
22	A	To counsel.	10:29:41
23		MR. BALLON: Again, counsel, if	10:29:43
24	we do	could get that at the break I	10:29:43
25	would	certainly appreciate it.	10:29:45

	_			
	1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	Q	What about in the case involving	10:29:47
	3	James Watt, wha	at party did you represent there?	10:29:48
	4	A	I represented the government.	10:29:53
	5	Q	The government?	10:29:54
	6	A	Yes.	10:29:54
	7	Q	And what were you retained as an	10:29:55
	8	expert in?		10:29:56
	9	A	There was photographic evidence	10:29:59
	10	submitted as pa	art of the Plaintiff's case, and	10:30:00
	11	there were also	statements by several prominent	10:30:07
	12	photographers,	Ansel Adams and Joe Meyerowitz	10:30:11
	13	in particular,	about photography, about photo	10:30:14
	14	history, about	what is considered suitable	10:30:18
	15	subject matter	for photographs, et cetera.	10:30:21
	16		And I was asked to comment on	10:30:25
	17	and give an op:	inion on those matters.	10:30:27
	18	Q	And do you recall who prevailed	10:30:29
	19	in that case?		10:30:32
	20	A	Actually the government	10:30:32
	21	prevailed in the	nat case, yes.	10:30:33
	22	Q	So you identified three cases,	10:30:36
	23	the child porn	case where you represented the	10:30:38
	24	pedophile, the	case involving James Watt, and	10:30:40
	25	then the photog	graphy case. That's about three?	10:30:44
- 1				

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 13

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	Right.	10:30:47
3	Q	As you sit here now, do you	10:30:47
4	recall the oth	ner four or five cases?	10:30:48
5	А	Not specifically, no.	10:30:52
6	Q	Okay.	10:30:53
7		In this case, when were you	10:31:05
8	retained?		10:31:06
9	А	About the current case?	10:31:09
10	Q	Yes.	10:31:10
11	А	About two months ago.	10:31:11
12	Q	So, around May 12th?	10:31:13
13	А	That sounds right.	10:31:16
14	Q	Who first contacted you?	10:31:21
15	А	I believe it was Dean Masuda at	10:31:24
16	Cravath, or so	omeone on his behalf.	10:31:26
17	Q	Okay.	10:31:29
18		What were you asked to do before	10:31:31
19	you were retai	ined?	10:31:32
20	А	Before I was retained?	10:31:34
21	Q	Yes.	10:31:35
22		Someone contacted you, what did	10:31:36
23	they ask you t	to do?	10:31:38
24	A	Oh, they asked me if I would	10:31:39
25	look at the do	ocumentation in this case and	10:31:41

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	comment on it; or consider commenting on it.	10:31:45
3	Q Were you asked more specifically	10:31:49
4	what type of comments they were looking for?	10:31:51
5	A No.	10:31:53
6	Q How long did you consider the	10:31:55
7	request before accepting it?	10:31:56
8	A Not very long, a few days.	10:32:00
9	Q A few days, okay.	10:32:01
10	Are you currently employed,	10:32:05
11	other than in this case?	10:32:06
12	A I am self-employed. I've always	10:32:09
13	been self-employed.	10:32:10
14	Q Self-employed. And what is the	10:32:11
15	nature of your work?	10:32:13
16	A I produce I primarily produce	10:32:15
17	writing about photography, critical,	10:32:17
18	historical, theoretical writing about	10:32:19
19	photography, for a diversity of publications,	10:32:21
20	here and abroad.	10:32:25
21	I teach periodically courses,	10:32:27
22	post-secondary level courses in photo	10:32:30
23	criticism, history of photography, issues of	10:32:33
24	contemporary photography.	10:32:36
25	I give public lectures, I	10:32:37

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	sometimes have consultancy jobs, assignments	10:32:39
3	and do other and I curate exhibitions.	10:32:46
4	Q About how much do you earn each	10:32:50
5	year from that work?	10:32:51
6	A It's varied. I am now 74 and	10:32:52
7	semi-retired, so it's, at this point it's about	10:32:55
8	\$15,000 a year, but at times when I have been	10:32:57
9	much more active in the field it's been up to	10:33:07
10	\$65,000, \$70,000 a year.	10:33:11
11	Q All right, I would like to show	10:33:15
12	you what's been marked as Exhibit 1 and ask	10:33:15
13	you, sir, if you recognize	10:33:18
14	MR. BALLON: Okay, we are doing	10:33:21
15	different numbers, 210.	10:33:21
16	(The above described document was	10:33:22
17	marked Exhibit 210 for identification, as	10:33:22
18	of this date.)	10:33:22
19	Q You can ignore the first 209	10:33:24
20	exhibits.	10:33:25
21	A Okay. I appreciate that.	10:33:26
22	Q So I will show you what has been	10:33:30
23	marked as Exhibit 210 and ask you, sir, if you	10:33:31
24	recognize this document?	10:33:35
25	A Yes, I do.	10:33:45
		1

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q Is that the Notice of Deposition	10:33:47
3	for today's deposition?	10:33:49
4	A Yes.	10:33:50
5	Q I would like to show you what	10:33:52
6	has been marked as Exhibit 211 and	10:33:53
7	A Where do I	10:33:56
8	Q You can just leave that here.	10:33:57
9	The court reporter will take those at the end	10:33:58
10	of the deposition.	10:34:00
11	(The above described document was	10:34:01
12	marked Exhibit 211 for identification, as	10:34:01
13	of this date.)	10:34:01
14	Q So, I would like to show you	10:34:02
15	what has been marked as Exhibit 211 and ask you	10:34:03
16	if you can please confirm that that is the	10:34:07
17	rebuttal report of Allan Douglass Coleman that	10:34:10
18	you submitted in this case?	10:34:13
19	MS. PELES: Counsel, I will just	10:34:19
20	advise last night we sent an updated	10:34:20
21	version of his CV, so this version of	10:34:22
22	the report only includes a partial	10:34:24
23	version of his CV, but I think you have	10:34:26
24	the full version.	10:34:28
25	MR. BALLON: Okay. Do we have	10:34:31

		-
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	that?	10:34:33
3	MS. APPLETON: I didn't receive	10:34:34
4	that. You sent it last night?	10:34:35
5	MS. PELES: I sent it last night	10:34:37
6	by e-mail to the list of e-mails that	10:34:38
7	got the rebuttal reports, so if you were	10:34:40
8	not on it, I apologize, but	10:34:42
9	MR. BALLON: Here, have a copy.	10:34:46
10	I haven't seen it either, so late	10:34:47
11	breaking developments.	10:34:51
12	A The answer is yes, I recognize	10:34:54
13	this.	10:34:56
14	Q And just for completeness, I'll	10:34:56
15	mark as Exhibit 212 the additional material	10:34:58
16	your counsel sent to us late last night, and if	10:35:02
17	you can verify if that's correct?	10:35:06
18	(The above described document was	10:35:08
19	marked Exhibit 212 for identification, as	10:35:08
20	of this date.)	10:35:08
21	A Yes, that's my current CV.	10:35:08
22	Q What's different in your current	10:35:13
23	CV, Exhibit 212, that is different from the one	10:35:14
24	that you submitted earlier in this case?	10:35:20
25	A What's different is not anything	10:35:24

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	that I submitted, what's different is that the	10:35:26
3	CV in the in Exhibit 211 only includes the	10:35:31
4	first page of this CV.	10:35:38
5	Q I see.	10:35:42
6	A For reasons that I don't know, I	10:35:42
7	don't know how that happened, but this is the	10:35:45
8	complete CV.	10:35:49
9	Q I see. Well, let's focus on	10:35:50
10	your report, which is Exhibit 211, for the	10:35:52
11	moment.	10:35:57
12	And I would like to ask you to	10:35:58
13	look at paragraph 6 of your report, on the	10:35:59
14	first page, under Introduction, where it	10:36:03
15	identifies what you were asked by Plaintiffs'	10:36:07
16	counsel to analyze.	10:36:11
17	Could you please take a look at	10:36:12
18	that and read that into the record for me,	10:36:13
19	please?	10:36:15
20	A Yes. "At the request of lawyers	10:36:18
21	for Plaintiffs, I have analyzed the purpose and	10:36:29
22	character of the Prince-Graham work, the amount	10:36:32
23	and substantiality of the Graham work that was	10:36:35
24		10.26.27
	used in relation to the Prince-Graham work, the	10:36:37

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Prince-Graham work on the market for or value	10:36:44
3	of the Graham work.	10:36:47
4	"I have also analyzed the	10:36:48
5	purpose and character of the Prince McNatt	10:36:50
6	work, the amount and substantiality of the	10:36:53
7	McNatt work that was used in relation to the	10:36:56
8	Prince-McNatt work, the nature of the McNatt	10:36:58
9	work and the effect of the Prince-McNatt work	10:37:02
10	on the market for or value of the McNatt work."	10:37:04
11	Q Now, did you write that yourself	10:37:11
12	or is that the specific request that you were	10:37:13
13	given from Plaintiffs' counsel for this	10:37:17
14	assignment?	10:37:18
15	A Well, that was what they	10:37:27
16	requested of me after I had read the initial	10:37:30
17	material and agreed to take part in this case.	10:37:32
18	Q Okay. And what initial material	10:37:36
19	did you review before you agreed to take the	10:37:39
20	case?	10:37:41
21	A Well, there is an itemized list	10:37:42
22	attached to this deposition.	10:37:44
23	Q And those are the things that	10:37:46
24	you read?	10:37:47
25	A Yes.	10:37:48

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q And	you read those before you	10:37:48
3	agreed to take the	case?	10:37:49
4	A I th	ink that there are a few	10:37:52
5	items there that ar	rived after the materials I	10:37:53
6	was initially sent	that I have reviewed since,	10:37:58
7	but I think that's	indicated in the list.	10:38:03
8	Q Okay		10:38:06
9	And	then in paragraph 6, where	10:38:07
10	you identify what y	ou have analyzed, you	10:38:09
11	recognize these ele	ements as the elements of the	10:38:15
12	fair use test under	the copyright statute, do	10:38:18
13	you not?		10:38:20
14	A Say	that again?	10:38:21
15	MS.	PELES: Objection to form.	10:38:22
16	Q The	items that you analyzed in	10:38:25
17	paragraph 6		10:38:27
18	A Righ	ıt.	10:38:29
19	Q d	lo you recognize those as the	10:38:29
20	elements of fair us	e under the copyright	10:38:33
21	statute?		10:38:36
22	A I'm	not a lawyer, I can't make	10:38:38
23	that determination.		10:38:39
24	Q You	write a blog on copyright	10:38:42
25	issues, correct?		10:38:45

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	No.	10:38:46
3	Q	On photograph issues?	10:38:47
4	А	Yes.	10:38:49
5	Q	And in the blog you opine on	10:38:50
6	copyright case	es, correct?	10:38:52
7	А	Yes.	10:38:53
8	Q	And in that context you have	10:38:54
9	opined on fair	use, have you not?	10:38:56
10	А	Yes, I have.	10:38:57
11	Q	And you have an understanding of	10:38:59
12	the doctrine o	or defense of fair use, do you	10:39:03
13	not?		10:39:06
14	А	Yes, I do.	10:39:06
15	Q	And do you recognize the	10:39:08
16	elements in pa	ragraph 6 that you have been	10:39:09
17	asked to opine	e on as the elements of the fair	10:39:12
18	use test under	the copyright act?	10:39:14
19		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:39:17
20	А	I'm not sure I understand the	10:39:18
21	use of the wor	d "elements" in this context.	10:39:20
22	Q	Well, let's break it down.	10:39:22
23		In paragraph 6 you said, "At the	10:39:24
24	request of law	yers for the Plaintiffs I have	10:39:26
25	analyzed the p	ourpose and character of the	10:39:29
1			1

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Prince-Graham work."	10:39:33
3	What's your understanding of	10:39:35
4	"purpose and character"?	10:39:36
5	A Okay, now I see what you're	10:39:39
6	saying.	10:39:40
7	Yes, then then yes, these	10:39:41
8	repeat the question, if you would, the original	10:39:48
9	question.	10:39:50
10	Q Okay, so what I was asking was	10:39:50
11	in paragraph 6 you identify what you have been	10:39:58
12	asked to analyze.	10:40:01
13	And what you've been asked to	10:40:02
14	analyze are the elements of the fair use	10:40:03
15	defense under the copyright statute, correct?	10:40:08
16	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:40:10
17	A I would say yes.	10:40:14
18	Q And what is the basis for your	10:40:16
19	expertise to analyze the elements of the fair	10:40:18
20	use defense under the copyright statute?	10:40:21
21	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:40:24
22	A I have written about copyright	10:40:28
23	and copyright law as it pertains to	10:40:31
24	photographs.	10:40:33
25	I have reviewed cases over the	10:40:34

Page 23

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	past 50 years involving copyright, and as it	10:40:36
3	applies to photographs.	10:40:41
4	And I have been part of, both as	10:40:44
5	audience member and participant, in various	10:40:48
6	seminars and panels on copyright as it applies	10:40:51
7	to photographs.	10:40:55
8	I am not, however, a lawyer, so	10:40:56
9	my opinions are not legal opinions.	10:40:57
10	Q Okay. So what is the basis for	10:40:59
11	your opinions, then, on whether the use in this	10:41:02
12	case is a fair use if you're not a lawyer?	10:41:05
13	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:41:08
14	Q Your counsel is allowed to	10:41:13
15	record objections for the record, that	10:41:15
16	preserves a right so that later in the case	10:41:18
17	they can argue whether questions and answers	10:41:20
18	are admissible or not.	10:41:23
19	But don't let that break your	10:41:24
20	flow. If your counsel notes an objection, you	10:41:26
21	are required to answer the question unless your	10:41:30
22	counsel instructs you not to do so.	10:41:32
23	MR. BALLON: So, I'll ask the	10:41:35
24	court reporter to read back the	10:41:36
25	question, please.	10:41:37

		7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	(The question requested was read	10:41:38
3	back by the reporter.)	10:41:38
4	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:41:58
5	A The fair use exception to the	10:42:02
6	copyright law includes a number of issues,	10:42:05
7	including those stated here, that are in fact	10:42:09
8	not hard and fast legal issues, and that	10:42:13
9	require opinion about such things as aesthetic	10:42:19
10	matters.	10:42:22
11	These are not matters of legal	10:42:24
12	definition, these are matters that fall under	10:42:26
13	the purview of interpretation, critical	10:42:29
14	interpretation and analysis.	10:42:31
15	Q And so with respect to that, the	10:42:36
16	first element of the test for fair use, you say	10:42:40
17	that you have analyzed the purpose and	10:42:43
18	character of the Prince-Graham work.	10:42:46
19	What do you what do you	10:42:49
20	define as the purpose and character, or what do	10:42:52
21	you understand that to mean?	10:42:55
22	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:42:57
23	Q What do you understand that term	10:42:58
24	to mean?	10:42:59
25	A The purpose and character of the	10:43:00

		-	
1	ALLAN CO	LEMAN	
2	work?	10:4	43:01
3	Q Yes.	10:4	43:02
4	A I understand	l it to be a work of, 10:4	43:02
5	intended to be a work of po	stmodern critique of 10:4	43:05
6	contemporary communication	systems. 10:4	43:14
7	Q But I actual	ly meant something a 10:4	43:17
8	little bit differently, whe	ere you said, "At the 10:4	43:18
9	request of lawyers for Plai	ntiffs I have 10:4	43:20
10	analyzed the purpose and ch	naracter of the 10:4	43:22
11	Prince-Graham work."	10:4	43:25
12	So, and you	told me what your 10:4	43:26
13	conclusion was of what the	work was.	43:28
14	What I am as	king you is 10:4	43:30
15	something more basic. What	do you understand 10:4	43:31
16	the purpose and character t	o mean when you say 10:4	43:34
17	you analyzed the purpose an	d character? 10:4	43:37
18	What is the	purpose and 10:4	43:40
19	character of a work?	10:4	43:41
20	MS. PELES:	Objection to form. 10:4	43:45
21	Q What do you	understand that term 10:4	43:45
22	to mean?	10:4	43:46
23	A The purpose	and character of the 10:4	43:47
24	work?	10:4	43:48
25	Q Yes, yes.	10:4	43:49

ı		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A The character of the work	10:43:50
3	includes both its physical components, whatever	10:43:51
4	those may be, and its content.	10:43:53
5	Q Okay. And what's the purpose?	10:43:59
6	A The purpose presumably of any	10:44:02
7	kind of creative work is communication.	10:44:04
8	Q You referred to the fair use	10:44:08
9	exception. Is your understanding that the fair	10:44:10
10	use exception is a broad exception or a narrow	10:44:12
11	exception?	10:44:15
12	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:44:17
13	A I think it's open to very many	10:44:19
14	levels of interpretation, so I would not have	10:44:23
15	an opinion on that.	10:44:27
16	Q In rendering an opinion in this	10:44:29
17	case, did you apply a broad or narrow concept	10:44:30
18	of fair use?	10:44:34
19	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:44:36
20	A I simply tried to apply what I	10:44:37
21	understood the fair use law to be, and the	10:44:39
22	exception, I should say, the fair use	10:44:43
23	exception.	10:44:45
24	Q And again, based on your earlier	10:44:46
25	testimony, that understanding was based on your	10:44:48
i .		İ

Page 27

1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	review of cases, your writing about copyright		10:44:51
3	and your participation in seminars.		10:44:55
4		Was that a correct statement of	10:44:59
5	the list?		10:45:00
6	А	That was a correct statement,	10:45:01
7	but not a comp	olete statement.	10:45:01
8		MS. PELES: Objection.	10:45:03
9	А	There is of course my own 50	10:45:04
10	years of exper	rience as a producer of	10:45:05
11	intellectual p	property.	10:45:07
12	Q	So, as a copyright owner?	10:45:10
13	А	As a copyright owner, yes.	10:45:11
14	Q	I see.	10:45:13
15		And so let's start with that.	10:45:14
16	In your experi	ence as a copyright owner, what	10:45:18
17	have you wh	at experience as a copyright	10:45:21
18	owner have you	acquired that you believe makes	10:45:23
19	you qualified	to testify as an expert on fair	10:45:26
20	use?		10:45:28
21		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:45:30
22	А	I have created and licensed uses	10:45:31
23	of some 25,000	excuse me, 2,500 essays under	10:45:38
24	my name.		10:45:44
25	Q	Approximately how many licenses	10:45:47

			_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	have you grant	ed as a copyright owner?	10:45:48
3	А	Approximately 2,000.	10:45:53
4	Q	2,000 licenses.	10:45:54
5		And how many years did you say	10:45:58
6	you've been cr	eating and licensing copyrighted	10:45:59
7	works?		10:46:02
8	A	50 years.	10:46:03
9	Q	50 years?	10:46:04
10	A	Starting in 51, actually;	10:46:05
11	starting in 19	67.	10:46:07
12	Q	So in your 50 years of creating	10:46:08
13	and licensing	over 2,000, or, sorry, in your 50	10:46:10
14	years as a cre	ator of copyrighted works,	10:46:16
15	licensing over	2,000 works, were there	10:46:18
16	occasions wher	e people used your copyrighted	10:46:21
17	works without	permission?	10:46:24
18	А	A few, yes.	10:46:26
19	Q	How many approximately?	10:46:27
20	А	No more than ten.	10:46:33
21	Q	Okay. And in those ten	10:46:35
22	instances, did	you send letters or otherwise	10:46:38
23	contact the pe	ople who were using your works	10:46:43
24	without permis	sion?	10:46:44
25	А	Yes, I did.	10:46:45
ı			

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q Were those cease and desist	10:46:46
3	letters?	10:46:49
4	A Effectively, yes.	10:46:51
5	Q And in all of those ten	10:46:53
6	instances, did the defendants agree to stop	10:46:55
7	making use of the works?	10:46:58
8	A Yes, they did.	10:46:59
9	Q And in those instances, did	10:47:00
10	anyone pay you damages for the unauthorized	10:47:02
11	use?	10:47:06
12	A I did not demand damages in any	10:47:08
13	of those cases, they were small scale cases,	10:47:10
14	and so long as the situation was rectified	10:47:15
15	promptly, I refrained from pursuing damages.	10:47:19
16	Q And in any of those instances	10:47:23
17	was the situation not rectified promptly?	10:47:24
18	A No.	10:47:29
19	Q Okay. So in all of the	10:47:30
20	instances you were able to resolve the dispute	10:47:31
21	and the defendant stopped using the work?	10:47:33
22	A Right.	10:47:36
23	Q Or in some of those instances	10:47:37
24	the defendant agreed to take a license?	10:47:38
25	A There was one instance in which	10:47:44
1		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	an essay of mine was reprinted in full,	10:47:46
3	translated into Finnish in a Finnish anthology	10:47:50
4	of essays about photography.	10:47:53
5	I didn't discover this until	10:47:56
6	much later, at which point I wrote to the	10:47:58
7	this was published by a museum of photography	10:48:02
8	in Finland.	10:48:08
9	I wrote, when I discovered this	10:48:11
10	I wrote to the museum asking them on what basis	10:48:12
11	they had published this.	10:48:15
12	They indicated that they had	10:48:17
13	done what I considered to be reasonable due	10:48:18
14	diligence.	10:48:20
15	They had written to the English	10:48:21
16	language publisher of a book in which the essay	10:48:23
17	had appeared, in order to contact me, in order	10:48:26
18	to seek permission.	10:48:29
19	They had not that letter	10:48:31
20	apparently never got forwarded to me, they had	10:48:34
21	not heard back, and they had proceeded to	10:48:36
22	publish it on a good faith basis, that they	10:48:39
23	would make things right with me if they heard	10:48:41
24	from me, which they did.	10:48:44
25	And we resolved the case by them	10:48:45
1		I

			,
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	sending me three or four copies of the book in	10:48:47
	3	question.	10:48:51
	4	I should add, this was an	10:48:53
	5	educational, I considered this an educational	10:48:54
	6	publication.	10:48:58
	7	Q And in any of the in any of	10:49:03
	8	your dealings over 50 years and creating about	10:49:06
	9	2,500 copyrighted works, did other people	10:49:12
	10	assert a fair use right to use your works?	10:49:17
	11	A Not in toto, no.	10:49:21
	12	Except I would say for the	10:49:24
	13	people, the people who I had to pursue.	10:49:25
	14	Q So the people who you pursued,	10:49:30
	15	those ten people who used your works without a	10:49:31
	16	license, they asserted a fair use right to use	10:49:33
	17	your works?	10:49:38
	18	A They assumed a fair use right to	10:49:39
	19	use the complete works.	10:49:42
	20	And I would say, by the way,	10:49:44
	21	this museum that I just spoke of in Finland is	10:49:45
	22	an exception to that.	10:49:48
	23	They did not assert that right.	10:49:49
	24	They used it without permission, but they did	10:49:51
	25	not assert that they had a fair use right to do	10:49:54
- 1	1		I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	so.		10:49:56
3	Q	I see. But the other nine	10:49:57
4	instances wher	re you had disputes	10:49:58
5	А	Right.	10:50:00
6	Q	the other party asserted fair	10:50:01
7	use?		10:50:04
8	А	They asserted fair use right to	10:50:05
9	use the entire	ety of the essays.	10:50:07
10		There have been many cases in	10:50:09
11	which parts of	my essays have been used under	10:50:11
12	the fair use e	exception appropriately, because	10:50:14
13	I'm frequently	y quoted by writers in my field	10:50:18
14	and other fiel	lds.	10:50:21
15	Q	And in each of those instances	10:50:23
16	the other side	e asserted fair use and the	10:50:25
17	dispute was re	esolved by the defendant stopping	10:50:28
18	use of the wor	ck?	10:50:31
19	А	No.	10:50:32
20		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:50:33
21	Q	Okay, then, I'm sorry. How were	10:50:34
22	those other ni	ine fair use disputes resolved?	10:50:36
23	А	They were not disputes.	10:50:38
24	Q	How were those other instances	10:50:40
25	where you cont	acted parties that had used your	10:50:42
			1

		,
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	works without license where the parties	10:50:45
3	asserted fair use, how were those nine	10:50:47
4	incidents resolved?	10:50:51
5	A Oh, those instances where they	10:50:54
6	used my work in toto?	10:50:56
7	Q Well, you said that there were	10:50:58
8	ten instances when you sent cease and desist	10:51:00
9	letters.	10:51:03
10	A Okay.	10:51:03
11	Q You said in one of those ten	10:51:03
12	instances there was an institution in Finland	10:51:05
13	that was using the work, and in the other nine	
14	instances the other parties asserted fair use?	10:51:09
15	A Yes, okay.	10:51:12
16	And those instances were	10:51:14
17	resolved by them taking down the material.	10:51:15
18	I think in all of these cases	10:51:16
19	these were publications on-line, and the	10:51:19
20	material was taken down promptly, either by	10:51:22
21	them or by their internet service provider,	10:51:24
22	their ISP.	10:51:28
23	Q So, in nine of the ten	10:51:29
24	instances, the other side had asserted a fair	10:51:32
25	use, and the dispute was resolved with either	10:51:35
		i .

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	the other party or their ISP taking the work	10:51:38
3	down and stopping to use it?	10:51:42
4	A Yes.	10:51:43
5	Q Now, we got into this discussion	10:51:48
6	by going through your experience in copyright	10:51:52
7	law. You mentioned that you've spoken on many	10:51:56
8	panels.	10:51:58
9	Approximately how many panels on	10:51:59
10	copyright law have you spoken on?	10:52:01
11	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:52:03
12	A A dozen.	10:52:05
13	Q A dozen. And is that over a 50	10:52:05
14	year period, or more recently?	10:52:08
15	A I would say that's probably	10:52:10
16	within the past 25 to 30 years.	10:52:11
17	Q I see.	10:52:15
18	Who are the sponsors of those	10:52:17
19	copyright panels?	10:52:18
20	A Organizations like the National	10:52:20
21	Writers' Union, organizations like the American	10:52:21
22	Society for Magazine Photographers, now called	10:52:24
23	the American Society of Media Photographers,	10:52:26
24	the Society for Photographic Education, some	10:52:28
25	other organizations of that sort.	10:52:37

			•
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q No	w, the National Writers Union	10:52:38
3	was involved in a	very large copyright suit	10:52:39
4	brought by Jonath	an Tasini.	10:52:42
5	Ar	e you familiar with that case?	10:52:45
6	A Ye	es, I am.	10:52:46
7	Q Di	d you participate in that	10:52:47
8	case?		10:52:48
9	A Ye	es, I did.	10:52:49
10	Q Wh	at was your role in the Tasini	10:52:49
11	copyright litigat	ion?	10:52:51
12	A I	was simply one of many writers	10:52:52
13	who signed on as	Plaintiffs.	10:52:55
14	Q I	see. So you were a Plaintiff	10:52:58
15	in the Tasini cla	ss action copyright	10:52:59
16	litigation?		10:53:05
17	A Ye	es.	10:53:05
18	Q Ho	ow much if I understand it	10:53:09
19	correctly, the pa	yments of the settlement in	10:53:11
20	that case haven't	yet been disbursed, is that	10:53:13
21	correct?		10:53:16
22	A Th	at's correct, as far as I	10:53:16
23	know, yes.		10:53:17
24	Q Wh	en those disbursements are	10:53:18
25	made, which I bel	ieve should be within the next	10:53:20
1			1

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	year, how much	n money do you stand to make from	10:53:22
3	that case?		10:53:25
4	А	I don't recall.	10:53:28
5	Q	How many articles did you have	10:53:28
6	at issue in th	nat lawsuit?	10:53:29
7	А	I had an issue about 150	10:53:31
8	articles.		10:53:34
9	Q	150 articles?	10:53:35
10	А	Yes.	10:53:36
11	Q	Now, as I recall in that case	10:53:36
12	there were cat	egory A articles, which were ones	10:53:38
13	that were time	ely registered, category B	10:53:42
14	articles, whic	ch were articles that were	10:53:45
15	registered but	not necessarily timely, and	10:53:47
16	category C, wh	nich were unregistered works.	10:53:50
17		Is that your recollection as	10:53:53
18	well?		10:53:54
19	А	Yes.	10:53:54
20	Q	I'm sorry, how many articles did	10:53:57
21	you say you ha	nd in that lawsuit?	10:53:58
22	А	I believe it's about 150.	10:54:00
23	Q	150.	10:54:02
24		Are those all category A	10:54:02
25	articles?		10:54:04

	L ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	2 A No.	10:54:05
3	Q Are they how would you divide	10:54:06
4	the 150 articles between categories A, B and C?	10:54:10
5	A These were all articles written	10:54:23
6	for The New York Times. About 25 of those	10:54:25
7	articles appear in a book of mine called Light	10:54:32
3	Readings, which was published in 1979, which	10:54:36
9	e is, a copyright for which is registered.	10:54:38
10	The remaining articles were not	10:54:43
11	l registered either individually or collectively	10:54:45
12	2 by me.	10:54:47
13	Q I see. So to your understanding	10:54:51
14	25 of those articles were articles where there	10:54:53
15	was a copyright registration?	10:54:56
16	A Right.	10:54:58
17	Q And 125 were articles where	10:54:58
18	there was no copyright registration?	10:55:01
19	A That's a guess, yes, but yes.	10:55:03
20	Q So under the settlement in that	10:55:06
21	l case, you would be entitled to significant	10:55:07
22	2 payments for the 25 articles and smaller	10:55:11
23	payments for the 125 articles.	10:55:14
24	Is that your understanding?	10:55:17
25	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:55:18

]
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	I don't know what the amounts	10:55:18
3	are, so I don't	t know what significant means in	10:55:19
4	this context.		10:55:21
5	Q	Are you a Plaintiff in any other	10:55:24
6	copyright cases	3 ?	10:55:26
7	А	No.	10:55:27
8	Q	Have you been a Plaintiff or	10:55:29
9	Defendant in a	ny other lawsuits?	10:55:30
10	А	No.	10:55:33
11	Q	Let's get back to your	10:55:37
12	experience on p	panels. You mentioned several	10:55:38
13	panels for dif	ferent organizations.	10:55:42
14		Could you identify the other	10:55:44
15	copyright pane	ls that you spoke on?	10:55:46
16	А	No.	10:55:49
17	Q	With respect to the copyright	10:55:52
18	panel that you	spoke on at the conference	10:55:53
19	sponsored by the	ne National Writers' Union, do	10:56:00
20	you recall what	t the focus of that panel was?	10:56:03
21	А	Basically the intention was	10:56:10
22	to the purpo	ose was to convey to members of	10:56:11
23	the National W	riters' Union the basics of	10:56:14
24	copyright law a	as they apply to writers.	10:56:19
25		Both in terms of what they	10:56:23

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	proscribe writers from doing, and what they	10:56:27
3	permit writers to do with their own work and	10:56:31
4	with other people's work.	10:56:33
5	Q And what was the what were	10:56:35
6	the opinions that you expressed on that panel?	10:56:40
7	A They were many and diverse.	10:56:45
8	Q Can you identify some of them?	10:56:50
9	A Yes, certainly.	10:56:51
10	For example, there is a myth	10:56:53
11	that floats around among not only writers, but	10:56:56
12	makers of intellectual property, that there is	10:56:59
13	such a thing as poor man's copyright.	10:57:02
14	Which consists of sending an	10:57:05
15	example of the material, a copy of the material	10:57:10
16	to yourself, by registered mail, in a	10:57:13
17	self-addressed sealed envelope, and that this	10:57:17
18	constitutes a form of proof that is legally	10:57:20
19	binding, valid.	10:57:27
20	So I consider that part of my	10:57:29
21	job to disabuse writers of that fantasy.	10:57:31
22	There is also a belief among	10:57:41
23	many publishing writers, professional writers,	10:57:44
24	that even if you sign a work made for hire	10:57:48
25	contract, an all rights contract, you can	10:57:51
		i .

Page 40

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	revise you can revise small portions of that	10:57:55
3	essay and republish it under your own name.	10:58:00
4	And I had to disabuse them of	10:58:06
5	that belief also, and make it clear that once	10:58:09
6	you sign a work made for hire contract, you	10:58:12
7	actually legally cease to be the author of the	10:58:14
8	work, in effect.	10:58:15
9	And you can then only quote from	10:58:17
10	your own work to the extent that the fair use	10:58:20
11	exception would allow, which means small	10:58:23
12	amounts.	10:58:25
13	Q I'm sorry, what other opinions	10:58:30
14	did you address?	10:58:32
15	A It's been a long time, sir; I	10:58:34
16	can't recall.	10:58:36
17	Q Getting back to that Tasini	10:58:39
18	case, do you recall that I'm trying to	10:58:40
19	remember his name, the head of the National	10:58:45
20	Writers' Union at the time was Jonathan?	10:58:48
21	A Jonathan Tasini.	10:58:51
22	Q Jonathan Tasini, correct.	10:58:54
23	Do you recall Mr. Tasini telling	10:58:56
24	The New Republic that he anticipated the	10:58:57
25	damages in that case to be around \$300 billion?	10:59:00

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	No, I don't.	10:59:04
3		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	10:59:05
4	Q	Do you recall any discussion by	10:59:06
5	Mr. Tasini or	the National Writers' Union about	10:59:07
6	how that class	s action suit was the largest	10:59:11
7	copyright clas	ss action suit ever brought?	10:59:13
8	A	No.	10:59:17
9	Q	You do recall that the Tasini	10:59:19
10	case was cons	idered a very significant	10:59:21
11	copyright case	≘?	10:59:24
12	A	I do, yes.	10:59:25
13	Q	At the time it was brought, it	10:59:26
14	got a lot of a	attention?	10:59:27
15	A	Yes.	10:59:28
16	Q	It was a very significant one.	10:59:28
17		And you do recall that it was	10:59:29
18	brought as a	class action suit on behalf of the	10:59:31
19	National Write	ers' Union and the Authors' Guild,	10:59:35
20	and then a nur	mber of individually named	10:59:37
21	Plaintiffs, s	uch as yourself, correct?	10:59:41
22	A	Right.	10:59:43
23	Q	You recall it got a lot of	10:59:48
24	attention in t	the press as well, correct?	10:59:49
25	А	Yes.	10:59:51
			1

			-
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q	On any of the panels, was there	10:59:55
3	discussion of t	this case? Did you opine on the	10:59:57
4	case?		11:00:01
5	А	I'm sure there was discussion,	11:00:02
6	yes.		11:00:04
7	Q	And the case, the case was	11:00:06
8	originally brow	aght in the 1990s, correct?	11:00:08
9	А	Correct.	11:00:11
10	Q	And the copyright class action	11:00:11
11	litigation is s	still ongoing, correct?	11:00:13
12	А	As I understand it, yes.	11:00:17
13	Q	The settlement there is a	11:00:19
14	settlement, but	t it hasn't been disbursed,	11:00:21
15	correct?		11:00:23
16	А	As far as I know, yes.	11:00:24
17	Q	And the case is pending before	11:00:25
18	Judge Daniels h	nere in the Southern District of	11:00:27
19	New York, corre	ect?	11:00:29
20	А	I wouldn't know.	11:00:30
21	Q	You don't know, okay. But you	11:00:31
22	do remember tha	at the lawsuit was filed here in	11:00:32
23	New York?		11:00:34
24	А	Actually I don't, but yes. I'll	11:00:35
25	take your word	for it.	11:00:38

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q But you remember, in any event,	11:00:41
3	that the case has been going on for a long	11:00:42
4	time?	11:00:44
5	A Yes, I do.	11:00:44
6	Q And I assume in the discussions	11:00:45
7	that took place about the case there was	11:00:49
8	discussions that this was a very significant	11:00:51
9	copyright case, correct?	11:00:54
10	A Yes.	11:00:55
11	Q All right. So we talked about	11:00:57
12	your experience in seminars, we talked about	11:00:59
13	your experience writing, and your experience as	11:01:03
14	a Plaintiff. So, written about copyright,	11:01:13
15	created and licensed works.	11:01:23
16	Are there any other aspects from	11:01:25
17	your 50 year career that you believe are	11:01:27
18	relevant to your opinions in this case?	11:01:29
19	A My understanding of the history	11:01:35
20	of photography as a creative medium and as a	11:01:37
21	medium of cultural communication.	11:01:42
22	Q I see, I see. All right, so	11:01:44
23	let's get back to your expert report.	11:01:51
24	We talked about the purpose and	11:01:58
25	character, and you gave me your explanation of	11:02:01

			•
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	what you thought the purpose and character of	11:02:07
	3	the works at issue in this case were, correct?	11:02:09
	4	A Correct.	11:02:11
	5	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:02:13
	6	Q What is your understanding	11:02:13
	7	generally about what purpose and character	11:02:14
	8	refers to?	11:02:17
	9	A My understanding generally would	11:02:20
	10	be that it refers to the nature of a given work	11:02:22
	11	within the context of medium in which it is	11:02:29
	12	produced and that medium's history and field of	11:02:35
	13	ideas.	11:02:38
	14	And character would be	11:02:40
	15	everything from the manner of its execution to	11:02:45
	16	the its voice and tone and the content.	11:02:49
	17	Q Okay. And then the next element	11:02:57
	18	that you said you were asked to analyze in	11:02:59
	19	paragraph 6 of your report is the amount and	11:03:01
	20	substantiality of the Graham work that was used	11:03:04
	21	in relation to the Prince-Graham work.	11:03:08
	22	What is your understanding of	11:03:11
	23	what "the amount and substantiality" refers to?	11:03:12
	24	A How many	11:03:17
	25	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:03:18
ı			I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A It's my understanding that this	11:03:19
3	refers to the actual quantitative amount by	11:03:22
4	measurement of how much of the original work is	11:03:30
5	included in the work to which it has been	11:03:38
6	added.	11:03:42
7	Q And what's your understanding of	11:03:43
8	why that's relevant?	11:03:44
9	A It's my understanding that the	11:03:47
10	fair use exception allows a certain proportion	11:03:48
11	of a work to be quoted or otherwise used	11:03:54
12	without permission, but that conversely, it	11:03:59
13	prohibits the use of some amount over that.	11:04:03
14	Q And what's your understanding of	11:04:08
15	what that dividing line is between the	11:04:09
16	permitted and unpermitted use?	11:04:12
17	A Well, it's hard to say.	11:04:16
18	This one, I think the fair use	11:04:19
19	exception is deliberately vague on this matter,	11:04:21
20	but I assume there are, for example, there are	11:04:25
21	poems that consist of a single word, and there	11:04:30
22	would be no possible way that I could think of	11:04:35
23	to quote that poem or excerpt from that poem,	11:04:37
24	except by taking a single letter from it, let's	11:04:44
25	say.	11:04:46

		-
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	So there would be no way to	11:04:47
3	refer to that poem in another work without	11:04:49
4	quoting the entirety of that poem.	11:04:51
5	So, and there are short works	11:04:52
6	that I think it would be very difficult to	11:04:56
7	excerpt from.	11:04:59
8	In the visual arts we refer to	11:05:02
9	such excerpts usually as details, for example,	11:05:03
10	and in hard books, you will often find both a	11:05:06
11	reproduction of a painting and a detail, which	11:05:11
12	might be just a smaller portion of it.	11:05:15
13	So, it's very hard to give a	11:05:17
14	specific demarcation line as a general rule for	11:05:19
15	what you are asking.	11:05:25
16	Q You referred to some poems that	11:05:29
17	include only one word.	11:05:31
18	Can you think of what those	11:05:34
19	poems are, do you know the names?	11:05:35
20	A I know the name of a poet who	11:05:37
21	produced several poets. One is Richard	11:05:38
22	Castellaneta, and another one is Aram Saroyn.	11:05:41
23	Q Do you remember any of their	11:05:55
24	poems? Do you remember the particular one word	11:05:57
25	they used?	11:05:59
(1

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A I don't, no.	11:06:00
3	Q But in that example, if a poet	11:06:00
4	had a poem that consisted of just one word,	11:06:03
5	your understanding is you wouldn't be able to	11:06:07
6	use that one word because of because that	11:06:09
7	would be use of the full poem?	11:06:12
8	A No; I didn't say that.	11:06:14
9	Q I'm sorry, what is your	11:06:16
10	understanding, then? I apologize.	11:06:16
11	A My understanding is that there	11:06:18
12	are some works that are so small that there	11:06:20
13	would be no way of referring to them without	11:06:23
14	quoting the entirety of them, and that	11:06:26
15	therefore the fair use exception would allow	11:06:28
16	the quoting of the entirety of the poem.	11:06:30
17	Q I see. But your understanding	11:06:33
18	is that for larger works, the fair use	11:06:34
19	exception wouldn't permit full use if the work	11:06:38
20	is larger and more significant?	11:06:41
21	A Correct.	11:06:43
22	Q You also indicate that you were	11:06:47
23	asked to opine on the nature of the Graham	11:06:50
24	work.	11:06:55
25	What's your understanding of the	11:06:56
l		I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	term nature, what does that refer to, for the	11:06:58
3	fair use exception?	11:07:01
4	A I assume	11:07:03
5	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:07:04
6	A I assume it refers to the	11:07:05
7	content and purpose of that work.	11:07:07
8	Q And then you also say you were	11:07:14
9	asked to opine on the effect of the	11:07:16
10	Prince-Graham work on the market for or value	11:07:19
11	of the Graham work.	11:07:23
12	What's your understanding of the	11:07:24
13	effect of the work on the market for or value	11:07:28
14	of another work?	11:07:32
15	MS. PELES: Objection.	11:07:37
16	Q What's your understanding of	11:07:37
17	what that element refers to?	11:07:38
18	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:07:40
19	A It's my understanding that that	11:07:42
20	refers to how much that how likely it would	11:07:43
21	be that the that the work that the	11:07:47
22	borrowed that the Prince work that borrowed	11:07:55
23	this material would have an impact on the	11:07:56
24	marketability of the original works.	11:08:01
25	Q I see. And what's your	11:08:04

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	qualifications what do you believe your	11:08:06
3	qualifications are to opine on that particular	11:08:08
4	element of the fair use test?	11:08:10
5	A I followed the photography	11:08:12
6	market for half a century.	11:08:13
7	Q And when you say you followed	11:08:15
8	the photography market, what do you mean	11:08:16
9	exactly?	11:08:19
10	A Well, I speak to dealers, I	11:08:20
11	speak to collectors, I speak to institutional	11:08:21
12	collectors, private collectors, I go to gallery	11:08:24
13	expositions, both solo gallery expositions and	11:08:29
14	cumulative gallery fairs, art fairs,	11:08:34
15	specialized in photography.	11:08:38
16	I read publications like The	11:08:40
17	Photograph Collector, and other publications	11:08:41
18	that are involved in the market for that	11:08:46
19	cover the market for photography.	11:08:49
20	And I speak with photographers	11:08:50
21	about their work and the market for their	11:08:51
22	works.	11:08:55
23	Q Is it your view that if a	11:08:56
24	photograph is used without permission in a work	11:08:58
25	and then is subject to a lawsuit, that that can	11:09:03

-			•	
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
	2	adversely affect the market for the	11:09:07	
	3	photographer's excuse me, for that	11:09:10	
	4	photograph?	11:09:12	
	5	A Potentially.	11:09:13	
	6	Q Potentially. Could it also	11:09:14	
	7	potentially enhance the market by providing	11:09:15	
	8	publicity?	11:09:19	
	9	A I know of no instance when	11:09:20	
	10	that's happened.	11:09:22	
	11	Q Okay. But you are aware that	11:09:23	
	12	lawsuits generate publicity, potentially,	11:09:25	
	13	correct?	11:09:27	
	14	A Yes.	11:09:28	
	15	Q And you are a Plaintiff in a	11:09:28	
	16	lawsuit has generated a great deal of	11:09:29	
	17	publicity, correct?	11:09:31	
	18	A Correct.	11:09:33	
	19	Q And from your personal	11:09:33	
	20	experience as a Plaintiff in the Tasini	11:09:36	
	21	lawsuit, did you find that publicity about that	11:09:38	
	22	lawsuit got brought you personal attention?	11:09:41	
	23	A Absolutely not; none at all.	11:09:44	
	24	Q No one contacted you, you never	11:09:46	
	25	had reporters contact you about the lawsuit?	11:09:48	
- 1	i .		I	

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	No, no.	11:09:49
3	Q	None of the speaking engagements	11:09:51
4	you got were a	s a result of the prominence of	11:09:53
5	that lawsuit?		11:09:58
6	A	No.	11:09:58
7	Q	But you do accept that it would	11:10:01
8	be possible th	at publicity from a lawsuit could	11:10:03
9	make a photogr	apher more famous, or the	11:10:06
10	photographer's	work more famous?	11:10:09
11	A	If you say so.	11:10:12
12	Q	Prior to this lawsuit, had you	11:10:18
13	ever heard of	Mr. McNatt?	11:10:19
14	A	No.	11:10:22
15	Q	Did you talk to Mr. McNatt in	11:10:30
16	connection wit	h your opinion in this case?	11:10:31
17	A	No.	11:10:33
18	Q	Prior to this lawsuit had you	11:10:35
19	ever heard of	Mr. Graham?	11:10:36
20	A	I had.	11:10:38
21	Q	You had.	11:10:38
22		Did you talk to Mr. Graham in	11:10:39
23	connection wit	h preparing your report in this	11:10:40
24	case?		11:10:42
25	A	No.	11:10:42

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q	So, prior to this lawsuit, what	11:10:44
3	did you know a	bout Mr. Graham?	11:10:46
4	А	I had only come across some	11:10:48
5	examples of hi	s work, and I knew very little	11:10:50
6	about him.		11:10:52
7	Q	Which examples of his work did	11:10:52
8	you come acros	s prior to being retained in this	11:10:53
9	case?		11:10:56
10	А	I can't recall.	11:10:56
11	Q	So how do you know that you had	11:10:57
12	heard of him,	then?	11:10:59
13	А	Because the name rings a bell.	11:11:00
14	Q	The name rings a bell, but	11:11:02
15	Graham is a fa	irly common name, isn't it? It's	11:11:03
16	one of the pro	bably top several hundred names	11:11:05
17	in the world.		11:11:08
18	А	It's not that common in	11:11:08
19	photography.		11:11:10
20		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:11:11
21	Q	So you had heard of him, but you	11:11:14
22	can't really p	lace how?	11:11:16
23	А	Right.	11:11:17
24	Q	And you weren't specifically	11:11:17
25	familiar with	his work prior to that time?	11:11:19
			I

		-
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Right.	11:11:21
3	Q Okay. So in preparing your	11:11:22
4	reports, did you have occasion to search on the	11:11:23
5	internet for any information on either	11:11:26
6	Mr. Graham or Mr. McNatt?	11:11:28
7	A No; I relied on the documents	11:11:30
8	supplied as documents in this case.	11:11:33
9	Q I see.	11:11:34
10	So outside of preparing this	11:11:35
11	report, have you ever Googled either Mr. Graham	11:11:37
12	or Mr. McNatt's name?	11:11:41
13	A No.	11:11:42
14	Q You've never searched for them	11:11:43
15	on-line?	11:11:44
16	A No, let me correct that.	11:11:47
17	What I did was I took examples,	11:11:49
18	I took JPEGs of the two images that are at	11:11:53
19	issue in this case, and I dropped them into	11:11:59
20	Google Images to see what would come up.	11:12:02
21	Google Images is a search	11:12:05
22	function of Google that allows to you search	11:12:07
23	for other on-line for on-line instances of	11:12:09
24	any given image.	11:12:12
25	And I did discover versions of	11:12:14

			1
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	those images o	n-line that led me to their	11:12:19
3	websites.		11:12:23
4	Q	I see. So you actually have	11:12:23
5	so in conducti	ng the Google Image search for	11:12:25
6	Mr. McNatt, fo	r example	11:12:28
7	А	Right.	11:12:31
8	Q	did you find a lot of	11:12:31
9	instances of h	is images on-line?	11:12:32
10		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:12:35
11	А	These are Google Image, the	11:12:36
12	Google Image s	earch function searches for	11:12:40
13	particular ima	ges.	11:12:43
14	Q	Um-hum?	11:12:45
15	А	So I found other instances of	11:12:45
16	that particula	r image on-line.	11:12:49
17	Q	And approximately how many	11:12:52
18	instances?		11:12:54
19	А	There were not many. I	11:12:55
20	couldn't fo	ur or five, I think.	11:12:57
21	Q	And were those, from your did	11:13:01
22	those appear t	o be authorized or unauthorized	11:13:04
23	instances?		11:13:06
24	А	They appeared to be authorized.	11:13:07
25	Q	Appeared to be authorized. So	11:13:09

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	instances where Mr. McNatt appeared to have	11:13:10
3	licensed the photo, in your impression?	11:13:12
4	A Well, one, as I recall, was at	11:13:16
5	his website. Several I recall were in	11:13:18
6	conjunction with this case and publicity about	11:13:21
7	this case, if I remember correctly.	11:13:23
8	Q I see. So it is fair to say, at	11:13:25
9	least with respect to Mr. McNatt, you were able	11:13:27
10	to verify that as a result of filing a lawsuit,	11:13:29
11	his image got greater attention because of	11:13:33
12	publicity about the lawsuit, correct?	11:13:36
13	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:13:38
14	A I that there were articles	11:13:40
15	about the lawsuit, yes. I was able to verify	11:13:42
16	that there were articles about the lawsuit.	11:13:44
17	Q But again, sir, I want to be	11:13:45
18	clear, because you were very clear that you	11:13:46
19	didn't search for articles, you did a much	11:13:49
20	narrower Google search looking only for the	11:13:51
21	photo?	11:13:53
22	A Right.	11:13:54
23	Q You didn't search for	11:13:54
24	Mr. McNatt's name, you didn't search for his	11:13:55
25	reputation, you didn't search for articles, you	11:13:57

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	just searched for the image.	11:13:59
3	And as a result of the search	11:14:01
4	you said you found a number of instances where	11:14:03
5	the image had been reproduced in articles about	11:14:05
6	the lawsuit, correct?	11:14:07
7	A Correct.	11:14:08
8	Q So it is fair to say, at least	11:14:09
9	with respect to Mr. McNatt, that by virtue of	11:14:10
10	filing this lawsuit, there was publicity about	11:14:13
11	Mr. McNatt and his work, correct?	11:14:17
12	A Correct.	11:14:20
13	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:14:21
14	Q With respect to Mr. Graham, what	11:14:21
15	did your Google Image search reveal?	11:14:23
16	A More or less the same thing.	11:14:26
17	Q How many instances of	11:14:29
18	Mr. Graham's work on-line did you find by	11:14:30
19	performing the Google Image search?	11:14:32
20	A I seem to recall, again, half a	11:14:34
21	dozen.	11:14:36
22	Q Half a dozen, okay.	11:14:37
23	A For the particular image.	11:14:38
24	Q And in conjunction with doing	11:14:39
25	the Google Image search for Mr. Graham's work,	11:14:42

	1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	did you also f	ind publicity about this lawsuit	11:14:46
	3	in which his w	orks were reproduced?	11:14:51
	4	А	I'm not sure what you mean by	11:14:55
	5	publicity.		11:14:56
	6	Q	Articles about this lawsuit in	11:14:57
	7	which his phot	ographs were reproduced?	11:14:59
	8	А	Yes.	11:15:01
	9	Q	So with respect to Mr. Graham,	11:15:03
	10	in addition to	Mr. McNatt, there has been	11:15:04
	11	publicity abou	t this lawsuit in which their	11:15:08
	12	works have bee	n reproduced, correct?	11:15:10
	13	А	Correct.	11:15:12
	14	Q	And would you concede that that	11:15:14
	15	publicity help	s provide greater name	11:15:15
	16	recognition or	at least greater recognition of	11:15:18
	17	the works them	selves?	11:15:20
	18		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:15:23
	19	А	I don't have an opinion on that.	11:15:24
	20	Q	You don't have an opinion.	11:15:25
	21		But prior to that lawsuit you	11:15:26
	22	had never hear	d of Mr. McNatt, correct?	11:15:27
	23	А	Correct.	11:15:29
	24	Q	But as a result of this lawsuit	11:15:30
	25	you did a sear	ch and you found that there are	11:15:31
- 1				i

Page 58

[
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	news articles in which his works have been	11:15:33
	3	published, correct?	11:15:36
	4	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:15:37
	5	A Correct.	11:15:39
	6	Q But you don't have an opinion of	11:15:40
	7	whether whether a publication of articles in	11:15:42
	8	which a person's work is reproduced would help	11:15:46
	9	generate publicity about the work itself?	11:15:50
	10	A I would need a definition of	11:15:55
	11	what you mean by publicity.	11:15:56
	12	Q Well, I mean, just by	11:15:57
	13	definition, if there are news articles in which	11:15:59
	14	a photographer's work is reproduced, wouldn't	11:16:02
	15	you agree that that means, that that helps make	11:16:04
	16	the work more widely known?	11:16:07
	17	A I suppose.	11:16:14
	18	Q Do you recall any of the	11:16:16
	19	publications in which the McNatt and Graham	11:16:17
	20	photographs were reprinted in connection with	11:16:20
	21	articles about this lawsuit?	11:16:22
	22	A No, I don't recall the specific	11:16:23
	23	publications.	11:16:25
	24	Q I'm sorry, I may have asked you	11:16:28
	25	this, approximately how many instances of	11:16:30

	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	Mr. Graham's photos did you find on-line when	11:16:33
	3	you did this Google Image search?	11:16:36
	4	A Of that particular image, again,	11:16:38
	5	I think it was about five or six.	11:16:39
	6	Q And again, just to be clear, the	11:16:41
	7	Google Image search we were talking about,	11:16:43
	8	those were specific searches about the two	11:16:45
	9	photographs at issue in this case?	11:16:47
	10	A Right.	11:16:48
	11	Q The McNatt photo of Kim Gordon	11:16:49
	12	and the Graham photo of the Rastafarian smoking	11:16:52
	13	a joint?	11:16:55
	14	A That's correct.	11:16:56
	15	Q Thank you.	11:16:57
	16	So let's get back to your expert	11:16:58
	17	report.	11:17:05
	18	In paragraph 7 you summarize	11:17:06
	19	your opinions. Could you read into the record	11:17:08
	20	for me what you wrote in paragraph 7, please?	11:17:12
	21	A Sure.	11:17:16
	22	"In summary, my opinions are	11:17:17
	23	that 1, Plaintiffs' works are creative and	11:17:21
	24	expressive and constitute art.	11:17:25
	25	"2, the Prince works use a	11:17:27
- 1			1

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	substantial portion of Plaintiffs' works, and	11:17:31
3	the Prince works are not transformative of	11:17:33
4	Plaintiffs' works.	11:17:36
5	"And 3, the Prince works are	11:17:38
6	likely to have a substantially negative impact	11:17:39
7	upon the potential market for or value of	11:17:42
8	Plaintiffs' works.	11:17:46
9	"My opinions are based on my	11:17:47
10	review of the materials in this case and my	11:17:49
11	experience and specialized knowledge as a	11:17:52
12	photography critic, historian, theorist and	11:17:54
13	curator."	11:17:57
14	Q So let's start with that third	11:18:00
15	opinion, "The Prince works are likely to have a	11:18:01
16	substantial negative impact upon the market for	11:18:03
17	or value of the Plaintiffs' works."	11:18:05
18	Now, we have already talked	11:18:07
19	about how this lawsuit has generated publicity	11:18:08
20	about both of those two images.	11:18:11
21	Could you tell me the basis for	11:18:14
22	your opinion that the use of the Prince works	11:18:15
23	was likely to have a substantially negative	11:18:18
24	impact upon the potential market for or value	11:18:21
25	of the works?	11:18:26

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:18:27
3	A Yes, all publicity is not	11:18:29
4	necessarily beneficial publicity. Some	11:18:36
5	publicity is negative publicity.	11:18:39
6	So there are several issues I	11:18:42
7	think here that redound not to the benefit of	11:18:46
8	the Plaintiffs.	11:18:52
9	First of all, the usage of	11:18:55
10	the unauthorized usage of their work and the	11:18:59
11	Defendant's insistence on his right to do that	11:19:06
12	could very easily persuade others that the	11:19:11
13	works of these two photographers are available	11:19:13
14	for their reuse as well.	11:19:17
15	Q Anything else?	11:19:20
16	A Yes.	11:19:20
17	There is implicitly an imbalance	11:19:23
18	of power in the relationship between the	11:19:26
19	Plaintiffs and the Defendant.	11:19:31
20	Mr. Prince is a very high	11:19:34
21	profile artist, the Defendants are lower down	11:19:36
22	on the scale, and the implicit disrespect for	11:19:43
23	their authorship of their work that is implicit	11:19:50
24	in his unauthorized usage of their work	11:19:54
25	diminishes them, in my opinion, in the public	11:19:59

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	eye.	11:20:03
3	Q Anything else?	11:20:04
4	A That will do for now.	11:20:06
5	Q Okay. So when you said Prince's	11:20:08
6	insistence of his right to do this, what's the	11:20:13
7	basis for your opinion that Mr. Prince has	11:20:17
8	insisted he has a right to do this?	11:20:20
9	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:20:23
10	A His usage of the works and his	11:20:24
11	non-acknowledgment of the Defendants' of the	11:20:28
12	Plaintiffs' authorship of these works within	11:20:32
13	his own work as presented, that is, his	11:20:37
14	rendering them anonymous in his works, and the	11:20:41
15	very fact of this lawsuit itself, and his	11:20:46
16	defense of himself in this lawsuit.	11:20:50
17	Q Did you read the deposition of	11:20:52
18	Richard Prince that was given in this case?	11:20:54
19	A Yes, I did.	11:20:56
20	Q You did.	11:20:57
21	Now, in his deposition	11:20:57
22	Mr. Prince doesn't insist that he had the right	11:20:59
23	to take these works, does he?	11:21:03
24	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:21:05
25	A I think he does, yes.	11:21:11

Page 63

			-
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q	You think he does, okay, we will	11:21:13
3	get back to th	at.	11:21:15
4		Did you read how many volumes	11:21:17
5	of a transcrip	t did you read?	11:21:21
6	А	Volumes?	11:21:25
7	Q	Yes, how many pages was	11:21:26
8	Mr. Prince's d	eposition transcript?	11:21:27
9	А	What I received is listed in	11:21:31
10	the in my d	eposition.	11:21:33
11	Q	Right, but Mr. Prince was	11:21:36
12	deposed in thi	s case.	11:21:38
13	А	Yes.	11:21:40
14	Q	Just as I am deposing you today.	11:21:40
15	А	Yes.	11:21:42
16	Q	And there was a court reporter	11:21:42
17	present who tr	anscribed the deposition.	11:21:43
18	А	Right.	11:21:46
19	Q	And in that deposition,	11:21:47
20	Mr. Prince was	asked about his knowledge of	11:21:47
21	these works, w	hether he knew who the authors	11:21:52
22	were, why he u	sed them.	11:21:54
23		Do you recall reading a	11:21:57
24	transcript whe	re he was asked those questions	11:21:58
25	and talked abo	ut that?	11:22:01

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	No.	11:22:04
3	Q	You didn't read that, okay. I	11:22:05
4	didn't think s	0.	11:22:07
5		Because	11:22:09
6		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:22:10
7	Q	in fact, Mr. Prince didn't	11:22:11
8	insist that he	had a right to do this.	11:22:13
9		So let me ask you this.	11:22:16
10		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:22:17
11	Q	As an expert	11:22:18
12		MR. BALLON: Strike that.	11:22:19
13	Q	As an expert in this case, if I	11:22:20
14	asked you to a	ssume that Mr. Prince did not	11:22:22
15	insist he had	a right to use these works, and	11:22:25
16	if he had test	ified that because these works	11:22:30
17	had been poste	d in social media he assumed that	11:22:32
18	the people who	posted them wanted them to be	11:22:35
19	disseminated,	do you believe that that would	11:22:38
20	have an impact	on your opinion?	11:22:41
21	А	No.	11:22:43
22	Q	So, then, in fact, when you say	11:22:45
23	that Mr. Princ	e insisted that he had a right to	11:22:46
24	do so, that ac	tually doesn't impact your	11:22:49
25	opinion in thi	s case one way or the other, does	11:22:51

- 0			-
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	it?	11:22:53
	3	A No.	11:22:53
	4	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:22:54
	5	Q Then you also talked about how	11:22:54
	6	your opinion was based on what you said was an	11:22:56
	7	imbalance, an implicit disrespect for these	11:22:58
	8	photographers which you said diminished them in	11:23:03
	9	the eyes of the public, is that correct?	11:23:05
	10	A Yes.	11:23:07
	11	Q And what is the basis for your	11:23:08
	12	view that there was an imbalance and implicit	11:23:10
	13	disrespect?	11:23:14
	14	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:23:15
	15	A The basis for the opinion that	11:23:17
	16	it's an imbalance is, I think, self-evident in	11:23:21
	17	Mr. Prince's prominence in the field and the	11:23:26
	18	lower level of recognition that Mr. McNatt and	11:23:31
	19	Mr. Graham enjoy.	11:23:36
	20	Q Wouldn't that lower level of	11:23:39
	21	recognition actually mean that the use by	11:23:40
	22	Mr. Prince, if anything, would increase their	11:23:43
	23	prominence and profile?	11:23:45
	24	A No.	11:23:47
	25	Q Why?	11:23:47

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Because he left them anonymous,	11:23:48
3	he refused to identify them.	11:23:50
4	Q Now, why do you say he refused	11:23:52
5	to identify them?	11:23:54
6	A Because he didn't identify them	11:23:55
7	when he could have. I was readily able to	11:23:56
8	identify the makers of both these photographs	11:23:58
9	by dropping even if the image, even if he	11:24:00
10	didn't know originally whose images they were,	11:24:02
11	I was readily able to identify the makers of	11:24:04
12	these images by dropping them into Google	11:24:07
13	Search, Google Image Search.	11:24:09
14	Which Mr. McNatt excuse me,	11:24:12
15	Mr. Prince is clearly well versed in digital	11:24:14
16	issues and on-line issues.	11:24:20
17	Apparently he's able to	11:24:21
18	construct a hack that enables him to affect the	11:24:23
19	content of an Instagram post.	11:24:26
20	So I'm sure that he is aware of	11:24:30
21	Google Search, and if not, could become aware	11:24:31
22	of it, and could have found out who the makers	11:24:34
23	of these two images were, and apparently did	11:24:36
24	not.	11:24:42
25	Q But you don't actually know	11:24:42

			1
1	ALI	LAN COLEMAN	
2	whether Mr. Prince kne	w about Google Image	11:24:43
3	Search at the time he	made these works, do you?	11:24:45
4	A No, I d	lon't.	11:24:48
5	Q With re	espect to the	11:24:51
6	attribution did you	read the depositions of	11:24:52
7	Mr. McNatt and Mr. Gra	ham taken in this case?	11:24:58
8	MS. PEI	ES: Objection to form.	11:25:04
9	A I don't	think I read I read	11:25:05
10	the documents that cou	unsel for the Defendant	11:25:07
11	submitted to me.		11:25:12
12	I don't	think those were the	11:25:13
13	complete depositions.		11:25:14
14	Q Okay.		11:25:15
15	A I think	those were reports.	11:25:15
16	Q Okay.		11:25:17
17	So, in	this case Mr. McNatt was	11:25:18
18	deposed, and at his de	eposition it came out that	11:25:22
19	almost immediately aft	er Mr. Prince posted his	11:25:30
20	work on-line that both	n Paper magazine and	11:25:37
21	Mr. McNatt identified	himself as the	11:25:41
22	photographer of the or	riginal image.	11:25:46
23	Were yo	ou aware of that?	11:25:49
24	A No.		11:25:50
25	Q So this	s is the first time you're	11:25:50

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	hearing about it?	11:25:51
3	A Yes.	11:25:52
4	Q Does that impact your opinion?	11:25:55
5	You said that the publicity in	11:25:58
6	this case would be diminished in the eyes of	11:26:03
7	the public because people wouldn't know that	11:26:05
8	Mr. McNatt was the author.	11:26:07
9	But if I told you that	11:26:09
10	Mr. McNatt and Paper magazine immediately	11:26:10
11	identified Mr. McNatt as the author, would that	11:26:13
12	change your opinion of whether the publicity	11:26:16
13	from this use would diminish Mr. McNatt's	11:26:18
14	perception in the eyes of the public?	11:26:23
15	A Are you saying that Mr. Prince	11:26:26
16	immediately identified Mr. McNatt whenever he	11:26:28
17	presented these works?	11:26:30
18	Q Mr. McNatt and Paper magazine	11:26:32
19	identified Mr. McNatt as the author of the	11:26:35
20	original photo in comments when Mr. Prince	11:26:40
21	posted the work in social media.	11:26:45
22	So it became immediately known,	11:26:49
23	once the work was published, it became	11:26:50
24	immediately known that Mr. McNatt was the	11:26:52
25	original photographer.	11:26:55
		I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	If I ask you to assume that as a	11:26:56
3	fact, wouldn't that undermine your opinion that	11:26:58
4	the publicity diminished the diminished	11:27:01
5	Mr. McNatt or his work in the eyes of the	11:27:09
6	public?	11:27:10
7	A No.	11:27:11
8	Q Why?	11:27:11
9	A Because it does not demonstrate	11:27:14
10	in any way that that indication of authorship	11:27:15
11	enhanced Mr. McNatt's reputation or the market	11:27:23
12	value of his work.	11:27:28
13	Q Okay. But conversely, I	11:27:29
14	understand conversely, do you have any	11:27:30
15	actual evidence you can point to that the uses	11:27:34
16	by Mr. Prince in this case of the McNatt and	11:27:37
17	Graham photos actually diminished the	11:27:39
18	reputation of either photographer or their	11:27:42
19	photos?	11:27:44
20	A No.	11:27:45
21	Q So this is really your theory,	11:27:46
22	but it's not something where there is some	11:27:48
23	evidence you can point to, correct?	11:27:51
24	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:27:52
25	A It's my opinion.	11:27:53

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q It's your opinion?	11:27:53
3	A I was asked to state my opinion.	11:27:55
4	Q Is there any way to test that	11:27:57
5	opinion?	11:27:58
6	A I suppose the test would be to	11:28:06
7	see if the sales of those images have risen by	11:28:08
8	some considerable amount since the use of	11:28:21
9	since the published use of them by Mr. Prince.	11:28:26
10	Q And what level do you consider a	11:28:31
11	considerable amount?	11:28:33
12	A I don't know the individual	11:28:37
13	sales track records of these photographers, so	11:28:38
14	I couldn't give a quantity, a hypothetical	11:28:41
15	quantity.	11:28:47
16	Q So wait a second, in opining in	11:28:47
17	this case that Prince's use had an adverse	11:28:50
18	impact on the market for these two photographs,	11:28:56
19	you didn't actually look at the sales records	11:28:59
20	for either of these photos?	11:29:02
21	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:29:04
22	A That was not my I did not say	11:29:05
23	that it had had an adverse effect. That's a	11:29:07
24	false statement.	11:29:10
25	Q So you really don't know either	11:29:11

			1
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	way whether it's had a positive impact, a	11:29:12
	3	negative impact or maybe no impact at all?	11:29:16
	4	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:29:19
	5	Q You don't know, do you, sir?	11:29:19
	6	A No, I don't know.	11:29:20
	7	Q So this is just your theory, but	11:29:21
	8	it's a theory that wasn't based on review of	11:29:23
	9	any actual sales records by either of the	11:29:26
	10	Defendants in this case with respect to the two	11:29:28
	11	photos at issue, was it?	11:29:30
	12	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:29:32
	13	A No.	11:29:32
	14	But let me I need to clarify	11:29:36
	15	this. It wasn't my theory that it had had, as	11:29:38
	16	you put it, those are your words, an adverse	11:29:41
	17	effect.	11:29:43
	18	Q I'm sorry?	11:29:44
	19	A I never stated that Mr. Prince's	11:29:45
	20	uses of these photographs had had, these are	11:29:48
	21	your words I'm repeating here, a negative	11:29:51
	22	effect.	11:29:54
	23	I never stated that. Those are	11:29:57
	24	your words.	11:29:58
	25	Q So then what is your opinion?	11:29:59
ı			I

			_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	I'm sorry.		11:30:00
3	А	My opinion was that it could	11:30:02
4	have.		11:30:03
5	Q	Could have?	11:30:04
6	А	Yes, which is different than had	11:30:04
7	had.		11:30:05
8	Q	So, it could, but then also	11:30:06
9	equally it cou	ld not; it actually might have	11:30:08
10	enhanced their	reputations, correct?	11:30:10
11		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	11:30:13
12	А	I wouldn't know.	11:30:13
13	Q	You wouldn't know.	11:30:14
14		So	11:30:16
15	А	I haven't let's put it this	11:30:17
16	way, I have no	t seen anything that suggests	11:30:19
17	that their rep	utations have been enhanced,	11:30:21
18	including the	articles that I found relative to	11:30:24
19	this case, the	y did not suggest that somehow	11:30:28
20	these photogra	phers were that their profile,	11:30:30
21	that their rep	utations had been enhanced by	11:30:36
22	Prince's use o	f the work.	11:30:39
23	Q	But you also haven't seen	11:30:40
24	anything to su	ggest that their reputations have	11:30:41
25	been impaired,	have you?	11:30:43

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A No.	11:30:45
3	Q So you really haven't seen any	11:30:45
4	evidence either way?	11:30:47
5	A No.	11:30:48
6	MR. BALLON: Why don't we take a	11:30:53
7	break, its 11:30; maybe a ten minute	11:30:54
8	break.	11:30:57
9	MS. APPLETON: Before we go off	11:30:59
10	the record, I would like to point out	11:31:00
11	that it appears that the updated CV was	11:31:01
12	sent perhaps to a mailing list for just	11:31:05
13	the McNatt case, and that nobody on	11:31:08
14	behalf of Gagosian Gallery, Inc. or	11:31:09
15	Laurence Gagosian received the updated	11:31:11
16	CV.	11:31:14
17	We now have a copy, but this is the	11:31:14
18	first time that we have been able to see	11:31:15
19	it.	11:31:17
20	MS. PELES: Okay, I apologize for	11:31:19
21	that.	11:31:21
22	MS. APPLETON: We ask in the	11:31:22
23	future the mailing list for the Graham	11:31:22
24	case be used as well for anything like	11:31:24
25	that.	11:31:26

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	MS. PELES: Understood.	11:31:27
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment,	11:31:28
4	please. Watch your microphones.	11:31:29
5	Here now marks the end of video	11:31:31
6	file number 1. The time is now 11:31 a.m.	11:31:33
7	We are now off the record.	11:31:36
8	(At this point in the proceedings	11:31:38
9	there was a recess, after which the	11:31:38
10	deposition continued as follows:)	11:31:38
11	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here now marks	11:59:21
12	the beginning of video file number 2,	11:59:22
13	the time is 11:59 a.m. We are back on	11:59:24
14	the record.	11:59:27
15	Q Mr. Coleman, are you a member of	11:59:29
16	the National Writers' Union?	11:59:32
17	A I am not currently a member, but	11:59:34
18	I have been, I was a member for a number of	11:59:35
19	years, yes.	11:59:37
20	Q Have you held any executive	11:59:38
21	positions with the National Writers' Union?	11:59:39
22	A Not that I recall, no.	11:59:45
23	Q Are you a member of any other	11:59:46
24	unions or guilds?	11:59:47
25	A I am a past member of the	11:59:48

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	American Society of Journalists & Authors, the	11:59:50
3	Authors' Guild, the International Association	11:59:53
4	of Critics of Art, and I am a current member of	11:59:57
5	the Society for Photographic Education.	12:00:02
6	Q I'm sorry, what was the last	12:00:06
7	one?	12:00:07
8	A The Society for Photographic	12:00:07
9	Education.	12:00:09
10	Q What is the Society for	12:00:12
11	Photographic Education? I'm not familiar with	12:00:12
12	that.	12:00:15
13	A The Society for Photographic	12:00:15
14	Education was founded roughly 50 years ago, I	12:00:16
15	think it's a little over 50 years now.	12:00:20
16	And it's basically an	12:00:23
17	organization of photography teachers and other	12:00:24
18	people involved in photo education, most of it	12:00:28
19	post-secondary, meaning college level, art	12:00:31
20	institute level, et cetera.	12:00:36
21	But there was some high school	12:00:37
22	teachers and grade school teachers of	12:00:38
23	photography in the organization, and there are	12:00:40
24	other people, critics, curators, et cetera,	12:00:42
25	whose work sort of overlaps with photo	12:00:44

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	education.	12:00:47
3	Q Can you tell me what's the	12:00:48
4	International Association of Art Critics?	12:00:49
5	A It's what it says, it's an	12:00:52
6	international association of art critics.	12:00:54
7	Q Okay, how long have you been a	12:01:00
8	member of that organization?	12:01:02
9	A My membership in most of these	12:01:04
10	organizations has lapsed in recent years,	12:01:06
11	because I'm not as actively involved in	12:01:08
12	publishing my work as I used to be.	12:01:11
13	But it's it was founded I	12:01:15
14	believe in Europe, post World War II, and it	12:01:18
15	has branches in different countries and holds	12:01:24
16	annual national conferences and I think an	12:01:29
17	international conference as well every year.	12:01:32
18	Q And you're less involved in	12:01:36
19	these organizations because earlier you	12:01:37
20	testified you're semi-retired, is that correct?	12:01:39
21	A Yeah, I'm less professionally	12:01:41
22	involved in publishing and in the diversity in	12:01:43
23	publications than I used to be.	12:01:46
24	I'm mostly publishing on my blog	12:01:47
25	at this point.	12:01:49

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 77

г		<u> </u>	1
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	Q I see. And when did you cut	12:01:50
	3	back on your involvement in organizations?	12:01:52
	4	A In those organizations, probably	12:01:54
	5	over the within the last ten years.	12:01:55
	6	Q Within the last ten years, okay.	12:01:59
	7	Do you use Instagram?	12:02:04
	8	A No, I don't, but I look at it.	12:02:06
	9	I'm basically a writer, so Instagram is not as	12:02:08
	10	useful to me as it would be to somebody who	12:02:10
	11	makes a lot of pictures.	12:02:13
	12	Q Do you use other social media	12:02:14
	13	platforms?	12:02:16
	14	A Oh, yes. I am on Twitter, I am	12:02:16
	15	on, there is a new one called Alignable, I have	12:02:18
	16	a LinkedIn account, I had a Facebook account	12:02:23
	17	until very recently.	12:02:26
	18	Once Mark Zuckerberg announced	12:02:28
	19	that he considered us fucking idiots for	12:02:30
	20	trusting us with that data, I promptly took my	12:02:34
	21	Facebook page down.	12:02:38
	22	So yes, I'm aware of and	12:02:39
	23	involved in social media.	12:02:40
	24	Q So, with respect to Facebook,	12:02:42
	25	what exactly was the incident that caused you	12:02:44

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	to cancel your Facebook account?	12:02:47
3	A It was recently revealed that at	12:02:49
4	the outset of Facebook, while he was still	12:02:50
5	developing it, Mark Zuckerberg was in	12:02:54
6	correspondence with I guess a friend of his who	12:02:56
7	was also involved in the project, maybe, and	12:02:58
8	who expressed surprise at the fact that people	12:03:01
9	were trusting him with all of this personal	12:03:04
10	data.	12:03:07
11	And he said yeah, "they are	12:03:07
12	fucking idiots," I think that's the quote,	12:03:08
13	something truly derogatory on that level, and I	12:03:11
14	thought okay, that's it for me, so I am out.	12:03:13
15	Q I see, okay.	12:03:17
16	And with respect to Twitter,	12:03:20
17	when did you first set up a Twitter account?	12:03:23
18	A Four or five years ago.	12:03:28
19	Q What's your handle?	12:03:29
20	A ADColeman1.	12:03:31
21	Q And there is an ADColeman	12:03:34
22	someone else has?	12:03:37
23	A No, I don't know why that I	12:03:38
24	put my own name in and they said taken or	12:03:41
25	whatever it was.	12:03:44

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	I never located another one,	12:03:45
3	but so I just added a 1 to it.	12:03:49
4	Q I see. And what do you how	12:03:54
5	active are you in terms of tweeting?	12:03:56
6	A Not hugely active. I haven't	12:03:59
7	done anything for a bit, but foremostly I use	12:04:01
8	it to make announcements of when I am giving a	12:04:06
9	lecture or making some kind of public	12:04:09
10	appearance or when a new post appears on my	12:04:13
11	blog, something, things of that nature.	12:04:15
12	Q Okay.	12:04:21
13	A Basically for professional	12:04:23
14	announcements, not for personal announcements.	12:04:24
15	Q Okay, all right.	12:04:29
16	Let's get back to your report,	12:04:30
17	sir, I want to go back to paragraph 7, the	12:04:33
18	summary of your opinions.	12:04:37
19	You opined that the Prince works	12:04:41
20	use a substantial portion of Plaintiffs' works	12:04:43
21	and the Prince works are not transformative of	12:04:47
22	Plaintiffs' works.	12:04:50
23	When you say substantial	12:04:52
24	portion, what do you mean?	12:04:53
25	A I mean the the larger amount	12:04:55

г			1
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	of the the total of the original images as I	12:05:01
	3	have seen them.	12:05:06
	4	Q In your view is that significant	12:05:10
	5	to the issue of fair use?	12:05:12
	6	A Yes.	12:05:15
	7	Q Where do you draw the line	12:05:17
	8	between what would be a significant and a not	12:05:18
	9	significant portion sorry, substantial?	12:05:25
	10	Where would you draw the line	12:05:29
	11	between substantial portion and insubstantial	12:05:30
	12	portion?	12:05:33
	13	A Well, again, you would have to	12:05:35
	14	deal with that on a case by case basis. I	12:05:36
	15	think there is no overall line that can be	12:05:38
	16	drawn.	12:05:42
	17	Q So, how do you know when that	12:05:43
	18	when you are in the area of substantial; is it	12:05:46
	19	based on your judgment and experience?	12:05:48
	20	A It's based on judgment and	12:05:50
	21	experience. It's also based on the fact that	12:05:52
	22	the major content of both of these images is	12:05:54
	23	included in the versions of them that	12:06:00
	24	Mr. Prince appropriated.	12:06:03
	25	Q Did you review any case law on	12:06:08

			_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	fair use in pu	tting together this opinion?	12:06:11
3	А	No.	12:06:14
4	Q	Do you typically review fair use	12:06:16
5	opinions when	they come out?	12:06:20
6	A	When they pertain to	12:06:22
7	photography, o	ften, yes.	12:06:23
8	Q	Often.	12:06:25
9		Are you familiar with the Cariou	12:06:26
10	case?		12:06:28
11	A	Yes.	12:06:28
12	Q	Did you read the Cariou case	12:06:29
13	when it came o	ut?	12:06:30
14	A	If you mean did I read the	12:06:32
15	entirety, no?	But I read summaries of it in	12:06:34
16	various public	ations.	12:06:37
17	Q	And do you think that that's a	12:06:40
18	good opinion?		12:06:43
19		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	12:06:45
20	A	Good is a value judgment.	12:06:48
21	Q	Do you think it's a correct	12:06:49
22	opinion?		12:06:50
23	A	No.	12:06:51
24	Q	In what ways do you think the	12:06:52
25	Cariou opinion	is not correct?	12:06:53

			7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	A	I think that the majority of the	12:06:55
3	content of the	imagery was appropriated, and I	12:07:01
4	think that goe	s against the fair use	12:07:06
5	requirement th	at only small portions,	12:07:09
6	comparatively	small portions be used.	12:07:13
7	Q	Did you read the District	12:07:15
8	Court's opinio	n in this case denying the	12:07:17
9	Defendant's mo	tion to dismiss?	12:07:20
10	A	In the Cariou case?	12:07:23
11	Q	No, in this case, in this case	12:07:24
12	involving Grah	am and McNatt.	12:07:27
13	A	I don't believe that was in the	12:07:29
14	documents that	I was presented with.	12:07:31
15	Q	I see, I see.	12:07:33
16		But the Cariou case was	12:07:34
17	А	No, no, that is years before.	12:07:37
18	Q	That's something that you read	12:07:40
19	years before?		12:07:40
20	А	Yes.	12:07:41
21	Q	All right, so you didn't read	12:07:45
22	independently	about it.	12:07:46
23		Did you have an opinion about	12:07:48
24	Mr. Prince or	his works at the time you were	12:07:50
25	contacted by t	he Cravath law firm to possibly	12:07:52
1			

1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	write a report	in this case?	12:07:56
3	A	I don't know Mr. Prince, I have	12:07:58
4	no opinion abo	ut him.	12:08:00
5	Q	Did you have an opinion of his	12:08:02
6	work?		12:08:03
7	A	I have seen various of his	12:08:05
8	works, and hav	e opinions about those works,	12:08:07
9	depending on -	- depending on the works. That's	12:08:13
10	not an overall	opinion.	12:08:18
11	Q	But you have written about	12:08:19
12	his you had	written about his use of	12:08:21
13	photography in	art, hadn't you?	12:08:23
14	A	Only really in passing. I've	12:08:26
15	never really r	eviewed an exhibition or a	12:08:27
16	publication of	his work.	12:08:30
17	Q	I see.	12:08:32
18		Did you inspect the Prince	12:08:32
19	paintings at i	ssue in this case in preparing	12:08:36
20	your report?		12:08:38
21	A	No.	12:08:39
22	Q	Have you seen them at any time?	12:08:43
23		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	12:08:48
24	A	Only in reproduction.	12:08:48
25	Q	And by reproduction, do you mean	12:08:50

	L	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2 photocopied	photocopied pages?	
	3 A	Right, yeah.	12:08:55
4	4 Q	Do you know what size they are?	12:08:58
!	5 A	Not offhand, no, but I	12:09:00
	understand	that they are large. Bigger than a	12:09:01
	7 breadbox.		12:09:05
	3 Q	Bigger than a breadbox, okay.	12:09:06
	e	All right, and so with	12:09:13
10	respect to	your opinion, the Prince works are	12:09:16
1	l not transfo	ermative, what is the basis for that	12:09:19
12	2 opinion?		12:09:21
1	3 A	Well, let me give you an example	12:09:24
1	from my own	from my own professional practice so that	
1!	because it'	because it's easier for me maybe to explain	
1	that way.		12:09:33
1'	7	I work on the Apple platform, so	12:09:35
18	3 I write on	a Mac.	12:09:37
19	e	In writing on a Mac, I use Word	12:09:41
20) for Mac, wh	nich is a Microsoft program, and I	12:09:42
2	l generally s	save my files as rich text format	12:09:47
2	2 files, beca	use they are most easily readable by	12:09:51
2	3 all other w	ord processing programs.	12:09:54
24	1	And in my files, I generally	12:09:56
2!	work in the	e type font that's called Arial,	12:09:58

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	which is a sans serif font, because I find that	12:10:01
3	easy to read, and I have a 12 point on my	12:10:05
4	screen, 12 point font.	12:10:09
5	So my file, my rich text file is	12:10:13
6	a Word for Mac rich text file, that is in arial	12:10:16
7	12 point.	12:10:21
8	When I write an essay and I find	12:10:23
9	an editor who is interested in, or a publisher,	12:10:25
10	book publisher who is interested in publishing	12:10:28
11	that essay, I send them that file.	12:10:30
12	Now, when they get that file,	12:10:34
13	most often they are not necessarily anyhow, Mac	12:10:36
14	users, so they will import that file into most	12:10:41
15	probably Word for Windows which transforms it	12:10:44
16	in some way. It changes it, certainly.	12:10:48
17	And they may very well not work	12:10:52
18	in rich text format file. They are, most will	12:10:54
19	be probably going to make that a Word .doc file	12:10:56
20	or Word .docx file, which is most common in the	12:10:59
21	publishing industry.	12:11:02
22	That editor may very well not	12:11:07
23	appreciate reading in Arial 12 point, they may	12:11:10
24	change it to a serif font, like Times New	12:11:12
25	Roman, and they may bump up the type size to 14	12:11:15
I		l

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	point.	12:11:19
3	So they have already changed my	12:11:20
4	file in those ways.	12:11:23
5	Then they and I are going to	12:11:26
6	have a discussion in which we negotiate in	12:11:27
7	which we negotiate editorial changes, and we	12:11:33
8	will agree on a certain set of editorial	12:11:38
9	changes.	12:11:41
10	And I will then license to them	12:11:41
11	publication rights to that essay, whatever	12:11:44
12	rights we have negotiated for English language	12:11:47
13	publication rights, whatever.	12:11:51
14	They will then send that file to	12:11:55
15	their the file, the edited version that we	12:11:58
16	have created, they will send that to their	12:12:00
17	in-house design or their outsourced design	12:12:03
18	firm.	12:12:07
19	And that designer will drop that	12:12:07
20	file into an InDesign template. So it will	12:12:09
21	cease to be a Word file in either Word RTF for	12:12:11
22	Mac or Word doc or docx for Windows, and it	12:12:15
23	will become an InDesign file.	12:12:19
24	And then they will contextualize	12:12:21
25	it, they will put a headline on it, which may	12:12:22

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	or may not be the title I gave the piece.	12:12:25
3	They will put surrounding	12:12:27
4	material, they may add an editor's note, they	12:12:29
5	may add illustrations, they may add other	12:12:33
6	things.	12:12:35
7	There will probably be ads	12:12:36
8	involved, and they will recontextualize it.	12:12:37
9	They will send that, the	12:12:44
10	designer will then send that final to their	12:12:45
11	printer, and their printer will print that out	12:12:48
12	as an actual printed page on paper.	12:12:52
13	That is a radically different	12:12:56
14	form from what I originally created, but as I	12:12:57
15	understand it, that is still my essay.	12:13:02
16	Even though it has been	12:13:06
17	radically transformed by all of these	12:13:08
18	technological changes, that is still my essay,	12:13:09
19	and that content is still exactly my content	12:13:11
20	covered by copyright.	12:13:15
21	Now, so when you as a subscriber	12:13:18
22	to this magazine, pick this up, you are reading	12:13:21
23	my essay, as I understand it. You are not	12:13:24
24	reading their essay, you are reading my essay.	12:13:27
25	Now, let's go this may go a	12:13:30

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	step further, because this magazine quite	12:13:32
3	probably nowadays will have an on-line aspect,	12:13:35
4	so they will post it on-line.	12:13:40
5	Well, to post it on-line, it has	12:13:42
6	to be transformed yet again into hypertext	12:13:44
7	markup language, HTML, and it will be	12:13:46
8	transformed that way.	12:13:49
9	So you may read it that way or	12:13:50
10	someone else may read it that way, further	12:13:51
11	transformed.	12:13:53
12	But that is still, as I	12:13:55
13	understand it, my essay.	12:13:56
14	Now, beyond that, you may	12:13:59
15	decide, because you are a subscriber, you have	12:14:02
16	access to the on-line version as well, and you	12:14:04
17	really like a passage in my essay and you	12:14:06
18	decide you want to put that passage on your	12:14:11
19	wall.	12:14:13
20	So you copy and paste that text,	12:14:14
21	and you put it into a program that enables you	12:14:16
22	to change the font.	12:14:22
23	You happen to prefer, because I	12:14:24
24	can see from your age and style of dress, what	12:14:26
25	that would be you happen to prefer a 1960	12:14:29

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	psychodelic type font.	12:14:31
3	And you put my text into a 1960	12:14:33
4	psychodelic type font, and you add some 1960	12:14:37
5	style flower power images to it, and you blow	12:14:40
6	it up to a certain size, and you send it out to	12:14:45
7	a company.	12:14:49
8	And there are many such	12:14:49
9	companies that will take an image, you turn it	12:14:50
10	into a JPEG and you blow it up and you send to	12:14:54
11	it to a company that will turn that into a work	12:14:56
12	on canvas for your wall, and it comes back in	12:14:58
13	two weeks and you put it up on your wall.	12:15:02
14	And you have radically	12:15:06
15	transformed an excerpt of my text, and that is	12:15:06
16	still my text, as I understand it.	12:15:11
17	You haven't gained copyright to	12:15:15
18	it, you haven't gained authority to market it	12:15:16
19	in any way; that's still my text.	12:15:19
20	So that's how I understand this	12:15:23
21	as a maker of intellectual property.	12:15:25
22	Q But text is different than a	12:15:28
23	painting, isn't it?	12:15:29
24	A No, it's it can be, but it's	12:15:30
25	also a graphic element, and many designers	12:15:32

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	simply treat it as a graphic element, so it's	12:15:36
3	not inherently different in that sense.	12:15:38
4	Q But a painting generally is	12:15:43
5	different than the process of editing text,	12:15:45
6	which doesn't involve the addition of new	12:15:49
7	original creative material, correct?	12:15:51
8	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	12:15:53
9	A Not necessarily. There are	12:15:56
10	people who paint texts.	12:15:57
11	Q How long have you been blogging	12:16:01
12	about copyright and photography?	12:16:02
13	A I actually began publishing on	12:16:07
14	the internet in 1995, publishing a website that	12:16:09
15	eventually became called the Nearby Cafe, which	12:16:14
16	included, among other content, a newsletter of	12:16:18
17	mine.	12:16:23
18	This was pre-blogware, a	12:16:23
19	newsletter of mine called C, the letter C, the	12:16:25
20	speed of light.	12:16:28
21	And that eventually turned into	12:16:30
22	a blog which I've been publishing since,	12:16:32
23	roughly nine years, called Photo Critic	12:16:36
24	International.	12:16:38
25	So that began in June, if I	12:16:40

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	recall, 2009.		12:16:42
3	Q	So you've been writing a blog	12:16:45
4	for about nine	e years, and you've been writing	12:16:46
5	about photogra	aphy and copyright issues for	12:16:48
6	roughly 23 yea	ars?	12:16:51
7	А	No, roughly 50 years.	12:16:53
8	Q	50 years, yes?	12:16:55
9		But writing on-line for 25	12:16:56
10	years?		12:16:59
11	А	Yes.	12:16:59
12	Q	And writing in general in	12:17:00
13	copyright issu	es for roughly 50 years?	12:17:02
14	А	Roughly.	12:17:05
15	Q	Can you think of any instance in	12:17:05
16	that time wher	n a photograph has been reused in	12:17:07
17	a painting whe	ere you feel that that reuse was	12:17:12
18	properly a fai	r use?	12:17:17
19	А	You need to define photograph.	12:17:23
20	Are you speaki	ng of the image or are you	12:17:24
21	speaking of th	ne object?	12:17:27
22	Q	Explain the difference.	12:17:29
23	А	Well, a photograph, as we used	12:17:31
24	to think of it	, meaning a physical print,	12:17:40
25	right, exists	as both an image and an object.	12:17:45

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 92

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	There is a physical thing,	12:17:50
3	right, which is the print, and there is the	12:17:51
4	image, which is not it's embedded in that	12:17:56
5	physical thing, but it can be embedded in other	12:18:01
6	things, including nonmaterial things, for	12:18:04
7	example a JPEG.	12:18:10
8	A JPEG is not in the do I	12:18:12
9	need to explain JPEG?	12:18:16
10	Q No, I understand what a JPEG is.	12:18:18
11	A A JPEG is not, in a certain	12:18:21
12	sense, a physical thing. It exists as a set	12:18:23
13	of, you know, 1s and 0s on a drive somewhere.	12:18:26
14	But it's not a physical thing in	12:18:33
15	the way that a gelatin silver print is a print.	12:18:34
16	So, there are paintings that	12:18:38
17	include physical prints of photographs, and	12:18:43
18	there are paintings that include or are derived	12:18:47
19	from photographic images, and they are not one	12:18:52
20	and the same thing, although they may be one	12:18:57
21	and the same thing.	12:18:59
22	Q I see. Well, let's start more	12:19:00
23	broadly. From either category, can you	12:19:02
24	identify an instances in your 50 year career	12:19:04
25	when a photograph has been reused in a painting	12:19:09

1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	that you have considered to be properly	a fair 12:	19:12
3	use?	12:	19:15
4	A I am sure there are, yes	12:	19:18
5	Q Can you identify any?	12:	19:19
6	A Reused specifically in a	12:	19:30
7	painting?	12:	19:32
8	Q Yes.	12:	19:32
9	A Yes, certainly.	12:	19:36
10	Q Okay.	12:	19:37
11	A There is a series by, of	12:	19:37
12	paintings by Bob Dillon, the musician,	that 12:	19:41
13	have begun to be exhibited and published	ed in 12:	19:45
14	reproduction form in the last, I would	say four 12:	19:49
15	or five years.	12:	19:52
16	And many of those paints	ings have 12:	19:57
17	been done from photographs.	12:	19:58
18	Q And what is it about the	ose 12:	20:03
19	paintings that make the use of photogra	aphs a 12:	20:04
20	fair use, in your view?	12:	20:07
21	A He licensed the usage of	any 12:	20:09
22	copyrighted photographs.	12:	20:11
23	Q I see. So the fact that	the got 12:	20:12
24	a license then makes it permissible, ir	n your 12:	20:14
25	view?	12:	20:18

1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	A Ye	S.	12:20:18
3	Q So		12:20:19
4	A I	understand that that's the	12:20:20
5	legal fact.		12:20:21
6	Q Ri	ght. So let me ask, I want to	12:20:22
7	make sure I'm cle	ar, in your 50 year career	12:20:24
8	writing about pho	tographs and copyright, are	12:20:28
9	you aware of any	instance when an artist used a	12:20:30
10	photograph in a pa	ainting without paying a	12:20:37
11	licensee where yo	u believe that use properly	12:20:40
12	was a fair use?		12:20:43
13	A A	copyrighted photograph?	12:20:45
14	Q Ye	s.	12:20:47
15	A No	t if the entire photograph was	12:20:51
16	used.		12:20:53
17	Q Ok	ay. And is it your view that	12:20:54
18	if an entire copy	righted photograph is used in	12:20:55
19	a painting, it wi	ll never be a fair use?	12:20:58
20	A We	ll, again, this is this	12:21:02
21	depends, it depend	ds on the quality or the style	12:21:04
22	of the painting,	for example.	12:21:08
23	If	it is radically transformed	12:21:10
24	by the painting as	nd is simply the basis for the	12:21:11
25	painting, that wo	uld be different than if it's	12:21:13

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	pretty much replicated line for line, tone for	12:21:18
3	tone.	12:21:21
4	Q When you say radically	12:21:21
5	transformed by the painting, what do you mean?	12:21:22
6	Do you mean if the photographic	12:21:25
7	image itself is radically transformed, or if	12:21:26
8	the use surrounding the photograph is	12:21:29
9	involves radical transformation?	12:21:33
10	A I would mean that the photograph	12:21:37
11	itself would be radically transformed	12:21:39
12	stylistically in some way.	12:21:42
13	If, let's say a	12:21:44
14	photojournalistic image had been rendered by	12:21:49
15	Picasso in one of his many styles, I would	12:21:52
16	consider that a fair use of the image.	12:21:56
17	Q But your view is if a if a	12:22:01
18	copyrighted photograph is used without radical	12:22:04
19	transformation of the photograph itself, then	12:22:10
20	by definition, regardless of how it's used in a	12:22:12
21	painting, it wouldn't be a fair use?	12:22:15
22	A It would certainly be up for	12:22:18
23	question.	12:22:20
24	Q Well, is it your opinion that it	12:22:26
25	would be possible to use a photo without	12:22:30

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	modifying the photo in a painting where,	12:22:34
3	because of the other artistic things about the	12:22:37
4	painting, besides the photograph, that the use	12:22:41
5	would be a fair use, in your view?	12:22:43
6	A No.	12:22:48
7	And again, we are we are	12:22:54
8	speaking of the photographic image and not the	12:22:57
9	photographic object.	12:23:00
10	I need this to be very clear.	12:23:01
11	Q Okay. And again, to be clear,	12:23:02
12	the photographic image, you mean the	12:23:04
13	copyrighted photo as opposed to the object	12:23:06
14	represented in the photo?	12:23:09
15	A Right. Meaning that if a	12:23:10
16	painter embeds a physical photo that he has	12:23:11
17	legal possession of into a painting, physically	12:23:14
18	embeds it in the surface of the painting in	12:23:18
19	some way, I don't consider that to be a	12:23:20
20	violation of fair use.	12:23:23
21	Q Okay. So in this case, if	12:23:27
22	Mr. Prince had simply taken a copy of the	12:23:29
23	Graham photo or the McNatt photo and pasted	12:23:35
24	that in the center of each painting, rather	12:23:40
25	than reprinting it, in your view that would be	12:23:43
1		

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	a fair use?		12:23:46
3	А	Yes.	12:23:47
4	Q	Let me show you what's been	12:23:51
5	marked as Exhi	bit 213.	12:23:52
6		(The above described document was	12:23:55
7	marked	Exhibit 213 for identification, as	12:23:55
8	of thi	s date.)	12:23:55
9	Q	I will represent to you that	12:23:55
10	this is a sett	lement in the In re: Literary	12:23:56
11	Works in Elect	ronic Databases Copyright	12:23:59
12	Litigation cas	e.	12:24:01
13		That is the series of	12:24:03
14	consolidated a	nd coordinated class action	12:24:05
15	suits.		12:24:07
16	А	Can we meet again in a week so I	12:24:07
17	can read this?		12:24:09
18		Sorry.	12:24:12
19	Q	Sorry, following on the original	12:24:13
20	suit brought b	y your friend, Jonathan Tasini.	12:24:14
21		Do you recognize this document	12:24:19
22	as the settlem	ent of what we referred to	12:24:21
23	earlier as the	Tasini litigation in which you	12:24:24
24	are a named Pl	aintiff?	12:24:27
25	А	No.	12:24:28

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q I would like to ask you to look	12:24:30
3	at page 16 of this document, which describes a	12:24:32
4	payout and settlement of the In re: Literary	12:24:35
5	Works in Electronic Databases Copyright	12:24:38
6	Litigation case that lists category A subject	12:24:42
7	works, category B subject works and category C	12:24:44
8	subject works, and ask you if that looks	12:24:47
9	generally familiar to you as the payout	12:24:53
10	schedule in settlement of that litigation?	12:24:58
11	A I don't actually recall if I	12:25:00
12	ever saw the schedule.	12:25:02
13	Q I see.	12:25:05
14	So your knowledge about the	12:25:07
15	case, would that have been based on what your	12:25:08
16	lawyers told you, or that it might have been	12:25:09
17	printed by the National Writers' Union in some	12:25:12
18	publication?	12:25:14
19	A It's been no, I never	12:25:14
20	consulted with lawyers on this, so it would be	12:25:16
21	based on what I remember from back when this	12:25:21
22	was filed umpteen years ago.	12:25:25
23	Q Okay.	12:25:29
24	So you are familiar that you are	12:25:31
25	a named Plaintiff in a case that settled, but	12:25:32

	_		1	
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
	2	you don't you can't recognize if this	12:25:35	
	3	particular payout is the payout schedule?	12:25:38	
	4	A No; I can't say that I do.	12:25:42	
	5	Q I will represent to you that it	12:25:45	
	6	is, but I appreciate you don't it doesn't	12:25:46	
	7	ring a bell for you.	12:25:51	
	8	A No.	12:25:52	
	9	Q I would like to ask you to look	12:25:59	
	10	at paragraph 10 of your declaration.	12:26:00	
	11	Actually, maybe, if you wouldn't	12:26:07	
	12	mind, if you could read that for me for the	12:26:08	
	13	benefit of the court reporter and not too	12:26:12	
	14	quickly, because he's an excellent typist,	12:26:15	
	15	but	12:26:17	
	16	A "Because postmodern theory	12:26:20	
	17	underpins the artistic practice of Richard	12:26:26	
	18	Prince, as manifested in this case, while also	12:26:29	
	19	buttressing Prince's own articulated defense	12:26:33	
	20	and the supporting arguments of his defenders,	12:26:36	
	21	and because most of the arguments in the	12:26:41	
	22	Defendants' expert reports I have reviewed are	12:26:42	
	23	premised on elements of what in the discourse	12:26:46	
	24	on art is generally referred to as 'postmodern	12:26:50	
	25	theory' I find it impossible to discuss the	12:26:54	
- 1			i .	

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	particulars of this case without first setting	12:26:59
3	forth and analyzing this theory itself (as I	12:27:02
4	understand it), as well as the ways in which	12:27:06
5	Prince and his advocates and supporters use the	12:27:08
6	theory to justify his actions."	12:27:11
7	Q Now, sir, what is your	12:27:15
8	background and experience that makes you an	12:27:17
9	expert on postmodern theory?	12:27:22
10	A Well, postmodern theory is one	12:27:24
11	of a number of theories in action in the field	12:27:27
12	of art criticism, literary criticism, photo	12:27:36
13	criticism, of course, and other areas.	12:27:40
14	I have taught this theory in	12:27:45
15	courses at New York University, I have read a	12:27:46
16	great deal, of course, since it began to emerge	12:27:50
17	in the 1970s, because it impinged on my and	12:27:53
18	entered my own field.	12:27:56
19	I have been on panels about it,	12:27:59
20	I have published articles in relation to it, I	12:28:02
21	have written about various postmodern works of	12:28:05
22	art by various postmodern artists.	12:28:08
23	I have read a great deal of it,	12:28:12
24	and I have discussed it with my colleagues in	12:28:14
25	the field who do or don't or have various	12:28:16

		_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	relationships to postmodern theory.	12:28:20
3	Q What is the basis for your	12:28:24
4	assertion that Prince and his advocates and	12:28:25
5	supporters use postmodern theory to justify	12:28:29
6	their actions?	12:28:32
7	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	12:28:34
8	MR. BALLON: Sorry, I couldn't	12:28:36
9	hear. You what's the objection?	12:28:36
10	MS. PELES: I objected to form.	12:28:38
11	I think he uses defenders, and you said	12:28:38
12	advocates and supporters.	12:28:40
13	MR. BALLON: I am actually	12:28:42
14	reading it word for word, verbatim, from	12:28:43
15	his report.	12:28:45
16	So I don't I just ask you to	12:28:46
17	refrain from objections, if you don't	12:28:48
18	mind, when it comes literally from his	12:28:50
19	report.	12:28:53
20	To avoid the confusion here, this	12:28:54
21	is just discussion between lawyers.	12:28:56
22	I will ask the court reporter to	12:28:57
23	kindly please read back the question.	12:28:58
24	(The question requested was read	12:29:00
25	back by the reporter.)	12:29:00
1		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Because they use the language of	12:29:21
3	postmodern discourse, the theory of post the	12:29:24
4	language of postmodern discourse and theory	12:29:28
5	frequently in their defense of Prince, and	12:29:31
6	Prince himself does that.	12:29:35
7	Q And who are these people, these	12:29:37
8	advocates and supporters, who are you referring	12:29:38
9	to?	12:29:40
10	A Lisa Philips, Allan Schwartzman,	12:29:42
11	Brian Wallace, Prince himself; I can't remember	12:29:45
12	the whole list.	12:29:50
13	But the documents that I was	12:29:52
14	provided as Defendants' reports on Defendants'	12:29:54
15	case for Prince.	12:30:01
16	Q What did these experts actually	12:30:02
17	say about postmodern theory?	12:30:04
18	A Well, they basically justify	12:30:05
19	Prince's use of the Plaintiffs' work on the	12:30:08
20	grounds that appropriation, which is a	12:30:14
21	postmodern theory term, is basically a	12:30:17
22	justification for Prince's actions in this case	12:30:23
23	in regard to Plaintiffs' works.	12:30:28
24	Q Now, did you actually read the	12:30:32
25	reports of the experts that you are referring	12:30:34
1		1

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	to?		12:30:36
3	A Y	res, I did.	12:30:36
4	Q A	and you are sure they refer to	12:30:39
5	postmodern theor	λ.	12:30:40
6	A I	I'm sure they use the language	12:30:43
7	of postmodern th	neory, which suggests that yes,	12:30:44
8	they are referri	ng to postmodern theory.	12:30:48
9	Q I	The language, and by the	12:30:50
10	language of post	modern theory, what do you	12:30:51
11	mean, exactly?		12:30:53
12	A I	ssues of concerns with or use	12:30:56
13	of terms like ap	propriation, for example, which	12:30:59
14	is a very specif	ic postmodern theory term.	12:31:02
15	Q I	see. Anything else, or just	12:31:08
16	appropriation?		12:31:11
17	A T	The basic assumptions stated and	12:31:12
18	implicit in repo	orts that it is permissible to	12:31:17
19	take the work of	other artists and use it for	12:31:22
20	your own purpose	es.	12:31:24
21	Q C	okay. And Prince himself hasn't	12:31:27
22	said that, has h	ie?	12:31:30
23	M	IS. PELES: Objection to form.	12:31:33
24	A I	don't know.	12:31:34
25	Q B	But you say "Prince and his	12:31:36

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	advocates and supporters."	12:31:37
3	So that's sort of one person and	12:31:39
4	two different groups, advocates, supporters,	12:31:42
5	Prince.	12:31:45
6	Is there anything specifically	12:31:45
7	that Mr. Prince has said that leads you to	12:31:48
8	believe that his artistic practice is	12:31:51
9	underpinned by postmodern theory?	12:31:54
10	A He has aligned himself regularly	12:32:00
11	with postmodern artists in his exhibition	12:32:01
12	practice, in various interviews, in the	12:32:05
13	galleries in which he shows, and the	12:32:12
14	exhibitions, group exhibitions in which he	12:32:13
15	shows, and the people who he has selected to	12:32:16
16	provide introductions to his exhibition	12:32:21
17	catalogues, et cetera.	12:32:24
18	All of them are, in fact, very	12:32:26
19	committed to postmodern theory.	12:32:28
20	Q So this is your interpretation,	12:32:30
21	it's not something specific that Mr. Prince has	12:32:32
22	said that you can point to?	12:32:35
23	A It may well be. I can't I	12:32:36
24	can't put I can't quote something	12:32:38
25	specifically at this point. I would have to	12:32:40

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	look through his writings.	12:32:42
3	Q As you sit here today, there is	12:32:43
4	nothing specifically you can recall Mr. Prince	12:32:44
5	saying about postmodern theory underpinning his	12:32:46
6	art?	12:32:49
7	A No.	12:32:51
8	Q And then with respect to the	12:32:53
9	experts in this case, if I told you that	12:32:54
10	actually none of the expert reports refer to	12:32:57
11	postmodern theory except the Wallace report,	12:32:59
12	where he refers to "so-called postmodern	12:33:03
13	theory," would that change your view about	12:33:06
14	whether the experts in this case use postmodern	12:33:09
15	theory to justify Mr. Prince's actions?	12:33:16
16	A No.	12:33:19
17	Q How does postmodern theory	12:33:21
18	how is postmodern theory relevant to the issue	12:33:23
19	of whether Mr. Prince's uses in this case are a	12:33:27
20	fair use, in your view?	12:33:29
21	A Because postmodern theory	12:33:32
22	rationalizes the and this is a postmodern	12:33:36
23	term, appropriation, of work by other artists	12:33:41
24	and the incorporation of that work of those	12:33:46
25	works into one's own output, as justified on	12:33:49

- 1			1
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	the grounds that there really is no such thing	12:33:55
	3	as originality in any case, that we are all	12:33:58
	4	basically composites of our culture.	12:34:02
	5	And that all artworks,	12:34:06
	6	therefore, are composites of our culture, and	12:34:07
	7	that, on that basis, since there is no	12:34:12
	8	originality, there is no possible claim for	12:34:13
	9	originality on the part of the makers of the	12:34:17
	10	incorporated works, of the appropriated works	12:34:20
	11	and there is no, therefore, legal basis for	12:34:23
	12	those works and the fact, implicitly, that	12:34:26
	13	there is no basis for copyright.	12:34:30
	14	Q So you believe that if an artist	12:34:32
	15	is a postmodern artist, that by definition,	12:34:35
	16	that artist doesn't believe in copyright	12:34:37
	17	protection?	12:34:41
	18	A Not not automatically, but	12:34:44
	19	quite probably.	12:34:46
	20	Q Could you look at what you wrote	12:34:47
	21	in paragraph 15 for me, please, and read that	12:34:48
	22	for me?	12:34:51
	23	MS. PELES: Do you want him to	12:34:56
	24	read it out loud?	12:34:56
	25	Q Yes, please, out loud.	12:34:58

	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	A "With its fundamental	12:35:00
	3	proposition that originality is a myth,	12:35:02
	4	postmodern theory is per se inconsistent with	12:35:05
	5	the concept of ownership or copyright.	12:35:07
	6	"This theory would effectively	12:35:10
	7	preempt any claim to ownership of and control	12:35:13
	8	over rights (even for limited periods) by any	12:35:16
	9	creator anywhere.	12:35:22
	10	"If its advocates prevail,	12:35:23
	11	copyright as a legal, ethical and social	12:35:26
	12	construct will evaporate."	12:35:29
	13	Q So you view postmodern art as a	12:35:33
	14	threat to copyright protection as a copyright	12:35:36
	15	owner, correct?	12:35:39
	16	A I view postmodern theory and its	12:35:39
	17	approval by the legal system as a threat.	12:35:44
	18	Q And to what extent do you	12:35:47
	19	believe the legal system has approved	12:35:49
	20	postmodern theory?	12:35:51
	21	A I believe to a considerable	12:35:53
	22	extent.	12:35:55
	23	Q Could you give me examples?	12:35:56
	24	A Yeah, the Prince versus Cariou	12:35:58
	25	case, as one example. Yeah.	12:36:00
- 1			

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q	Okay. So that's an example	12:36:05
3	where the cour	t agreed with postmodern theory	12:36:07
4	that you belie	eve ultimately is a threat to	12:36:11
5	copyright as a	legal, ethical and social	12:36:14
6	constraint?		12:36:16
7	А	Right.	12:36:17
8	Q	Other cases that you can point	12:36:19
9	to?		12:36:21
10	А	Not offhand, no; but there are	12:36:22
11	others.		12:36:25
12	Q	Are you familiar with the Google	12:36:27
13	Books case?		12:36:27
14	А	Yes.	12:36:30
15	Q	Do you believe that that's also	12:36:32
16	a threat to co	pyright as a legal, ethical and	12:36:33
17	social constra	int?	12:36:36
18	А	I do.	12:36:37
19	Q	Why is that?	12:36:39
20	А	Because it removes from the	12:36:40
21	copyright hold	lers the right to authorize	12:36:42
22	publication of	their works, in the case of	12:36:46
23	those books th	at were under copyright at the	12:36:52
24	time.		12:36:54
25	Q	Can you think of any other	12:36:55

	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	famous copyright cases that similarly undermine	12:36:56
	3	copyright as a legal, ethical and social	12:37:04
	4	constraint?	12:37:06
	5	A Not offhand, no.	12:37:08
	6	Q Now, you note in paragraph 16,	12:37:17
	7	the first sentence, you say, "It's important to	12:37:19
	8	point out that postmodern theory has not	12:37:22
	9	achieved the universal acceptance in the U.S.	12:37:24
	10	that would signify at least widespread cultural	12:37:26
	11	acceptance."	12:37:30
	12	Why is that important?	12:37:32
	13	A Well, because I believe that	12:37:34
	14	cultural usage suggests a cultural attitude	12:37:37
	15	towards certain kinds of activities, that is	12:37:44
	16	certainly not binding on any court, but that	12:37:50
	17	may have an influence on the court as an	12:37:53
	18	indication of contemporary cultural practice.	12:37:56
	19	Q Now, how important is that to	12:38:02
	20	your opinion in this case?	12:38:04
	21	A The fact that it hasn't become	12:38:07
	22	widespread? Not particularly important.	12:38:08
	23	Q So why is it included in your	12:38:11
	24	report? Because you say, "it's important to	12:38:12
	25	point out."	12:38:14
ı			I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Why is it important to point out	12:38:15
3	if it's not important to your opinion?	12:38:16
4	A Well, because I wanted to make	12:38:18
5	the point that there are alternatives to	12:38:23
6	appropriation that in fact are already in	12:38:27
7	practice and culturally widely culturally	12:38:32
8	accepted and seem to be unproblematic in	12:38:36
9	relation to the use of copyrighted materials.	12:38:40
10	And I wanted to preface that by	12:38:47
11	suggesting that there are at least alternatives	12:38:49
12	available that seem to have, enjoy widespread	12:38:53
13	public acceptance, but and that do enable	12:38:59
14	people to incorporate work by others into their	12:39:02
15	own works.	12:39:07
16	Q But that's in the music	12:39:08
17	industry, isn't it, not the photography or	12:39:09
18	painting world?	12:39:11
19	A It's in the intellectual	12:39:13
20	property industry, as I understand it, sir.	12:39:14
21	Q But in the music industry?	12:39:16
22	A In the music branch of the	12:39:17
23	intellectual property industry, yes.	12:39:18
24	Q But not in the photography	12:39:20
25	world?	12:39:21

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A No.	12:39:21
3	Q Or in the world of painting?	12:39:22
4	A No, alas.	12:39:24
5	Q And you are also aware, are you	12:39:26
6	not, that many hip-hop artists sample other	12:39:27
7	music without paying a license fee asserting	12:39:31
8	fair use defense, are you not?	12:39:33
9	A I am, and I am also aware of	12:39:35
10	cases where that has been denied, as well as	12:39:37
11	cases where that's been accepted.	12:39:41
12	Q So you are aware that even	12:39:43
13	though there is the possibility to get	12:39:44
14	licenses, that actually even in the music area,	12:39:47
15	hip-hop artists are sampling copyrighted music	12:39:50
16	works without paying a license and asserting	12:39:54
17	fair use, correct?	12:39:56
18	A Right, but those are just their	12:39:58
19	assertions.	12:39:59
20	Q Now getting back to your	12:40:01
21	assertion from 15 that if advocates of	12:40:02
22	postmodern theory prevail, copyright as a	12:40:05
23	legal, ethical and societal constraint will	12:40:08
24	evaporate, do you view this case as an	12:40:10
25	opportunity to correct some of the past errors	12:40:13

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	that you have identified in fair use law?	12:40:15
3	A I think that as I understand	12:40:21
4	it, case law, which is what this would be, is	12:40:28
5	not determinative or binding.	12:40:37
6	Therefore this case will not	12:40:42
7	change the fair use law in any way. It will be	12:40:43
8	one of numerous precedents on various sides of	12:40:48
9	cases brought under the fair use law.	12:40:55
10	So I don't think that this will	12:40:59
11	serve as a corrective to anything except the	12:41:01
12	Plaintiffs' situation in this case.	12:41:06
13	Q But based on your views here of	12:41:10
14	how postmodern theory could undermine copyright	12:41:13
15	as a legal, ethical or societal constraint, you	12:41:18
16	would consider it bad policy, would you not, if	12:41:21
17	the court were to find that Mr. Prince's	12:41:23
18	paintings in this case were a fair use?	12:41:25
19	A Yes, I would.	12:41:29
20	Q Now	12:41:33
21	A Well, excuse me, I would have to	12:41:34
22	correct that.	12:41:35
23	I would consider it bad	12:41:36
24	precedent. I don't know what you mean by	12:41:37
25	policy. I don't know how policy how a court	12:41:39

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	sets policy.	12:41:43
3	Q Okay, I'm sorry, maybe policy	12:41:45
4	isn't the right word. You would consider it a	12:41:48
5	bad thing?	12:41:49
6	A I would consider it a bad	12:41:50
7	precedent. I understand it would be a legal,	12:41:52
8	my understanding is this would be a legal	12:41:54
9	precedent that could be referred to in	12:41:56
10	subsequent cases.	12:41:59
11	I would consider it a bad	12:42:00
12	precedent using the term that way.	12:42:01
13	Q And you believe that would be	12:42:03
14	harmful because it could imperil copyright as a	12:42:04
15	legal, ethical or social constraint, correct?	12:42:08
16	A Yes.	12:42:11
17	Q Let me ask you to look at	12:42:23
18	okay, could you look at paragraph 18, please.	12:42:40
19	In the first sentence you say,	12:42:43
20	"While postmodern theory claims the status of	12:42:45
21	theory, most of its uses are not subject in any	12:42:47
22	way to either proof or disproof in the	12:42:51
23	scientific or legal sense."	12:42:53
24	Do you see that?	12:42:54
25	A Yes.	12:42:55

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q Do you believe that to be a	12:42:56
3	correct statement?	12:42:57
4	A Yes, I do.	12:42:58
5	Q Are your opinions in this case	12:42:59
6	subject to either proof or disproof in the	12:43:01
7	scientific or legal sense?	12:43:04
8	A My opinions are simply opinions.	12:43:08
9	Q So, like postmodern theory,	12:43:09
10	isn't it fair to say that your opinions are not	12:43:11
11	subject in any way to either proof or disproof	12:43:13
12	in the scientific and/or legal sense?	12:43:16
13	A My opinions are theories.	12:43:22
14	That's a very loose, that would be a very loose	12:43:25
15	use of the word theory as it's understood in	12:43:29
16	science.	12:43:32
17	But my ideas are certainly	12:43:33
18	subject to proof an disproof.	12:43:35
19	Q In what way? How would how	12:43:37
20	would someone go about proving or disproving	12:43:41
21	the opinions that you express in your report	12:43:44
22	here if they wanted to test your theories?	12:43:45
23	A They could show, for example,	12:43:51
24	that postmodern theory does not, in fact, deny	12:43:53
25	the concept of originality and authorship.	12:43:59

г			7
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	Q I'm sorry, I don't mean your	12:44:03
	3	views on postmodern theory, I mean your	12:44:05
	4	opinions in this case which you summarized	12:44:08
	5	earlier in the report in paragraph 7.	12:44:11
	6	Your opinions that Plaintiffs'	12:44:18
	7	works are creative, and expressive, that the	12:44:19
	8	Prince works use a substantial portion of	12:44:21
	9	Plaintiffs' works and the Prince works are not	12:44:23
	10	transformative, and that the Prince works are	12:44:25
	11	likely to have a substantial negative impact	12:44:27
	12	upon the market for or value of Plaintiffs'	12:44:30
	13	works. That's what I'm talking about.	12:44:33
	14	Isn't it fair to say that your	12:44:36
	15	opinions on those issues, like your	12:44:38
	16	characterization of postmodern theory in 18,	12:44:43
	17	are not subject in any way to either proof or	12:44:46
	18	disproof in the scientific and/or legal sense?	12:44:48
	19	A No.	12:44:51
	20	Q In what way could someone go	12:44:53
	21	about proving or disproving the opinions that	12:44:55
	22	you summarize in paragraph 7 and substantiate	12:44:59
	23	throughout this report in a scientific and/or	12:45:04
	24	legal sense?	12:45:07
	25	A Well, for example, you could	12:45:08

	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	measure the surface area of the image by the	12:45:09
	3	images by Mr. McNatt and Mr. Graham in their	12:45:18
	4	original form, and you could measure the	12:45:24
	5	surface area of the same images as appropriated	12:45:26
	6	by Mr. Prince.	12:45:33
	7	You could determine what	12:45:37
	8	proportion of the original image was used in	12:45:38
	9	those appropriations by Mr. Prince.	12:45:44
	10	And you could prove that I am	12:45:47
	11	either correct in saying that the amount used	12:45:50
	12	was substantial, or that the amount used was	12:45:51
	13	minimal.	12:45:56
	14	That's scientific measurement,	12:45:59
	15	sir. That's very easy to prove or disprove.	12:46:02
	16	You could do it right now if you chose to.	12:46:05
	17	Q Now, with respect to I'm	12:46:17
	18	trying to remember the terminology you use, you	12:46:20
	19	said if a photograph and these weren't your	12:46:22
	20	exact words, you said if a photograph was	12:46:25
	21	significantly modified or changed, then it	12:46:27
	22	could qualify as a fair use.	12:46:31
	23	And again, I don't want to put	12:46:34
	24	words in your mouth, because I don't think	12:46:35
	25	those were the exact words.	12:46:37
ı			I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Do you recall what you said and	12:46:38
3	what your exact words were?	12:46:39
4	A I don't.	12:46:41
5	Q Is that a fair characterization,	12:46:42
6	though, that if a photograph is significantly	12:46:44
7	changed, then it could qualify as a fair use?	12:46:48
8	A I am not sure. I would have to	12:46:54
9	have the quote read back to me.	12:46:55
10	Q Let me go back, let me go back	12:46:57
11	and look earlier in your report and I will get	12:46:59
12	the exact language.	12:47:01
13	Okay, well, I apologize, I can't	12:47:25
14	find it. I'll find it during the break.	12:47:27
15	But let me ask you a different	12:47:31
16	question.	12:47:33
17	You had indicated that you	12:47:36
18	believe that Mr. Prince, as he has used the	12:47:38
19	photographs in connection with his paintings in	12:47:43
20	this case, that he used them in a way that was	12:47:45
21	not fair use, and it's your opinion that the	12:47:51
22	photographic elements are similar, correct?	12:47:55
23	A That the photographic elements?	12:47:59
24	Q The the image of the Graham	12:48:01
25	photo, the image of the McNatt photo as used in	12:48:05
l		I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	the Prince paintings are similar to the	12:48:08
3	originals, in your view?	12:48:12
4	A Yes.	12:48:13
5	Q Would you say they are identical	12:48:14
6	or would you say they are similar?	12:48:15
7	A I would say they are highly	12:48:17
8	similar.	12:48:20
9	Q Highly similar.	12:48:20
10	In what ways are they different,	12:48:24
11	in your view?	12:48:26
12	A Well, again, we would have to	12:48:30
13	talk about we would have to decide whether	12:48:32
14	we are talking about the images or the objects.	12:48:34
15	I haven't seen the objects in	12:48:39
16	either case, in either instance. I haven't	12:48:41
17	seen the original, I haven't seen Prince's	12:48:45
18	works in the flesh, so to speak, and I have not	12:48:49
19	seen either McNatt's or Graham's prints.	12:48:52
20	So we are talking here about the	12:48:57
21	images. I just want to make sure what we	12:48:58
22	are of that terminology here.	12:49:01
23	Q So, if you actually inspected	12:49:04
24	the originals of the two photographs and the	12:49:05
25	two paintings, it's possible that might change	12:49:09

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	your opinion?	12:49:10
3	A No, I'm just qualifying my	12:49:11
4	opinion by saying that I have not seen those.	12:49:13
5	I am not saying that would	12:49:17
6	change my opinion. I don't know that that	12:49:18
7	would change my opinion.	12:49:19
8	Q But without seeing the	12:49:20
9	originals, how do you know that it couldn't	12:49:21
10	change your opinion?	12:49:22
11	A I don't. I don't say that it	12:49:24
12	wouldn't, I don't say that it would.	12:49:25
13	Q You just don't know either way?	12:49:27
14	A I just don't know.	12:49:28
15	Q All right. So getting back to	12:49:29
16	based on what you have seen, the reproductions,	12:49:31
17	the photocopies of the images, is your	12:49:35
18	understanding that first of all, let's talk	12:49:40
19	about the McNatt and the Graham photos.	12:49:43
20	A Right.	12:49:45
21	Q Are those black and white or	12:49:46
22	color photos, to your understanding?	12:49:48
23	A To my understanding, they are	12:49:49
24	black and white, but today people print black	12:49:51
25	and white photographs on color printers using	12:49:55
		l

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 120

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	colorings.	12:49:57
3	So this is it's a little	12:49:59
4	different than things used to be in the analog	12:50:01
5	days of photography, when a color print was a	12:50:04
6	color print and made with a very different kind	12:50:06
7	of process than a black and white print.	12:50:08
8	Q I see. And	12:50:10
9	A They appear as black and white	12:50:13
10	or monochrome images in the versions that I	12:50:15
11	have seen, but those are JPEG versions.	12:50:18
12	Q I see. And to a reasonable	12:50:21
13	observer, would a monochrome print of a	12:50:23
14	photograph appear different from a black and	12:50:26
15	white print printed on a color printer?	12:50:29
16	A No, not I don't think so, not	12:50:34
17	to the average observer, no.	12:50:35
18	Q To you as a trained expert,	12:50:38
19	would you see a difference?	12:50:40
20	A If I used a loupe, you know, a	12:50:43
21	jeweler's loupe and actually looked at the	12:50:46
22	detail that closely, but just from an eyeball	12:50:49
23	perspective, not necessarily.	12:50:54
24	Q I mean, again, I'm certainly not	12:50:57
25	an expert, but when I look at a picture I can	12:50:59

- 1				1
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
	2	certainly tell	when a black and white picture	12:51:02
	3	has been print	ed in color and when a black and	12:51:04
	4	white picture	has been printed using a	12:51:06
	5	monochrome pho	tograph.	12:51:09
	6		Are you saying you as an expert	12:51:10
	7	can't make tha	t distinction?	12:51:12
	8		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	12:51:14
	9	A	No, that's not what I said.	12:51:16
	10	Q	So, if you look let's assume	12:51:19
	11	these are high	quality prints.	12:51:20
	12	A	Digital prints?	12:51:25
	13	Q	Okay, well, does it make a	12:51:28
	14	difference?		12:51:29
	15	A	I don't know, I'm asking you.	12:51:30
	16	You're using t	he term print as if it's	12:51:32
	17	generically un	derstood. I am suggesting that	12:51:33
	18	it's not.		12:51:36
	19	Q	I mean, again, I'm not an	12:51:37
	20	expert.		12:51:38
	21	A	Right.	12:51:39
	22	Q	I know just for myself that when	12:51:40
	23	I look at a pi	cture, I can see the difference	12:51:42
	24	between a trad	litional monochrome black and	12:51:45
	25	white print an	d a black and white photo that	12:51:48

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	has been printed in a color printing process.	12:51:53
3	To my eye, which is untrained, I	12:51:56
4	can see the difference.	12:51:57
5	So I'm just challenging you and	12:51:59
6	asking as an expert in this area, are you	12:52:02
7	saying that without using a jewelers microscope	12:52:04
8	you usually can't tell the difference?	12:52:10
9	A I am saying that I know many	12:52:12
10	photographers who have worked both analog in	12:52:16
11	analog forms, wet photography, as we call it,	12:52:19
12	or wet photography and digitally.	12:52:23
13	And some of them have made	12:52:26
14	prints that are pretty much indistinguishable	12:52:28
15	from their I mean, digital prints that are	12:52:33
16	pretty much indistinguishable from their	12:52:36
17	gelatin silver black and white prints.	12:52:37
18	And others have made prints that	12:52:41
19	have other qualities that indicate that they	12:52:42
20	have been made on a color printer.	12:52:48
21	So, there is no unitary quality	12:52:52
22	to digital prints that automatically signals	12:52:59
23	that they have been made on a digital printer.	12:53:02
24	Q I see.	12:53:05
25	Now, I understand you've not	12:53:06
1		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	seen the actual paintings at issue in this	12:53:07
3	case?	12:53:09
4	A Right.	12:53:09
5	Q But from the photocopies you	12:53:09
6	have looked at, do you have an understanding of	12:53:11
7	whether the photographic elements of those	12:53:15
8	paintings are monochrome or printed from a	12:53:18
9	color printer?	12:53:22
10	A They appear to be monochrome in	12:53:26
11	the JPEGs. But since I understand that	12:53:28
12	Mr. Prince Mr. Prince sorry, Prince,	12:53:31
13	Mr. Prince outsourced the digital printing of	12:53:36
14	those, and since some of the other elements of	12:53:41
15	the prints works are in color, I assume that	12:53:45
16	the entirety of them is in color.	12:53:49
17	That is, I assume he didn't	12:53:56
18	isolate the photographic element and have that	12:53:58
19	printed in monochrome and have the rest of it	12:53:59
20	printed in color.	12:54:03
21	If that's clear.	12:54:06
22	Q In paragraph 18 you also say,	12:54:17
23	"The claim of postmodern theories, ideas to any	12:54:19
24	sort of validity and authority is arguable at	12:54:24
25	best.	12:54:27

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	The ideas have only whatever	12:54:27
3	credibility high profile cultural figures, such	12:54:29
4	as those providing expert reports on	12:54:33
5	Mr. Prince's behalf, have granted them.	12:54:35
6	Is that a back-handed way of	12:54:41
7	saying that the experts supporting Mr. Prince	12:54:42
8	in this case are high profile cultural figures?	12:54:46
9	A I suppose.	12:54:59
10	I don't think it's necessarily	12:55:05
11	back-handed. It's fairly straightforward. It	12:55:06
12	says "such as these people," right?	12:55:09
13	Q So you know of these people and,	12:55:12
14	I mean, do you respect these people?	12:55:13
15	A I know of them, and I consider	12:55:16
16	them colleagues in the field in a broad sense,	12:55:18
17	yes.	12:55:21
18	Q And you consider them experts in	12:55:25
19	this field?	12:55:26
20	A Reasonably as expert as I am.	12:55:29
21	Q So now, that's interesting. So	12:55:34
22	they are colleagues who are as expert as you	12:55:37
23	are, but they have come to very different	12:55:38
24	conclusions.	12:55:41
25	To what do you attribute that?	12:55:42

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A There are many ways to skin a	12:55:53
3	cat as there are differences of opinion in the	12:55:55
4	field, as in any field.	12:55:57
5	Q So is it possible in your view	12:56:05
6	they are right and you are wrong?	12:56:06
7	A It's always possible that	12:56:08
8	someone else is right and I'm wrong.	12:56:09
9	Q What about the credibility	12:56:14
10	I'm sorry.	12:56:21
11	Just to be clear, proof or	12:56:24
12	disproof of postmodern theory doesn't have any	12:56:26
13	impact on	12:56:30
14	MR. BALLON: Well, I'm sorry, let	12:56:31
15	me retract that.	12:56:32
16	Q Let's go to 19. You say, "In	12:56:35
17	the minds of those who embrace postmodern	12:56:36
18	theory, claiming to be an artist who subscribes	12:56:38
19	to postmodern theory, and endorsement as such	12:56:41
20	by assorted art-world luminaries, apparently	12:56:44
21	constitutes a license to 'appropriate'."	12:56:48
22	Is that intended as a serious or	12:56:50
23	a sarcastic observation?	12:56:53
24	A No, that's a serious	12:56:55
25	observation.	12:56:56

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q And who specifically are you	12:56:58
3	talking about, anyone in particular?	12:56:59
4	A Both the critical and curatorial	12:57:06
5	advocates of postmodern art and the artists who	12:57:09
6	have variously grouped themselves under the	12:57:18
7	umbrella of postmodernism.	12:57:21
8	Q So later in that paragraph you	12:57:24
9	refer to "Prince's claim that he has the right	12:57:26
10	to 'appropriate' the work of others."	12:57:29
11	What claim are you referring to?	12:57:34
12	A Well, there is a claim implicit	12:57:37
13	in the works themselves that he has a right to	12:57:39
14	make them, and that he has a right to use the	12:57:43
15	materials with which he has made them.	12:57:46
16	Q Why do you	12:57:48
17	A That claim seems to me to be	12:57:49
18	implicit in any work of art.	12:57:50
19	Q Well, I mean, isn't it possible	12:57:58
20	that well, actually in this case Mr. Prince	12:58:00
21	has testified that these were images that were	12:58:05
22	widely disseminated on social media.	12:58:06
23	He believed that the people who	12:58:09
24	created the photos took them and took them with	12:58:11
25	a view of wanting them to be disseminated.	12:58:16

		7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	He thought that the Rastafarian	12:58:21
3	picture was a picture of rastajay92.	12:58:22
4	Does that change your view that	12:58:26
5	simply by using these photos he is making a	12:58:28
6	claim that he has a right to appropriate them?	12:58:32
7	A No.	12:58:36
8	Q So the fact that at the time	12:58:38
9	Mr. Prince made these photos he did not know	12:58:40
10	that either Mr. Graham or Mr. McNatt claimed	12:58:44
11	rights in these photos, does that change that	12:58:48
12	view?	12:58:51
13	A No.	12:58:51
14	Q So you believe simply by	12:58:56
15	simply by using a photo in a painting,	12:58:58
16	regardless of the author's subjective intent or	12:59:00
17	knowledge, the painter is claiming a right to	12:59:04
18	appropriate the photo, if it turns out, whether	12:59:08
19	he knew it or not, the photo is copyrighted by	12:59:11
20	someone else?	12:59:14
21	A Would you say that again?	12:59:15
22	MR. BALLON: I will ask the court	12:59:17
23	reporter to read it back.	12:59:17
24	(The question requested was read	12:59:18
25	back by the reporter.)	12:59:18

1			1
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	I don't deal with intent as a	12:59:45
3	critic, it's n	ot a concern of mine.	12:59:46
4	Q	No, I understand, but you are	12:59:49
5	making a prett	y big assumption here.	12:59:50
6		You are saying that by including	12:59:54
7	a photograph i	n a painting, that a photographer	12:59:56
8	is making a cl	aim that they have the right to	13:00:02
9	appropriate the	e work of others?	13:00:04
10	A	You mean a painter?	13:00:06
11	Q	Painter, yes.	13:00:07
12	A	You said photographer.	13:00:08
13	Q	I'm sorry, I apologize, painter,	13:00:09
14	that by includ	ing a photograph in a painting,	13:00:11
15	regardless of	whether the painter knows that	13:00:13
16	the work is co	pyrighted or belongs to someone	13:00:16
17	else, you've s	aid that the painter is making a	13:00:19
18	claim just by	virtue of using it.	13:00:25
19	A	Yes.	13:00:27
20		Well, by virtue of using it and	13:00:29
21	putting it, mai	king it public. I would have to	13:00:31
22	qualify that.		13:00:33
23		If he does this in the privacy	13:00:35
24	of his studio,	that's a different thing.	13:00:36
25	Q	And then beyond that, you say,	13:00:40

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	"Prince and his defenders trot out all the	13:00:42
3	predictable tropes of postmodern jargon, which	13:00:47
4	adds up to the assertion that because Richard	13:00:51
5	Prince is an a claimed artist who sells at very	13:00:54
6	high prices, and in whom many individuals and	13:00:57
7	institutions are heavily invested, both	13:01:01
8	financially and reputationally, his assertion	13:01:04
9	of entitlement to the output of others is not	13:01:07
10	to be questioned and he gets what he pleases."	13:01:10
11	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	13:01:15
12	Q Is that intended as a sarcastic	13:01:16
13	observation or is that intended as a	13:01:19
14	sarcastic observation?	13:01:23
15	A No, that's intended as analysis.	13:01:24
16	Q So what predictable tropes of	13:01:27
17	postmodern jargon has Prince trotted out?	13:01:30
18	A The assumption that	13:01:37
19	appropriation is permissible, that the I'm	13:01:38
20	sorry, I am getting a little foggy, I think I	13:01:52
21	need lunch that authorship is not a	13:01:54
22	significant issue, that works by other artists	13:02:03
23	are raw material for one's own work, including	13:02:11
24	exact quotation of that work or comparatively	13:02:19
25	exact quotation of that work, even in total, et	13:02:23
1		

		_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	cetera.	13:02:28
3	Q And is that based, again, just	13:02:34
4	on the assumption that if a photograph is	13:02:36
5	included in a painting, regardless of whether	13:02:39
6	the painter knew that someone else claimed a	13:02:42
7	copyright in it, that that act alone is the	13:02:45
8	claim that you are referring to here?	13:02:55
9	A Again, we have to specify if we	13:02:56
10	are talking about a photographic image and not	13:02:59
11	a physical photograph.	13:03:01
12	Q Yes.	13:03:02
13	A Yes, yes.	13:03:02
14	Q Is there anything else, anything	13:03:04
15	else that you base this comment on?	13:03:08
16	Beyond the use in a photo, is	13:03:14
17	there any particular quote by Mr. Prince that	13:03:16
18	you can point to?	13:03:19
19	A No.	13:03:21
20	Q In paragraph 20	13:03:24
21	MS. PELES: If you are going to	13:03:25
22	move on to a new paragraph, maybe we	13:03:26
23	should take a break now.	13:03:27
24	We have been going about an hour	13:03:29
25	and ten minutes.	13:03:30
Í		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	MR. BALLON: What I would like to	13:03:31
3	do, if we can, if it's okay with the	13:03:31
4	witness, is I want to finish this issue	13:03:33
5	of postmodern theory, which is	13:03:37
6	paragraphs 20 and 21, so we just finish	13:03:40
7	this line of questioning.	13:03:43
8	MS. PELES: About how long do you	13:03:45
9	think that will be?	13:03:46
10	MR. BALLON: I hope it's pretty	13:03:47
11	quick. There is only so much postmodern	13:03:48
12	theory any of us can take before or	13:03:51
13	after lunch.	13:03:53
14	MS. PELES: Is that okay with	13:03:54
15	you, Mr. Coleman?	13:03:55
16	THE WITNESS: It's okay with me,	13:03:57
17	yes.	13:03:58
18	MR. BALLON: Thank you.	13:04:00
19	Q So in paragraph 20 you refer to	13:04:00
20	assorted art world figures. Who do you mean	13:04:02
21	specifically?	13:04:05
22	A Well, I would certainly say that	13:04:12
23	the art world deponents or reporters in this	13:04:14
24	case, including Brian Wallace and others.	13:04:17
25	Q So, I mean, assorted art world	13:04:24

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	figures means the experts who have submitted	13:04:26
3	reports in this case?	13:04:28
4	A Yes.	13:04:29
5	Q Anyone else?	13:04:30
6	A No one I can think of	13:04:33
7	specifically, but there have been other such	13:04:34
8	cases, like the Cariou case, and other cases	13:04:36
9	involving appropriation, where arguably the	13:04:40
10	same arguments have been made.	13:04:45
11	Q I see, I see.	13:04:46
12	So you are referring to any	13:04:47
13	case, any instance where	13:04:49
14	MR. BALLON: Okay, all right,	13:04:53
15	never mind. I withdraw the question.	13:04:54
16	Q You state in the first sentence	13:04:59
17	of that paragraph, "I note in this regard that	13:05:01
18	most challenges to artistic 'appropriation' of	13:05:03
19	the work of others involve a high profile	13:05:08
20	artist taking the work of lesser known artists	13:05:11
21	and claiming the right to do so by dint of art	13:05:14
22	world stature."	13:05:17
23	What is the basis for that	13:05:20
24	opinion?	13:05:22
25	A Most of the cases that I have	13:05:23

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	seen have been well, we need to take a step	13:05:24
3	back here.	13:05:28
4	Photography has long, enjoyed is	13:05:29
5	the wrong word, has long experienced second	13:05:33
6	class status within the art world from the very	13:05:36
7	inception of the medium.	13:05:40
8	And therefore there is a	13:05:43
9	hierarchy in the art world in which	13:05:45
10	photographers rank lower almost generically,	13:05:49
11	almost by definition, than painters and	13:05:53
12	sculptors and others who define themselves not	13:05:56
13	as photographers, but as artists.	13:05:59
14	So with that as kind of a	13:06:02
15	background, most of the cases that I have seen	13:06:04
16	that involve appropriation of works of art, of	13:06:10
17	photographs, have involved painters, and in a	13:06:16
18	few cases I suppose sculptors, but I can't	13:06:21
19	think of anything specifically; painters using	13:06:23
20	images by photographers.	13:06:26
21	Q But it's not always the case	13:06:29
22	that appropriation involves the use of a high	13:06:30
23	profile artist taking the work of a lesser	13:06:33
24	known artist, is it?	13:06:36
25	A I can't think of cases I	13:06:39
		1

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	can't offhand think of a case in which a lesser	13:06:42
3	known artist used the work of a higher profile	13:06:45
4	photographer.	13:06:49
5	Q Okay.	13:06:51
6	A I mean, I'm not saying there are	13:06:52
7	no such cases. I can't think of one.	13:06:53
8	Q Are you familiar with some of	13:06:57
9	the works of Picasso and Matisse where each of	13:06:59
10	them copied paintings by the other artist?	13:07:02
11	A Yes.	13:07:05
12	Q And both of those were very high	13:07:05
13	profile painters, weren't they?	13:07:07
14	A Yes, they were.	13:07:09
15	Q But in each instance they were	13:07:10
16	appropriating the painting of a famous	13:07:12
17	author famous painter, correct?	13:07:14
18	A Well, I'm not sure that even	13:07:16
19	they would agree with that term, since they	13:07:18
20	knew each other, and had cordial relationships	13:07:19
21	with each other.	13:07:22
22	And Picasso and Bracht basically	13:07:23
23	invented Cubism together and shared elements of	13:07:26
24	that approach, and maybe even shared elements	13:07:29
25	of their imagery, but I'm not sure either of	13:07:32

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	them would have said I have appropriated my	13:07:34
3	friend George's style for this corner. They	13:07:37
4	would not use that language.	13:07:43
5	And it was usually done with at	13:07:46
6	least tacit consent.	13:07:47
7	Q And I mean, it's fair to say	13:07:50
8	also a lot of artists don't use the term	13:07:52
9	appropriation, they consider it an homage or a	13:07:54
10	tribute to the other artist.	13:07:57
11	Isn't that true?	13:07:59
12	A Well, as a friend of mine once	13:08:02
13	said, imitation is the sincerest form of theft.	13:08:04
14	Q You are making an assumption	13:08:09
15	that Mr. Prince views this as appropriation as	13:08:12
16	opposed to homage or attribute, correct?	13:08:15
17	A Well, appropriation in general	13:08:20
18	in postmodern jargon, discourse, refers to the	13:08:21
19	taking of work from another source without	13:08:25
20	permission.	13:08:28
21	Q And so from your perspective,	13:08:30
22	permission is key?	13:08:32
23	A Yes.	13:08:34
24	Q And that's relevant to whether	13:08:34
25	something is a fair use?	13:08:35

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A	Yes.	13:08:37
3	Q	Are you familiar with	13:08:39
4	Mr. Prince's p	painting where he repaints a de	13:08:39
5	Kooning work?		13:08:46
6	А	Not particularly, no.	13:08:49
7	Q	But if I told you he had done	13:08:50
8	so, you would	concede that that's an instance	13:08:51
9	of one painter	repainting a work of an even	13:08:54
10	more famous pa	inter; wouldn't you agree?	13:09:01
11	A	I would have to see them, and	13:09:03
12	see what diffe	erences and similarities existed	13:09:05
13	before I came	to a conclusion that this was an	13:09:11
14	appropriation.		13:09:14
15	Q	Do you view de Kooning as a	13:09:17
16	lesser known a	ertist than Richard Prince?	13:09:20
17	А	No.	13:09:22
18	Q	He's perhaps better known,	13:09:23
19	correct?		13:09:24
20	А	Perhaps, yes.	13:09:25
21	Q	So those are at least some	13:09:26
22	examples of ar	tists using or appropriating the	13:09:28
23	art of better	known artists, correct?	13:09:35
24	A	I would I would, again, be	13:09:42
25	unlikely to us	se the word appropriating with the	13:09:46

		-
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	case of Picasso and Matisse. So that's your	13:09:48
3	word for it, but it's not mine.	13:09:53
4	Q Well, actually, it's your word,	13:09:54
5	sir.	13:09:55
6	A No, I never referred to Picasso	13:09:56
7	and Matisse	13:09:58
8	Q I'm using the word that you put	13:09:59
9	in your report.	13:10:01
10	A But you are using it in a very	13:10:02
11	different case than I would not use it and have	13:10:03
12	not used it in.	13:10:06
13	You are using it in the case of	13:10:07
14	Picasso painting in the style of Matisse.	13:10:08
15	I never made that reference. I	13:10:11
16	am making very clear on the record that this is	13:10:13
17	your words, they are not my words.	13:10:16
18	Q So the fact that they are	13:10:17
19	friends means it's not appropriation when they	13:10:19
20	do that?	13:10:21
21	A The fact that they are friends	13:10:22
22	and sharing ideas, yes.	13:10:23
23	Q Now, the example you gave	13:10:24
24	A It may mean that, I don't know.	13:10:26
25	I don't actually know how Matisse felt about	13:10:27

		_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	that.	13:10:31
3	Q A moment ago you talked about	13:10:33
4	how photography is viewed by some people as a	13:10:34
5	lesser form of art, and that you're familiar	13:10:37
6	with more instances of photographs being used	13:10:42
7	by painters.	13:10:45
8	A Um-hum.	13:10:46
9	Q I mean, is that an issue that	13:10:49
10	you're aware of photographers commonly	13:10:51
11	complaining about?	13:10:54
12	A I wouldn't say commonly. It	13:10:57
13	doesn't exactly happen commonly, but it happens	13:10:59
14	regularly.	13:11:02
15	Q Are you familiar with instances	13:11:06
16	where photographers may take pictures of	13:11:07
17	paintings?	13:11:13
18	A Oh, of course.	13:11:14
19	Q And would that be an	13:11:15
20	appropriation, or is that permissible?	13:11:16
21	A Well, assuming that the	13:11:19
22	paintings are under copyright, it depends on	13:11:21
23	and there are different kinds of photographs	13:11:28
24	that incorporate paintings.	13:11:30
25	There are pictures that people	13:11:31
l		

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 139

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	make in museums, for example, of museum-goers	13:11:32
3	in front of paintings.	13:11:35
4	Apparently that is permissible	13:11:36
5	to the museums or not, depending on the	13:11:38
6	museum's policies.	13:11:41
7	So I would say that would depend	13:11:44
8	entirely on the policies of the institutions	13:11:46
9	that are housing those works.	13:11:49
10	Q But putting aside the issue of	13:11:52
11	license or permission, if a photographer took a	13:11:53
12	photograph of a copyrighted painting	13:11:57
13	A Right.	13:12:01
14	Q without permission, would	13:12:01
15	that be a form of appropriation, in your view,	13:12:04
16	that was not permissible?	13:12:08
17	A What would they be doing with	13:12:10
18	that photograph?	13:12:11
19	Q I don't know.	13:12:15
20	A Making the photograph? No, that	13:12:16
21	would not be a violation of fair use, it would	13:12:18
22	not be a violation of fair use for a painter to	13:12:20
23	do that in the studio.	13:12:23
24	Q What if they showed it in a	13:12:26
25	gallery?	13:12:29

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A That's publication; that changes	13:12:29
3	things.	13:12:31
4	Q And that would be copyright	13:12:31
5	infringement, in your view?	13:12:33
6	A Yes.	13:12:34
7	Q But you see this primarily as a	13:12:34
8	problem of painters reusing photographs, not of	13:12:36
9	photographers reusing paintings, is that	13:12:43
10	correct?	13:12:46
11	A I think that it happens in both	13:12:46
12	directions, I have written about it happening	13:12:49
13	in both directions, and have raised the issue	13:12:51
14	in some of my writings of the fact that it	13:12:56
15	happens in the other direction as well.	13:12:59
16	And that photographers need to	13:13:02
17	examine that practice at their end, because, in	13:13:04
18	my opinion, it is no less a fair use issue.	13:13:09
19	Q And it's your opinion, is it	13:13:15
20	not, that photographers seem to be more	13:13:16
21	litigious than painters, that let me stop	13:13:19
22	there.	13:13:23
23	It's your opinion, is it not,	13:13:25
24	that photographers are more litigious than	13:13:27
25	painters on the issue of reuse?	13:13:29

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Most of the cases that I am	13:13:38
3	familiar with are cases of painters using the	13:13:40
4	work of photographers and that resulting in a	13:13:47
5	lawsuit.	13:13:49
6	But I don't have any	13:13:51
7	quantitative opinion about whether	13:13:52
8	photographers are truly more litigious in this	13:13:56
9	matter than painters are.	13:14:00
10	Q But you did write a blog, did	13:14:01
11	you not, asserting that it seems like	13:14:03
12	photographers are you know, are quicker to	13:14:06
13	file a lawsuit over use of a photograph in a	13:14:11
14	painting than the other way around?	13:14:16
15	A I did write something to that	13:14:20
16	effect, and it's possible in terms of the cases	13:14:21
17	that have come to my attention, but I don't	13:14:24
18	know that this is I mean, I don't I don't	13:14:25
19	track the entirety of those cases, even in the	13:14:30
20	United States.	13:14:36
21	So I can't speak authoritatively	13:14:36
22	to how many more photographers are involved in	13:14:37
23	such cases than painters are.	13:14:43
24	Q Do you think some photographers	13:14:46
25	have a chip on their shoulder about the use of	13:14:47

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	paintings of photographs by painters?	13:14:50
3	A I don't I wouldn't say so; I	13:14:56
4	don't know.	13:14:58
5	Q Do they have a chip on their	13:14:59
6	shoulder about photography not being viewed as	13:15:00
7	an art form by painters?	13:15:05
8	A Again, I think you would have to	13:15:11
9	go on a case by case basis.	13:15:12
10	Q But earlier you talked about the	13:15:16
11	phenomenon, if you will, that maybe	13:15:20
12	photographers don't get the same degree of	13:15:24
13	respect in the art world as painters.	13:15:25
14	Is that a fair characterization?	13:15:27
15	A That's a fair characterization,	13:15:29
16	yes.	13:15:31
17	Q And do you think that that's a	13:15:31
18	reason there is more litigation in this area?	13:15:32
19	A I don't know, you would have to	13:15:36
20	talk to the photographers involved and see what	13:15:37
21	their motives were.	13:15:39
22	I don't deal particularly with	13:15:41
23	intent, and I'm not particularly concerned with	13:15:42
24	motivation.	13:15:44
25	Q Is that something that troubles	13:15:45

			•
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	you, though, that	photography isn't really	13:15:46
3	given the respect	it deserves?	13:15:50
4	A It	's inevitably a concern of I	13:15:54
5	think any critic	who concentrates on	13:15:56
6	photography.		13:15:59
7	Q It	c's a concern.	13:16:00
8	Ar	nd do you see a way that that	13:16:01
9	can be addressed?		13:16:03
10	A I	actually think that's most	13:16:07
11	likely a permaner	nt status quo.	13:16:08
12	Q Pe	ermanent status quo.	13:16:13
13	Do	you think lawsuits like this	13:16:14
14	can help correct	that imbalance?	13:16:16
15	A No	o, not particularly.	13:16:18
16	Q Ir	n paragraph 21, you make an	13:16:23
17	observation that	you say is both	13:16:26
18	self-contradictor	ry and hypocritical.	13:16:27
19	Co	ould you explain that to me,	13:16:30
20	please?		13:16:32
21	A Ye	es. A number of the	13:16:39
22	respondents in th	nis case on the Defendants'	13:16:41
23	side have argued	very forthrightly that	13:16:44
24	Mr. Prince puts w	hat I call a distinctive	13:16:50
25	creative imprimat	cur on the work.	13:16:54
1			I

refer to or cite variously in their reports suggests that this is fundamentally impossible, because there really is no such thing as creativity, there is only kind of a remixing of existing materials, but there is no distinctive negative are all basically creatures of culture. Q But that's not your view. You libelieve that if you mix and remix things there can be creativity and originality, don't you? A Well, not simply by mixing and remixing, no, I haven't said that. Q Well, you talked about music sampling, you believe that's creative, don't you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to sampling, you believe that sampling lateral that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? A I believe it can be an aspect of a creative process. Q In what way would sampling be	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
4 suggests that this is fundamentally impossible, 5 because there really is no such thing as 6 creativity, there is only kind of a remixing of 7 existing materials, but there is no distinctive 8 originality or creativity possible, because we 9 are all basically creatures of culture. 10 Q But that's not your view. You 11 believe that if you mix and remix things there 12 can be creativity and originality, don't you? 13 A Well, not simply by mixing and 13:17:32 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 15 Q Well, you talked about music 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 18:17:41 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:17:59	2	Whereas the theory that they	13:16:58
because there really is no such thing as creativity, there is only kind of a remixing of existing materials, but there is no distinctive originality or creativity possible, because we are all basically creatures of culture. Q But that's not your view. You believe that if you mix and remix things there can be creativity and originality, don't you? A Well, not simply by mixing and remixing, no, I haven't said that. Q Well, you talked about music sampling, you believe that's creative, don't you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to create new works? A But that's not all they do. Q Do you believe that sampling - that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? A I believe it can be an aspect of a creative process. Q In what way would sampling be 13:17:59	3	refer to or cite variously in their reports	13:17:00
creativity, there is only kind of a remixing of existing materials, but there is no distinctive originality or creativity possible, because we are all basically creatures of culture. Q But that's not your view. You believe that if you mix and remix things there can be creativity and originality, don't you? A Well, not simply by mixing and remixing, no, I haven't said that. Q Well, you talked about music sampling, you believe that's creative, don't you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to create new works? A But that's not all they do. Q Do you believe that sampling that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? A I believe it can be an aspect of a creative process. Q In what way would sampling be	4	suggests that this is fundamentally impossible,	13:17:04
7 existing materials, but there is no distinctive 8 originality or creativity possible, because we 9 are all basically creatures of culture. 13:17:26 10 Q But that's not your view. You 13:17:26 11 believe that if you mix and remix things there 12 can be creativity and originality, don't you? 13:17:35 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 15 Q Well, you talked about music 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18:17:41 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18:17:42 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be	5	because there really is no such thing as	13:17:07
8 originality or creativity possible, because we 9 are all basically creatures of culture. 13:17:20 10 Q But that's not your view. You 13:17:26 11 believe that if you mix and remix things there 12 can be creativity and originality, don't you? 13:17:35 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 15 Q Well, you talked about music 13:17:37 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be	6	creativity, there is only kind of a remixing of	13:17:10
9 are all basically creatures of culture. 10 Q But that's not your view. You 13:17:26 11 believe that if you mix and remix things there 12 can be creativity and originality, don't you? 13:17:32 13 A Well, not simply by mixing and 13:17:35 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 15 Q Well, you talked about music 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 13:17:46 19 Q Do you believe that sampling 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be	7	existing materials, but there is no distinctive	13:17:14
Delieve that if you mix and remix things there 13:17:28 12 can be creativity and originality, don't you? 13:17:32 13 A Well, not simply by mixing and 13:17:35 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 13:17:37 15 Q Well, you talked about music 13:17:39 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 13:17:41 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 13:17:42 18 create new works? 13:17:46 19 A But that's not all they do. 13:17:47 19:17:48 19:17:49 19:17:	8	originality or creativity possible, because we	13:17:20
believe that if you mix and remix things there 13:17:28 12 can be creativity and originality, don't you? 13:17:32 13 A Well, not simply by mixing and 13:17:35 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 15 Q Well, you talked about music 13:17:39 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18:17:41 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 13:17:46 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:17:59	9	are all basically creatures of culture.	13:17:22
can be creativity and originality, don't you? 13:17:32 A Well, not simply by mixing and 13:17:35 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 13:17:37 15 Q Well, you talked about music 13:17:39 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 13:17:41 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 13:17:43 18 create new works? 13:17:46 19 A But that's not all they do. 13:17:47 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 13:17:51 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 13:17:53 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 13:17:56 23 a creative process. 13:17:59 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	10	Q But that's not your view. You	13:17:26
13 A Well, not simply by mixing and 13:17:35 14 remixing, no, I haven't said that. 15 Q Well, you talked about music 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 13:17:46 19 Q Do you believe that sampling 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:17:59	11	believe that if you mix and remix things there	13:17:28
remixing, no, I haven't said that. 13:17:37 15	12	can be creativity and originality, don't you?	13:17:32
Q Well, you talked about music 13:17:39 16 sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 13:17:41 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 13:17:43 18 create new works? 13:17:46 19 A But that's not all they do. 13:17:47 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 13:17:51 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 13:17:53 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 13:17:56 23 a creative process. 13:17:59 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	13	A Well, not simply by mixing and	13:17:35
sampling, you believe that's creative, don't 13:17:41 17 you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:17:59 13:17:59	14	remixing, no, I haven't said that.	13:17:37
you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to 13:17:43 18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:17:59 13:17:59	15	Q Well, you talked about music	13:17:39
18 create new works? 19 A But that's not all they do. 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 23 a creative process. 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:17:46 13:17:46 13:17:47 13:17:51 13:17:51 13:17:52 13:17:53 13:17:56	16	sampling, you believe that's creative, don't	13:17:41
19 A But that's not all they do. 13:17:47 20 Q Do you believe that sampling 13:17:51 21 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 13:17:53 22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 13:17:56 23 a creative process. 13:17:59 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	17	you, when hip-hop artists sample other works to	13:17:43
Q Do you believe that sampling 13:17:51 that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? 13:17:53 A I believe it can be an aspect of 13:17:56 a creative process. 13:17:59 Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	18	create new works?	13:17:46
that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative? A I believe it can be an aspect of 13:17:56 a creative process. Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	19	A But that's not all they do.	13:17:47
22 A I believe it can be an aspect of 13:17:56 23 a creative process. 13:17:59 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	20	Q Do you believe that sampling	13:17:51
23 a creative process. 13:17:59 24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	21	that sampling by hip-hop artists is creative?	13:17:53
24 Q In what way would sampling be 13:18:01	22	A I believe it can be an aspect of	13:17:56
	23	a creative process.	13:17:59
25 groated2	24	Q In what way would sampling be	13:18:01
25 Created?	25	created?	13:18:04

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Because it creates a reference	13:18:06
3	to a previous work, very often a known previous	13:18:07
4	work, that is, a work whose maker is known and	13:18:14
5	whose original meaning in culture, original	13:18:18
6	position in culture is known.	13:18:21
7	And therefore it serves as kind	13:18:24
8	of a historical footnote that is inserted into	13:18:25
9	a contemporary work, and that that becomes a	13:18:30
10	component, then, of the work.	13:18:36
11	Just as a quote on a footnote in	13:18:38
12	an academic paper serves to contextualize and	13:18:40
13	inform what the author has written himself or	13:18:45
14	herself.	13:18:48
15	Q But couldn't that be the same	13:18:49
16	with the Graham photograph, for example, which	13:18:50
17	was widely available on-line going back to, I	13:18:54
18	believe, 1984, when Mr. Graham first posted it	13:18:57
19	on his website?	13:19:02
20	Assuming I will ask you to	13:19:04
21	assume, assuming that that photograph was	13:19:06
22	widely known and widely disseminated on-line,	13:19:08
23	wouldn't including it in a painting involve	13:19:13
24	that same kind of cultural reference that you	13:19:15
25	talked about in the context of hip-hop?	13:19:17

			•
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A No	, because what I was	13:19:20
3	specifying in hip-	-hop is it's only a reference	13:19:22
4	if one knows what	it refers to.	13:19:26
5	If	one doesn't know what it	13:19:29
6	refers to, and who	ose work it is originally,	13:19:30
7	it's not a referer	nce.	13:19:35
8	Q Rig	ght.	13:19:38
9	A It	's a floating quotation with	13:19:39
10	no source.		13:19:40
11	Q Rig	ght. And I appreciate that	13:19:41
12	you were not famil	liar with the Graham picture	13:19:45
13	before this case,	but let me ask you to assume	13:19:47
14	that that image wa	as widely known in social	13:19:53
15	media.		13:19:55
16	I l	have a good faith belief that	13:19:56
17	we can prove that	at trial, that there is	13:19:58
18	evidence in this	case that the image was widely	13:20:00
19	disseminated.		13:20:03
20	A By	Mr. Graham?	13:20:05
21	Q In:	itially by Mr. Graham, and	13:20:07
22	then by others.		13:20:08
23	A Wit	th his name attached?	13:20:11
24	Q No	, not with his name attached,	13:20:13
25	in fact.		13:20:15

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Um-hum.	13:20:16
3	Q Just as when music is sampled,	13:20:17
4	you hear the music, but you don't hear this	13:20:20
5	song was by this particular artist, you just	13:20:23
6	hear the music; in the same way.	13:20:26
7	A But you do quickly find out,	13:20:28
8	because social media and the music industry	13:20:30
9	will be very and reviewers will be very	13:20:33
10	quick to point out this beat was taken from	13:20:35
11	this, this beat was taken this snippet was	13:20:38
12	taken from that, et cetera.	13:20:40
13	So if that information is not	13:20:41
14	embedded in the song itself, it's usually	13:20:43
15	embedded in the copyright information of the	13:20:46
16	song which accompanies it on its label and in	13:20:49
17	its C D release, et cetera.	13:20:53
18	Because all of that, usually, if	13:20:55
19	it's done legally, has to be specified in all	13:20:57
20	cases.	13:21:00
21	And then it's usually identified	13:21:00
22	very quickly within social media, so that the	13:21:02
23	original artist is, who is quoted, is very	13:21:05
24	quickly recognized.	13:21:08
25	Q Isn't that the same thing here?	13:21:09

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Because both for Mr. McNatt and Mr. Graham,	13:21:10
3	they were identified as the original	13:21:12
4	photographers in social media, on Instagram,	13:21:15
5	very quickly after these works disseminated.	13:21:17
6	How is that different?	13:21:22
7	A Because they weren't identified	13:21:23
8	by the by Mr. Prince.	13:21:24
9	Q Well, when you listen to a	13:21:27
10	hip-hop song, you don't have an announcement,	13:21:28
11	this song came from somewhere else.	13:21:30
12	It's a reference, and you can	13:21:32
13	look at the reference, and as you said, other	13:21:34
14	people will identify it quickly in social	13:21:36
15	media, but that's exactly what happened in this	13:21:38
16	case, isn't it?	13:21:40
17	How is that different?	13:21:41
18	A No, it's different, because when	13:21:42
19	hip-hop samples are licensed, the licensing	13:21:44
20	almost always includes a requirement that the	13:21:49
21	source be indicated on any accompanying	13:21:51
22	publication materials, such as the insert in	13:21:55
23	the CD ROM.	13:21:57
24	And therefore anybody who buys	13:21:58
25	that music has immediate access to the source	13:22:00
i		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	provided by legal requirement by the hip-hop	13:22:04
3	artist who has published that song and his or	13:22:13
4	her publishers.	13:22:16
5	That's very different from	13:22:17
6	people maybe finding out or maybe not finding	13:22:18
7	out on social media who made a particular	13:22:21
8	picture that someone has appropriated.	13:22:24
9	Q But that's a different case,	13:22:26
10	because you are talking about a license, and	13:22:27
11	I'm not talking about a license, I'm talking	13:22:29
12	about the reuse of an image that's widely	13:22:31
13	disseminated.	13:22:36
14	So you talked about the	13:22:37
15	reference to an earlier song in hip-hop.	13:22:40
16	What I asked you to assume for	13:22:45
17	purposes of a hypothetical, which I have a good	13:22:46
18	faith belief we can prove at trial, that the	13:22:48
19	Graham image was widely disseminated and widely	13:22:51
20	known in social media on the same basis.	13:22:53
21	Mr. Prince's use of that, widely	13:22:57
22	disseminated, widely known image in a painting,	13:22:59
23	wouldn't that be the same as the reference that	13:23:02
24	you talked about in a hip-hop song?	13:23:04
25	A I I don't know what we mean	13:23:08

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	here by widely. I don't know what kind of	13:23:10
3	numbers we are talking about.	13:23:12
4	Q Assume it's widely disseminated.	13:23:13
5	If I can't prove that at trial,	13:23:17
6	then I can't use this testimony.	13:23:18
7	But assume that I can prove that	13:23:20
8	it's widely disseminated in the same way that	13:23:22
9	you meant that a song is widely disseminated.	13:23:25
10	Wouldn't that then be the same	13:23:28
11	way that an artist like Richard Prince is	13:23:29
12	referring to a widely disseminated image that	13:23:32
13	is widely known on social media when he	13:23:36
14	includes it in his painting?	13:23:39
15	A I have no idea I have an	13:23:40
16	understanding of what it means for a hip-hop	13:23:42
17	song to become widely known. We are talking	13:23:45
18	about millions of listeners.	13:23:48
19	I have no idea what you're	13:23:50
20	talking about when you say widely disseminated	13:23:51
21	and widely known, so I do not accept this	13:23:54
22	analogy.	13:23:57
23	Q But it's a hypothetical, and I	13:23:57
24	am allowed to ask a hypothetical question	13:23:59
25	A Yes.	13:24:01

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 151

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q of an expert.	13:24:01
3	So just assume, which I will	13:24:04
4	have to prove at trial, but assume for purposes	13:24:05
5	of this hypothetical that the Graham image was	13:24:08
6	widely disseminated, if the Graham image was	13:24:13
7	widely disseminated, that people in social	13:24:16
8	media would recognize it.	13:24:20
9	Mr. Prince's use of that	13:24:21
10	reference of a widely disseminated image,	13:24:23
11	couldn't that have the same kind of referential	13:24:28
12	impact that you talked about in the context of	13:24:31
13	hip-hop?	13:24:34
14	A Yes, but that has nothing to do	13:24:35
15	with fair use.	13:24:36
16	Q Similarly, with the McNatt	13:24:40
17	image, the McNatt image involved a portrait of	13:24:42
18	a widely known singer.	13:24:47
19	Couldn't that have the same	13:24:51
20	referential context if used in a painting that	13:24:53
21	you referred to in the context of a hip-hop	13:24:59
22	song?	13:25:02
23	A Yes, but again, that has nothing	13:25:02
24	to do with fair use.	13:25:04
25	MR. BALLON: Why don't we take a	13:25:06
1		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	lunch break, this is a good time for a	13:25:07
3	break, and I appreciate the discussion.	13:25:09
4	It's a very interesting discussion.	13:25:11
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment,	13:25:15
6	please. Watch your microphones.	13:25:15
7	Here now marks the end of video	13:25:17
8	file number 2. The time is 1:25 p.m. We	13:25:18
9	are now off the record.	13:25:21
10	(At this point in the proceedings	13:25:23
11	there was a luncheon recess, after which	13:25:23
12	the deposition continued as follows:)	13:25:23
13	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here now marks	14:24:49
14	the beginning of video file number 3.	14:24:50
15	The time is 2:24 p.m. We are back on	14:24:52
16	the record.	14:24:55
17		14:24:56
18	CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY	14:24:56
19	MR. BALLON:	14:24:56
20		14:24:56
21	Q Good afternoon.	14:24:56
22	A Good afternoon.	14:24:57
23	Q I would like to show you what	14:24:59
24	has been marked as Exhibit 214. It is a blog	14:25:01
25	post from your blog, NearbyCafe.com, entitled	14:25:05

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	"The Photographer and the Painting."	14:25:10
	3	(The above described document was	14:25:12
	4	marked Exhibit 214 for identification, as	14:25:12
	5	of this date.)	14:25:12
	6	Q Is that an article or blog post	14:25:13
	7	that you wrote?	14:25:16
	8	A Yes, it is.	14:25:17
	9	Q Have you written all of the	14:25:22
	10	articles on your blog?	14:25:23
	11	A No, I publish periodic guest	14:25:25
	12	posts by invited guests.	14:25:27
	13	Q But this one was written by you?	14:25:30
	14	A Yes.	14:25:32
	15	Q And is there anyone else besides	14:25:33
	16	yourself who would have authority to upload a	14:25:35
	17	post, for example, if you have a guest blogger?	14:25:38
	18	A No, I do that uploading myself.	14:25:41
	19	Q I would like to ask you to look	14:25:45
	20	at paragraph 29 of your expert report, please.	14:25:46
	21	In there you say, "Photography	14:25:53
	22	performed by Mr. Graham and Mr. McNatt involves	14:25:54
	23	a set of both conscious and intuitive decisions	14:25:58
	24	that inherently qualify as interpretive and	14:26:01
	25	thus creative."	14:26:05
- [l		I

]
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Do you see that?	14:26:20
3	A Yes, I see that.	14:26:21
4	Q Now, what is the basis for that	14:26:24
5	opinion?	14:26:32
6	A The basis for that opinion is 50	14:26:34
7	years of observing how photographers work,	14:26:37
8	reading them write about how they work and	14:26:40
9	discussing with them how they work.	14:26:44
10	Q Now, if a photographer was to	14:26:49
11	take a photo while drunk, for example, would it	14:26:55
12	also necessarily be the case that there would	14:27:01
13	be conscious and intuitive decisions that	14:27:04
14	inherently qualify as interpretive and thus	14:27:06
15	creative?	14:27:10
16	A I would think so, yes.	14:27:10
17	Q So even if someone is under the	14:27:11
18	influence of alcohol, there would still be, if	14:27:13
19	a photographer was taking a photo, there would	14:27:18
20	still be intuitive decisions that qualify as	14:27:21
21	interpretive and thus creative?	14:27:23
22	A Many artists have written under	14:27:25
23	the influence of many substances and	14:27:27
24	consciousness-altering experiences, let's say.	14:27:30
25	Q Are there any type of photos	14:27:35

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	that are taken that don't involve conscious and	14:27:37
3	intuitive decisions that inherently qualify as	14:27:41
4	interpretive and thus creative?	14:27:43
5	A Sure.	14:27:48
6	Q Can you give me some examples?	14:27:48
7	A Well, for example, if you have	14:27:50
8	in your car a device that, either on a timer or	14:27:51
9	continuously records your travels, I would say	14:28:00
10	that that's not particularly conscious and	14:28:05
11	intuitive.	14:28:08
12	The cameras in a bank or the	14:28:11
13	cameras at your front desk, for example, that	14:28:14
14	took our picture as we came in and got our	14:28:17
15	passes, I would say that those are not	14:28:20
16	particularly conscious and intuitive made	14:28:23
17	photographs.	14:28:27
18	And I'm sure there are many	14:28:27
19	other kinds made by mechanical devices, et	14:28:29
20	cetera, somebody makes the decision where to	14:28:32
21	position those devices, but and what the	14:28:35
22	timing is, but they are not conscious and	14:28:38
23	deliberated decisions as to when the picture	14:28:42
24	gets made or exactly how it's framed, et	14:28:44
25	cetera.	14:28:46
ĺ		

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q I see. What about in instances	14:28:48
3	when a photo is commissioned?	14:28:50
4	So, for example, if someone were	14:28:52
5	to commission a photograph and provide a list	14:28:54
6	of instructions, the subject needs to appear in	14:28:57
7	this manner and that background, would that	14:29:00
8	type of photo necessarily involve interpretive	14:29:05
9	and creative aspects?	14:29:10
10	A It would have to involve some,	14:29:14
11	unless the person who was doing the	14:29:16
12	commissioning was actually handling the camera,	14:29:18
13	him or herself, and let's say the other party	14:29:23
14	was just loading and unloading the film or	14:29:27
15	something like that.	14:29:30
16	Because there are any number of	14:29:31
17	decisions that have to be made in the making of	14:29:32
18	any photograph.	14:29:34
19	Q Are you familiar with the monkey	14:29:37
20	selfie case?	14:29:39
21	A Yes, I am.	14:29:40
22	Q So in that instance, you had a	14:29:41
23	photographer who was trying to take a picture	14:29:44
24	of a precocious primate, who actually took	14:29:45
25	control and took the picture himself, correct?	14:29:51
		I

		7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A In a sense correct, yes; in a	14:29:55
3	sense not.	14:29:57
4	Q In what way is that not a	14:29:57
5	correct?	14:29:59
6	A If you are suggesting that the	14:30:01
7	monkey, whose name is Naruto, actually	14:30:03
8	understood the instrument involved and took	14:30:06
9	control of it, I would reject that assumption	14:30:10
10	out of hand.	14:30:14
11	Q Fair point.	14:30:16
12	I don't know want to get into	14:30:18
13	the monkey's subjective understanding, but that	14:30:19
14	was a photo where the photo was actually taken	14:30:21
15	by the monkey of himself, correct?	14:30:24
16	A The exposure was made by the	14:30:26
17	monkey, yes. I don't know that the monkey	14:30:27
18	understood that he was making an exposure of	14:30:29
19	himself.	14:30:31
20	I would doubt that very much, in	14:30:33
21	fact.	14:30:34
22	Q I would suspect he probably	14:30:35
23	didn't.	14:30:36
24	But it nonetheless was quite an	14:30:38
25	attractive picture.	14:30:39

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Yes, it was.	14:30:41
3	Q Would that, the monkey selfie,	14:30:41
4	does that picture qualify as interpretive and	14:30:45
5	thus creative?	14:30:49
6	A No.	14:30:51
7	Q So, if someone were to provide	14:30:58
8	enough instructions in terms of composition,	14:31:00
9	layout, the way the photo must appear, so that	14:31:04
10	it has to be essentially a standard type of	14:31:06
11	photo, does it reach a point where there are	14:31:08
12	enough instructions that even though there is a	14:31:14
13	human taking a picture, the photo itself	14:31:17
14	wouldn't qualify as interpretive and thus	14:31:21
15	creative?	14:31:22
16	A I'm not sure that I would say	14:31:27
17	that I would say yes to that.	14:31:30
18	I would say that there is a	14:31:31
19	point at which it becomes a collaboration	14:31:32
20	between the person doing the commissioning and	14:31:36
21	providing those instructions and the person	14:31:37
22	carrying out those instructions.	14:31:40
23	Q I see, so I see.	14:31:43
24	So that the person giving the	14:31:46
25	instructions was actually contributing to the	14:31:48

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 159

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	creativity and might be a joint author?	14:31:50
3	A Right, right; yes.	14:31:53
4	Q All right, so that so let's,	14:32:00
5	if you could please take a look at paragraph 34	14:32:03
6	of your report.	14:32:09
7	And in there you say, "In	14:32:11
8	evaluating whether a reasonable observer would	14:32:12
9	view the Prince works as having transformed	14:32:15
10	Plaintiffs' works, I take account of all the	14:32:17
11	works in question and circumstances surrounding	14:32:20
12	that creation."	14:32:23
13	What is your understanding of a	14:32:28
14	reasonable observer?	14:32:30
15	A I would say the average, well	14:32:35
16	informed citizen.	14:32:38
17	Q The average, well informed	14:32:41
18	citizen.	14:32:42
19	How would you define how	14:32:43
20	would you determine who an average, well	14:32:45
21	informed citizen is?	14:32:47
22	A In this particular instance I	14:32:53
23	would say it would need to be someone with some	14:32:55
24	awareness of the field of contemporary art	14:32:59
25	practice, because they are going to be asked to	14:33:02

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	determine something in relation to contemporary	14:33:04
3	art practice.	14:33:08
4	Q I see. So when you say like the	14:33:09
5	average, well informed citizen, so that	14:33:10
6	wouldn't be someone like you, because you are	14:33:13
7	considerably more informed?	14:33:17
8	A I am a specialist in the field.	14:33:18
9	Q Right, right, so but it would	14:33:20
10	be someone with some knowledge of contemporary	14:33:24
11	art?	14:33:26
12	A I think it would have to be in	14:33:27
13	order to make this determination. The word	14:33:28
14	transformation is is a term that requires	14:33:30
15	some interpretation.	14:33:35
16	Q And so, would that include	14:33:37
17	people such as art collectors?	14:33:38
18	A Oh, yes.	14:33:40
19	Q And in looking at the reasonable	14:33:44
20	observer test, does the way in which art	14:33:49
21	collectors value particular photographs or	14:33:54
22	paintings suggest or evidence to you whether a	14:33:57
23	work is likely to be transformative or not?	14:34:03
24	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	14:34:07
25	A I don't understand the question.	14:34:08

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q Sure, sure.	14:34:10
3	So, all right, so you've said a	14:34:11
4	reasonable observer would include an art	14:34:14
5	collector?	14:34:17
6	A Potentially, yes. Reasonable is	14:34:18
7	of course a loaded and judgmental word.	14:34:20
8	I'm not I don't know how we	14:34:24
9	exactly determine whether an individual is	14:34:26
10	reasonable, but it certainly could include an	14:34:28
11	art collector.	14:34:30
12	Q Well, how did you, then I	14:34:31
13	mean, how did you determine who was a	14:34:34
14	reasonable observer?	14:34:35
15	A I try in the same way that I try	14:34:39
16	to understand who my average reader might be,	14:34:41
17	and my informed reader might be, I try to talk	14:34:45
18	about photographs, as I do over my professional	14:34:51
19	life with all kinds of people, including just	14:34:56
20	general people who are interested in	14:35:00
21	photography on some level, on through the	14:35:02
22	specialists with whom I interact in my field.	14:35:05
23	Q So that average, well informed	14:35:10
24	consumer, would they have the kind of	14:35:15
25	understanding that you described in this report	14:35:18

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	about postmodern theory?	14:35:20
3	A Probably not.	14:35:21
4	Q So with respect to an average,	14:35:25
5	well informed consumer, if you are looking at	14:35:27
6	two works and if	14:35:32
7	MR. BALLON: Well, let's strike	14:35:40
8	that.	14:35:41
9	Q Are you aware that the Prince	14:35:43
10	paintings at issue in this case sold for more	14:35:46
11	money than the original photographs are offered	14:35:50
12	for sale?	14:35:53
13	A Yes, I am aware of that.	14:35:54
14	Q And there is actually a fair	14:35:56
15	difference, is there not? The paintings are in	14:35:58
16	the thousands of dollars and the photos are	14:36:00
17	valued at a lower dollar number?	14:36:06
18	A Yes, I am aware of that.	14:36:08
19	Q So, does that price difference	14:36:12
20	reflect or possibly reflect the fact that	14:36:14
21	average, well informed consumers value the	14:36:21
22	Prince paintings more, and that to them, at	14:36:27
23	least, they see there is something added there	14:36:30
24	that doesn't exist in the original?	14:36:33
25	A It certainly indicates that they	14:36:37

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	value the Prince paintings more.	14:36:38
3	It does not necessarily mean	14:36:43
4	that they see something added in there. You	14:36:44
5	would have to ask them.	14:36:46
6	Q Right. But in looking at	14:36:51
7	transformation, you would agree, wouldn't you,	14:36:54
8	that if the Prince paintings were identical to	14:36:57
9	the Graham and McNatt photographs, that a	14:37:01
10	reasonable or an average, well informed	14:37:09
11	consumer would value them the same if they were	14:37:11
12	identical, wouldn't they?	14:37:14
13	A No.	14:37:16
14	Q Well, how would it be reasonable	14:37:16
15	for a consumer, if two items are identical, how	14:37:18
16	would it be reasonable for a consumer to value	14:37:25
17	them as different?	14:37:28
18	A Because if one has Richard	14:37:30
19	Prince's signature on it, it's automatically	14:37:31
20	more valuable in the art market than if it does	14:37:32
21	not.	14:37:35
22	Q I see, so the signature.	14:37:36
23	And is that in the same way	14:37:39
24	that, for example, Marcel Duchamps with a	14:37:41
25	urinal, by signing the urinal, it became	14:37:46

	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	valuable as a work of art?	14:37:49
	3	A No, because he didn't sign it,	14:37:51
	4	actually, with his own name, as I'm sure you	14:37:52
	5	know.	14:37:54
	6	He signed it R. Mutt, which was	14:37:55
	7	his kind of pseudonym. And R. Mutt's name had	14:37:57
	8	no value whatsoever in the art world at the	14:38:00
	9	time.	14:38:03
	10	Q But it was the act of claiming	14:38:05
	11	it as art that made it more valuable, is that	14:38:07
	12	right?	14:38:12
	13	A Actually there is no evidence it	14:38:12
	14	made it more valuable at the time. It made it	14:38:14
	15	controversial at the time.	14:38:16
	16	Q And the controversy made it have	14:38:18
	17	some artistic merit?	14:38:21
	18	A It was eventually it	14:38:23
	19	eventually came to be seen that way in the art	14:38:24
	20	world, yes.	14:38:26
	21	Q Do you believe that the Prince	14:38:29
	22	paintings have come to be seen that way in the	14:38:31
	23	art world, as having some significance?	14:38:33
	24	A Due to the controversy of this	14:38:37
	25	case?	14:38:40
- [1

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q No, just is it your	14:38:40
3	understanding that Prince's New Portraits have	14:38:42
4	come to be recognized as having some kind of	14:38:48
5	value in the art world?	14:38:51
6	A I can certainly see that in	14:38:53
7	terms of the prices that they command and the	14:38:56
8	comments, for example, of the other deponents	14:38:58
9	on Defendants' side here, that there are people	14:39:02
10	in the art world who consider them important,	14:39:04
11	yes.	14:39:07
12	Q And do you believe that it's	14:39:08
13	perhaps more than just the signature that	14:39:09
14	counts for that?	14:39:11
15	A I would have no way of	14:39:14
16	determining that.	14:39:15
17	If these works were suddenly to	14:39:17
18	appear on a gallery wall without Prince's name	14:39:18
19	on them, would they have sold for the thousands	14:39:22
20	of dollars you indicate that they have sold	14:39:24
21	for?	14:39:26
22	I have no way of determining	14:39:27
23	that. Either do you, I think, sir.	14:39:29
24	Q But I am asking you as an expert	14:39:32
25	opining on how a reasonable observer would	14:39:37

		_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	view, which you have identified as an average	14:39:39
3	consumer	14:39:42
4	A Right.	14:39:45
5	Q Now I have lost track, that the	14:39:46
6	average consumer anyway, the reasonable	14:39:47
7	observer, let's go with that, so certainly a	14:39:52
8	reasonable observer would consider it has some	14:39:59
9	value?	14:40:00
10	A I'm sorry, you have to give me	14:40:02
11	the whole question in one piece.	14:40:04
12	Q I'm sorry, that was perhaps more	14:40:06
13	confusing than it needed to be.	14:40:08
14	You said there is no way of	14:40:13
15	knowing whether it's the signature or the name	14:40:15
16	that adds the value or something else.	14:40:20
17	I'm suggesting that because you	14:40:23
18	are opining as an expert on the reasonable	14:40:25
19	observer test, I am asking if you have an	14:40:28
20	opinion, but maybe	14:40:31
21	MR. BALLON: Let me back up on	14:40:32
22	that.	14:40:33
23	Q Are you opining as an expert on	14:40:35
24	the reasonable observer test as an	14:40:37
25	understanding excuse me, based on your	14:40:39

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	understanding of the photography market, but	14:40:42
3	perhaps not the art market, or are you opining	14:40:46
4	also on the on how consumers of paintings	14:40:48
5	would perceive the work?	14:40:53
6	A I am opining on how both would	14:40:58
7	perceive the work, depending on whether or not	14:41:02
8	Richard Prince's name was the works, whether	14:41:05
9	or not Richard Prince's name was attached to	14:41:09
10	it.	14:41:11
11	Q I see. So you believe that a	14:41:12
12	reasonable observer places greater value on the	14:41:16
13	Prince paintings because of the name and	14:41:20
14	signature, but you can't opine one way or the	14:41:24
15	other whether there are other factors that also	14:41:28
16	might account for the higher value?	14:41:31
17	A What other factors are we	14:41:36
18	speaking of?	14:41:37
19	Q Well, I asked you if there were	14:41:39
20	other factors. I asked you if there were other	14:41:40
21	factors besides name and signature that	14:41:45
22	accounted for the greater value and you said	14:41:47
23	you didn't know.	14:41:50
24	I think you said neither of us	14:41:51
25	really know.	14:41:54
		1

-1	7.7.7.7. 607.7.7.7	
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A No, because I can't enter the	14:41:55
3	minds of the buyers of art, so I don't know	14:41:57
4	what would the what else would determine	14:42:02
5	their decisions to purchase or not purchase one	14:42:05
6	of these works by Prince if they did not know	14:42:09
7	it was by Prince.	14:42:11
8	I have no way of guessing that.	14:42:12
9	Q I see.	14:42:14
10	So, you acknowledge that they	14:42:15
11	value the Prince paintings higher, but you	14:42:17
12	don't really know why?	14:42:19
13	A Aside from the fact that they	14:42:22
14	have Prince's name on it, correct.	14:42:23
15	Q And purchasers of art are	14:42:29
16	included in that category of reasonable	14:42:30
17	observer, correct?	14:42:35
18	A Absolutely.	14:42:37
19	Q Now, you also in paragraph 34	14:42:42
20	talk, say that you were evaluating "whether the	14:42:45
21	Prince works change the composition,	14:42:48
22	presentation, scale, color pallet and media	14:42:51
23	originally used and whether comment	14:42:56
24	automatically constitutes alteration."	14:42:59
25	What do you mean by	14:43:02

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	automatically?	14:43:03
3	A I am referring here to various	14:43:07
4	points in the documents that I was shown in	14:43:10
5	which reference was made by Brian Wallace and	14:43:15
6	others to Mr. Prince's additions, textual	14:43:18
7	additions to the works and the appropriated	14:43:24
8	texts from all the people that are included in	14:43:33
9	the works.	14:43:36
10	That they refer to these	14:43:39
11	regularly as comments, and they refer regularly	14:43:40
12	to Mr. Prince commenting on on the social	14:43:45
13	construction we know of social media and so	14:43:55
14	forth.	14:43:58
15	So I'm referring to various	14:43:59
16	usages of the term comment and commenting in	14:44:01
17	the documents that I was shown.	14:44:04
18	Q Now, some of those comments, in	14:44:06
19	fact, are authorized by Mr. Prince, are they	14:44:07
20	not?	14:44:10
21	A As I understand it, yes.	14:44:11
22	Q But I still don't understand	14:44:15
23	what you mean by automatically.	14:44:16
24	You said one of the things you	14:44:17
25	value is whether comment automatically	14:44:19

		7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	constitutes alteration.	14:44:22
3	What do you mean by that?	14:44:23
4	A Well, the usages of the terms	14:44:24
5	comment and commenting in the various documents	14:44:29
6	that I reviewed suggest that the comment in	14:44:33
7	itself, the commenting in itself constitutes an	14:44:36
8	alteration of the work that justifies the fair	14:44:42
9	use exception.	14:44:46
10	Q And do you have an opinion on	14:44:48
11	that?	14:44:50
12	A Yes, I would say that it would	14:44:59
13	depend entirely on the nature and quality of	14:45:00
14	the comment.	14:45:03
15	Q Now, based on your 50 years	14:45:05
16	as in the photography industry, do you have	14:45:07
17	expertise to opine on the transformative value	14:45:11
18	of text?	14:45:16
19	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	14:45:20
20	A I'm not can you put that	14:45:23
21	another way?	14:45:24
22	Q Sure.	14:45:25
23	You have talked extensively	14:45:26
24	about your expertise in the area of	14:45:27
25	photography.	14:45:30

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Do you have do you believe	14:45:33
3	that you have expertise in what type of written	14:45:35
4	word would would satisfy creativity for	14:45:41
5	purposes of copyright?	14:45:49
6	Let me ask you a different	14:45:56
7	question.	14:45:57
8	A I'm not still sure I understand.	14:45:57
9	Q Because again, I see you're	14:45:59
10	struggling, and it's not a trick question. I	14:46:01
11	want to	14:46:03
12	A I don't feel that it's such. I	14:46:05
13	just don't understand it.	14:46:06
14	Q Right, exactly. Let me see if I	14:46:07
15	can put it in a better context.	14:46:08
16	Are you familiar with Richard	14:46:11
17	Prince's Joke paintings?	14:46:13
18	A I have seen some of them. I	14:46:15
19	wouldn't say I'm familiar with them, but yes.	14:46:16
20	Q You do know that Mr. Prince has	14:46:18
21	some paintings where the painting has nothing	14:46:20
22	on the canvas except a joke painted in some	14:46:23
23	color?	14:46:28
24	A Yes.	14:46:28
25	Q And you know that these sell for	14:46:30

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	some amount of money, correct?	14:46:31
3	A Yes.	14:46:33
4	Q Do you consider yourself an	14:46:34
5	expert on what type of written word by	14:46:35
6	Mr. Prince would be creative enough to be	14:46:40
7	viewed by a reasonable observer as being	14:46:46
8	transformative?	14:46:49
9	A In relation to those paintings?	14:46:51
10	Q Yes.	14:46:53
11	A No, I don't have an opinion on	14:46:55
12	that in relation to those paintings.	14:46:57
13	Q Okay.	14:46:59
14	A I mean the Joke paintings.	14:47:00
15	Q Right. And then with respect to	14:47:03
16	the paintings at issue in this case, I	14:47:04
17	understand that you have many opinions about	14:47:08
18	the whether the photographic elements of the	14:47:11
19	Prince paintings are transformative.	14:47:15
20	Do you feel you have any	14:47:18
21	expertise to be able to evaluate whether the	14:47:20
22	comments that Richard Prince has added to these	14:47:23
23	paintings is transformative?	14:47:27
24	A I have 50 years' experience with	14:47:33
25	captioning, with related responding	14:47:35

г				
	1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	critically as	a historian to the captioning of	14:47:38
	3	photographs.		14:47:41
	4		And in a broad sense, those	14:47:43
	5	comments and the	hose Instagram comments fall into	14:47:47
	6	the category of	f caption.	14:47:50
	7	Q	But they are not really	14:47:52
	8	captions, are	they? Because aren't both of	14:47:53
	9	these works ca	lled "Untitled"?	14:47:55
	10		MS. PELES: Objection.	14:48:00
	11	А	What does that have to do with	14:48:00
	12	there being cap	ptions or not?	14:48:01
	13	Q	Well, the caption of a painting	14:48:03
	14	would be the t	itle, wouldn't it?	14:48:04
	15	А	Of course not.	14:48:05
	16	Q	Okay. So what is the caption of	14:48:06
	17	a painting?		14:48:08
	18	А	A painting doesn't have a	14:48:08
	19	caption, usual	ly.	14:48:09
	20	Q	So I'm confused.	14:48:11
	21		You testified that you don't	14:48:14
	22	have expertise	in evaluating the potential	14:48:15
	23	transformative	nature of text by Richard Prince	14:48:18
	24	in the Joke pa	intings, but	14:48:21
	25	A	Right.	14:48:23

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q But you said with respect to the	14:48:24
3	text that appears in the two paintings at issue	14:48:25
4	in this lawsuit, you believe you have expertise	14:48:29
5	because they are captions?	14:48:32
6	A Right.	14:48:34
7	Q How are they captions if	14:48:35
8	paintings don't have captions?	14:48:37
9	A Photographs often come to us,	14:48:39
10	usually come to us, as a matter of fact, with	14:48:41
11	some kind of caption.	14:48:44
12	You pick up a newspaper, you	14:48:45
13	pick up a magazine, you even see a photograph	14:48:46
14	on a TV news show, and it usually has	14:48:51
15	underneath it what we call in the trade a	14:48:53
16	caption.	14:48:56
17	That is, some textual comment	14:48:57
18	that will, in box terms, both anchor and relay	14:49:02
19	the photograph, that pinpoint what the editor	14:49:07
20	involved wants the viewer to concentrate on	14:49:13
21	within the photograph and its many components.	14:49:18
22	And potentially, if it's a	14:49:22
23	series of images, that connect that photograph	14:49:24
24	to the next photograph and the previous	14:49:26
25	photograph.	14:49:29

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	So those are captions. And you	14:49:29
3	will find them commonly under photographs in	14:49:31
4	newspapers and magazines and books.	14:49:34
5	Q What is the basis for your	14:49:36
6	opinion that Mr. Prince's writings in these two	14:49:38
7	paintings qualify as captions?	14:49:43
8	A They appear under the photograph	14:49:46
9	in I would say that I would consider them as	14:49:49
10	captions, they appear in the paintings, under	14:49:51
11	the photographs, in the position in which	14:49:56
12	captions frequently appear under photographs.	14:49:58
13	So, these texts, including not	14:50:01
14	only Mr. Prince's, but the usually the	14:50:03
15	preceding text, as I understand it, which was	14:50:07
16	put up there by the person who posted the	14:50:10
17	original Instagram post, function as a kind of	14:50:12
18	caption to those images, simply because they	14:50:17
19	resemble stylistically, in terms of the textual	14:50:20
20	position and relation to the image, they	14:50:24
21	resemble stylistically what we commonly call	14:50:26
22	captions in published images.	14:50:29
23	Q So, speaking of the comments, do	14:50:33
24	you know whether Mr. Prince selected which	14:50:37
25	comments by third parties to include or	14:50:40

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	exclude?	14:50:42
3	A As I understand it he chose to	14:50:47
4	include the ones that were included. I don't	14:50:49
5	know which ones he excluded, almost by	14:50:51
6	definition, because they are not there.	14:50:57
7	Q Did you examine the original	14:50:59
8	posts in connection with your opinion of this	14:51:00
9	case?	14:51:03
10	A No, I did not.	14:51:03
11	Q So, if you don't know which	14:51:04
12	comments he excluded, and you're only looking	14:51:06
13	at the comments he included, at least with	14:51:09
14	respect to the Graham painting, how do you know	14:51:12
15	whether there is a transformational component	14:51:16
16	to that?	14:51:19
17	A To the comments that he	14:51:20
18	included?	14:51:22
19	Q Yeah. How would you know if	14:51:23
20	there is creativity in the selection,	14:51:25
21	arrangement or organization of comments that	14:51:28
22	were selected from a much larger body of	14:51:31
23	comments if you didn't inspect the full body of	14:51:34
24	comments?	14:51:39
25	A Normally when you deal as a	14:51:41

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	critic with a work of art, you deal with the	14:51:42
3	work of art itself, whatever that is, including	14:51:45
4	everything that it includes.	14:51:48
5	You don't deal with what the	14:51:50
6	artist has excluded, because it's not part of	14:51:51
7	the work.	14:51:54
8	Q But in this instance you are not	14:51:55
9	critiquing the painting in the sense of saying	14:51:57
10	this is a good painting or a bad painting, you	14:52:00
11	are doing something different, you are opining	14:52:02
12	on whether Mr. Prince's decision to include or	14:52:04
13	exclude particular comments was transformative.	14:52:08
14	A No, I have not made any such	14:52:14
15	statement.	14:52:16
16	Q Okay, all right.	14:52:18
17	So, then, is your opinion so	14:52:19
18	then you have no opinion on whether the	14:52:23
19	comments add a transformational component to	14:52:26
20	the paintings?	14:52:29
21	A Whether the comments, the	14:52:30
22	original comments that are included?	14:52:31
23	Q Both paintings include a number	14:52:35
24	of different features, including photographic	14:52:37
25	elements and written text.	14:52:42

ſ			
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	A Right.	14:52:44
	3	Q Are you saying you have no	14:52:45
	4	opinion on whether the written text has any	14:52:48
	5	transformational quality?	14:52:52
	6	A Both the written texts that were	14:53:01
	7	originally part of the post and Mr. Prince's	14:53:03
	8	texts, or separately?	14:53:06
	9	Q Well, for now I'm just talking	14:53:09
	10	about the text that's there. You said as a	14:53:10
	11	critic you could only look at what's there.	14:53:12
	12	A Right.	14:53:15
	13	Q So then I asked you, I said	14:53:15
	14	well, how can you form an opinion about whether	14:53:17
	15	the process of including and excluding certain	14:53:19
	16	comments was itself creative and	14:53:23
	17	transformational, and you said you can't,	14:53:26
	18	that's not your opinion.	14:53:28
	19	A Right.	14:53:29
	20	Q So then so then, so now I'm	14:53:31
	21	saying looking simply at the paintings and the	14:53:34
	22	text that appears there, are you saying that	14:53:39
	23	you have no opinion on whether the text itself	14:53:44
	24	adds a transformational quality to the	14:53:47
	25	paintings?	14:53:49

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A I have no opinion as to whether	14:53:52
3	it adds a transformational quality to the	14:53:53
4	paintings.	14:53:56
5	I do have an opinion about	14:53:58
6	whether or not it adds a transformational	14:54:00
7	quality to the photographs that are included in	14:54:02
8	the paintings.	14:54:04
9	Q Okay.	14:54:05
10	And what's the basis for that	14:54:07
11	opinion?	14:54:09
12	A The basis for that opinion is	14:54:11
13	considering them, those textual elements as	14:54:14
14	components as captions, effectively, or	14:54:18
15	commentary on the photographs themselves, the	14:54:21
16	photographic images themselves.	14:54:26
17	Q Now, in making that analysis,	14:54:29
18	though, is it relevant to your analysis that	14:54:31
19	there is no evidence that Mr. Prince intended	14:54:35
20	those comments to be captions?	14:54:38
21	A No; because I'm not concerned	14:54:39
22	with his intent.	14:54:41
23	Q And explain again why the	14:54:45
24	particular comments in each painting qualify in	14:54:47
25	your view as captions?	14:54:51
1		1

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Because they	14:54:53
3	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	14:54:54
4	A They occupy, I think this is	14:54:55
5	asked and answered, but they occupy the	14:54:56
6	position in which we culturally are normally	14:54:58
7	habituated to textual caption relating to	14:55:02
8	visual images, and in particular, photographic	14:55:08
9	images.	14:55:10
10	Q But are you saying that as an	14:55:11
11	art critic, or is that your opinion about a	14:55:12
12	reasonable observer?	14:55:15
13	A I am saying that in both senses.	14:55:17
14	Q Wouldn't a reasonable observer	14:55:22
15	view those as comments that you would see	14:55:23
16	typically in social media, rather than captions	14:55:26
17	that an art critic would look at?	14:55:28
18	A Well, captions are a form of	14:55:30
19	comment on the pictures that they caption.	14:55:35
20	Q But a reasonable observer I	14:55:42
21	mean, you would agree, wouldn't you, that most	14:55:43
22	people, looking at the Prince paintings at	14:55:46
23	issue in this case, would consider them to be	14:55:48
24	paintings representing social media posts on	14:55:52
25	Instagram, would they not?	14:55:58

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Yes, yes.	14:55:59
3	Q And most users of Instagram	14:56:02
4	would recognize the content, the textual part,	14:56:03
5	as comments by users, would you not?	14:56:08
6	A Yes.	14:56:10
7	Q So isn't it fair to say that	14:56:13
8	most that a reasonable observer looking at a	14:56:15
9	painting that represents a post on Instagram,	14:56:19
10	would view text that appears in the comment	14:56:26
11	section as comments, and not what an art critic	14:56:30
12	would call a caption?	14:56:34
13	A Yes, I would.	14:56:35
14	Q So in terms of the images	14:56:38
15	themselves, what did you observe any	14:56:42
16	alteration of the images?	14:56:49
17	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	14:56:52
18	A I would have to ask for a	14:56:57
19	definition of alteration.	14:56:59
20	Q Okay. In your expert report you	14:57:02
21	say in paragraph 34 that in evaluating whether	14:57:08
22	a reasonable observer would view the Prince	14:57:13
23	works as having transformed Plaintiffs' works,	14:57:15
24	you considered whether the addition of	14:57:18
25	Mr. Prince's comments constitute an alteration	14:57:23

_	1 ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	of the work and I'm sorry, that's the wrong	14:57:27
3	3 place.	14:57:31
4	Yeah, you considered whether	14:57:36
į	Prince's works changed the composition,	14:57:37
6	presentation, scale, color, pallet and media	14:57:39
-	originally used in Plaintiffs' works, correct?	14:57:42
8	Do you see that reference,	14:57:45
9	whether the Prince works changed the	14:57:46
10	O composition?	14:57:49
12	1 A Where are you?	14:57:49
12	Q Sure, paragraph 34. One of the	14:57:50
13	3 criteria you looked at	14:57:53
14	A Right, okay.	14:57:54
15	Q Yeah, so, with respect to the	14:57:55
16	6 Prince work, is there a change in media?	14:58:06
17	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	14:58:15
18	MR. BALLON: Counsel, the	14:58:20
19	statement in the report is whether	14:58:20
20	Prince, the Prince work changed the	14:58:22
23	composition, presentation, scale, color,	14:58:24
22	pallet and media originally used in	14:58:26
23	Plaintiffs' works.	14:58:28
24	This is what the witness has said	14:58:30
25	his charge was, and so I don't think it's	14:58:32

			7
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	object	ionable to ask whether there was a	14:58:35
3	change	in the media.	14:58:37
4	А	Yes, there was a change in the	14:58:46
5	media.		14:58:47
6	Q	Okay.	14:58:49
7		And what was that change in the	14:58:50
8	media, to your	understanding?	14:58:54
9	А	To my understanding, Mr. Prince	14:58:56
10	made screen sh	ots of the digital versions of	14:58:58
11	those images o	n Instagram after he had hacked	14:59:04
12	and altered th	e text, and then had those screen	14:59:10
13	shots digitall	y printed on canvas.	14:59:14
14	Q	And did the Prince works change	14:59:21
15	the compositio	n?	14:59:23
16	A	No.	14:59:26
17		MS. PELES: Of the original	14:59:28
18	works?		14:59:28
19		MR. BALLON: Yes.	14:59:29
20		MS. PELES: Just collecting.	14:59:30
21	A	No.	14:59:31
22	Q	And why is that?	14:59:31
23	A	Because they basically replicate	14:59:35
24	the compositio	n of the original works.	14:59:38
25	Q	What about the presentation, is	14:59:42

- 1			I
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	the presentation different?	14:59:43
	3	A Yes.	14:59:46
	4	Q And is the scale different?	14:59:49
	5	A As I understand it, yes.	14:59:52
	6	Q Was the color pallet different?	14:59:53
	7	A I haven't seen the originals, I	14:59:56
	8	can't comment on that.	14:59:57
	9	Q If the originals were black and	14:59:59
	10	white and if the Prince paintings were Inkjet	15:00:01
	11	printed in color, would that be a different	15:00:06
	12	color pallet?	15:00:08
	13	A Not necessarily to the naked	15:00:11
	14	eye, but yes, it would be a different color	15:00:12
	15	pallet in the production method.	15:00:15
	16	Q And it could, in fact, be	15:00:16
	17	different to the naked eye, correct?	15:00:17
	18	A It might be.	15:00:19
	19	Q It might be, but you don't know.	15:00:19
	20	You don't know, correct, because	15:00:21
	21	you haven't seen the originals?	15:00:22
	22	A Correct.	15:00:24
	23	Q The final point is whether the	15:00:38
	24	addition of Mr. Prince's comments constitute an	15:00:39
	25	alteration of the images.	15:00:42

		_
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Would there ever be an instance	15:00:45
3	where comments could alter an image?	15:00:46
4	A I can't imagine how, unless one	15:00:52
5	were spitting while commenting.	15:00:57
6	Q Were what?	15:00:59
7	A Unless one were spitting in	15:00:59
8	proximity to the image and had a physical	15:01:01
9	effect on the image.	15:01:03
10	Q I understand. So unless	15:01:04
11	comments were literally pasted over an image?	15:01:06
12	A Right.	15:01:09
13	Q As you have defined this	15:01:09
14	criteria, there would never be a possibility of	15:01:10
15	comments altering an image?	15:01:13
16	A No.	15:01:15
17	Q How do you define	15:01:17
18	transformation?	15:01:18
19	A I would say that there has to be	15:01:24
20	a visible change in the form.and/or content of	15:01:26
21	the work in question.	15:01:36
22	Q And what do you mean by that?	15:01:42
23	A With going back to the	15:01:55
24	example of Bob Dillon's paintings from	15:01:56
25	photographs, he reproduced he didn't	15:02:01

- 1			
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	reproduce, he interpreted the content in his	15:02:07
	3	own brush stroke style and his own actually,	15:02:10
	4	in most cases he added color to what were	15:02:15
	5	initially black and white images and the	15:02:18
	6	paintings were of a different scale.	15:02:25
	7	And they have their own, I don't	15:02:29
	8	know how to describe it, but they have their	15:02:31
	9	own mood, let's say, which is not necessarily	15:02:33
	10	the mood of the original photographs.	15:02:35
	11	So he used them as kind of a	15:02:38
	12	springboard for his own versions of those	15:02:40
	13	scenes.	15:02:44
	14	Q In paragraph 36 you say, at the	15:02:48
	15	top of page 10, "Someone, without Mr. Graham's	15:02:50
	16	authorization, downloaded that low resolution	15:02:53
	17	digital derivation of Mr. Graham's image of	15:02:57
	18	this Rastafarian man and uploaded it to	15:03:00
	19	Instagram, adding to it a caption."	15:03:03
	20	Now, how do you know that this	15:03:06
	21	was downloaded without Mr. Graham's	15:03:09
	22	authorization?	15:03:11
	23	A I believe that I read that in	15:03:14
	24	Mr. Graham's in the report from	15:03:15
	25	Mr. Graham's, the synopsis of Mr. Graham's	15:03:19

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	position.	15:03:23
3	Q You mean the synopsis provided	15:03:24
4	to you by counsel?	15:03:25
5	A Yes.	15:03:26
6	Q Why do you say that what was	15:03:33
7	downloaded was a low resolution digital	15:03:36
8	derivation? How do you know that?	15:03:38
9	A Well, because the images that	15:03:40
10	are posted on-line generally, although not	15:03:41
11	always, are posted as very low resolution	15:03:48
12	images, 72 DPI.	15:03:50
13	And that's partly to protect	15:03:53
14	against various kinds of unauthorized reusages	15:03:55
15	of those images.	15:03:59
16	You can't upload images of a	15:04:01
17	reproduction quality to sites like Instagram.	15:04:05
18	They actually have a size limit	15:04:09
19	to the files that you can upload.	15:04:11
20	And so most people who upload to	15:04:14
21	sites like that upload what we generally call	15:04:19
22	low resolution images, which are usually 72	15:04:23
23	DPI, which look good on a computer screen, but	15:04:25
24	lose a lot of detail.	15:04:30
25	Q How do you know about that size	15:04:32

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	limitation on	Instagram?	15:04:34
3	A	Simply because Instagram has	15:04:39
4	rules for the	uploading of photographs.	15:04:43
5	Q	And are you sure that's true	15:04:45
6	today?		15:04:46
7	А	Today, no; on this date, no.	15:04:50
8	Q	And Instagram is owned by	15:04:54
9	Facebook, corr	ect?	15:04:55
10	А	Correct.	15:04:58
11	Q	And you are aware you can upload	15:04:59
12	high definition	n photos to Facebook, correct?	15:05:01
13	А	Yes.	15:05:04
14	Q	Is it possible that you would be	15:05:06
15	able to upload	high definition photos to	15:05:08
16	Instagram?		15:05:10
17	А	I suppose.	15:05:13
18	Q	And when a photo is called high	15:05:15
19	definition, do	you know what the resolution	15:05:17
20	likely would b	e?	15:05:20
21	А	Much higher. A TIF file is, I	15:05:23
22	forget how man	y DPI; it's in the thousands, I	15:05:25
23	believe.		15:05:29
24	Q	So and that would qualify as	15:05:30
25	high resolution	n, wouldn't it?	15:05:31

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A	Yes.	15:05:33
3	Q	So as you sit here today, do you	15:05:35
4	really know whe	ether the image that was	15:05:36
5	downloaded real	lly was low resolution versus	15:05:38
6	high resolution	1?	15:05:40
7	A	No.	15:05:44
8	Q	Now, you say that	15:05:48
9	A	Although, excuse me, Mr. Graham	15:05:49
10	indicated in or	ne of the documents that I read	15:05:51
11	that he had not	uploaded high resolution images	15:05:55
12	to his website.		15:05:58
13		So I am making the assumption	15:06:01
14	that this image	e came from his website.	15:06:02
15	Q	But you are aware that	15:06:06
16	Mr. Graham also	uploaded the image to Facebook,	15:06:07
17	Instagram and T	Twitter, correct?	15:06:11
18	A	Right.	15:06:13
19	Q	And you don't know whether he	15:06:13
20	uploaded low re	esolution or high definition	15:06:14
21	photos, do you?		15:06:18
22	A	No.	15:06:21
23	Q	So it is possible that what was	15:06:21
24	downloaded in f	fact was a high definition?	15:06:23
25	A	I suppose; yes.	15:06:26

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q And then you note that it was	15:06:28
3	uploaded to Instagram, adding to it a caption.	15:06:31
4	What caption do you mean?	15:06:34
5	A I am referring there to the	15:06:36
6	comments that I consider a caption.	15:06:38
7	Q Is it the comments or the user	15:06:41
8	name rastajay92 you are talking about?	15:06:42
9	A It's the comments that I am	15:06:52
10	talking about.	15:06:53
11	Q Okay. So, you are saying that	15:06:54
12	someone uploaded Mr an image of the	15:06:58
13	Rastafarian man to Instagram, adding to it a	15:07:05
14	caption, and by a caption, you mean, plural,	15:07:09
15	comments?	15:07:13
16	A Well, initially I would assume	15:07:14
17	the uploader simply added a comment, after	15:07:16
18	which other people added comments.	15:07:22
19	Q Now, why do you assume that?	15:07:25
20	Because of course when you upload a photo to	15:07:26
21	Instagram you don't have to add any comment,	15:07:28
22	you can just upload it?	15:07:30
23	A True.	15:07:32
24	Q I mean, most photos that I look	15:07:33
25	at, I see on Instagram, don't have any comment.	15:07:35

	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	15:07:38
	3	Q What caption are you referring	15:07:39
	4	to here?	15:07:40
	5	A I am referring to the comment	15:07:41
	6	that's included in the in the Prince work,	15:07:43
	7	the comment not by Prince.	15:07:49
	8	Q So when you say someone	15:07:55
	9	downloaded that low resolution digital	15:07:59
	10	derivation of Mr. Graham's image of this	15:08:01
	11	Rastafarian man and uploaded it to Instagram,	15:08:03
	12	adding to it a caption, what you really mean is	15:08:06
	13	more than one person.	15:08:10
	14	Someone someone downloaded	15:08:12
	15	someone uploaded, various people captioned,	15:08:14
	16	because what you say is a caption, you are	15:08:18
	17	talking about comments, there are multiple	15:08:20
	18	comments, correct?	15:08:23
	19	A Correct, I am talking about the	15:08:24
	20	initial comment that was	15:08:25
	21	Q The initial comment, what was	15:08:26
	22	the initial comment?	15:08:27
	23	A I assume I assume that that	15:08:28
	24	was the one or one of the ones that, from which	15:08:30
	25	Mr. Prince made his selection.	15:08:34
ı			I

			¬
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q	But you have no way of knowing	15:08:36
3	whether the pe	erson who uploaded it even added a	15:08:38
4	comment, do yo	ou?	15:08:40
5	А	No, I don't.	15:08:41
6	Q	Now, in paragraph 37, you say,	15:08:46
7	"Paper publish	ned the image under license from	15:08:53
8	Mr. McNatt."		15:08:56
9		Have you seen a license in this	15:08:58
10	case?		15:09:01
11	А	No.	15:09:01
12	Q	Do you know whether there in	15:09:03
13	fact was a lic	cense?	15:09:04
14	А	I have been so informed, but no.	15:09:07
15	Q	Would it be material to your	15:09:12
16	decision if ir	n fact it was published without	15:09:13
17	any license fr	rom Mr. McNatt?	15:09:15
18	А	You mean published in an	15:09:19
19	unauthorized f	Tashion?	15:09:20
20	Q	No, I don't mean without	15:09:21
21	authorization.		15:09:22
22		In this case Paper magazine paid	15:09:24
23	Mr. McNatt to	take the photograph, correct?	15:09:26
24	А	Right, as I understand it.	15:09:29
25	Q	So what if Paper magazine owned	15:09:32

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	the photograph, would that change your opinion	15:09:34
3	here?	15:09:38
4	A You mean if he had signed a work	15:09:38
5	made for hire?	15:09:40
6	Q Not necessarily.	15:09:41
7	A How else would they own it?	15:09:42
8	Q Well, under copyright law,	15:09:44
9	something can be a work for hire either if	15:09:45
10	there is a written agreement or if by operation	15:09:48
11	of law it is a work made for hire.	15:09:50
12	So you don't need a written	15:09:55
13	agreement for something to be owned by the	15:09:58
14	company that pays for the photograph.	15:10:01
15	So, you say, "In each case,	15:10:06
16	Paper published the image under license from	15:10:08
17	Mr. McNatt."	15:10:10
18	Now, would it be material to	15:10:13
19	your so again, let's assume a hypothetical.	15:10:15
20	A Um-hum.	15:10:19
21	Q If, in fact, Paper magazine	15:10:21
22	published the image and owned the copyright to	15:10:25
23	the Kim Gordon picture, would that change your	15:10:29
24	analysis in this case about whether the use in	15:10:32
25	this case was fair?	15:10:37

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	It wouldn't change my analysis.	15:10:41
3	It would chang	ge my understanding of who was	15:10:42
4	who held the r	rights to these photographs and	15:10:50
5	whose image ar	nd whose rights had been	15:10:51
6	potentially br	reached by this usage.	15:10:56
7	Q	I see.	15:10:58
8		So if Mr. McNatt didn't own the	15:10:58
9	photograph, he	e wouldn't be entitled to claim	15:11:01
10	copyright infr	ringement, in your understanding?	15:11:04
11	А	That's my understanding.	15:11:06
12	Q	Then you say that Mr. McNatt	15:11:08
13	subsequently l	icensed the digital version.	15:11:10
14		What's the basis for your	15:11:13
15	assertion that	he had licensed the digital	15:11:14
16	version?		15:11:17
17	А	Again, I have been informed of	15:11:17
18	this.		15:11:20
19	Q	So, you have never seen a	15:11:20
20	license?		15:11:21
21	А	I have never seen a license.	15:11:21
22	Q	You don't, in fact, know whether	15:11:23
23	there was a li	cense?	15:11:24
24	А	No.	15:11:25
25	Q	And if Mr. McNatt in fact	15:11:26

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	let's assume another hypothetical.	15:11:29
3	Let's assume Mr. McNatt owns the	15:11:31
4	photo, and let's assume he allowed other people	15:11:33
5	to publish it in social media.	15:11:36
6	Would that change your analysis	15:11:38
7	about whether subsequent uses were permissible	15:11:40
8	or fair?	15:11:42
9	A No.	15:11:43
10	Q Why?	15:11:44
11	A Because he would have granted	15:11:46
12	those permissions in those cases, and would	15:11:48
13	have not granted that permission in the case of	15:11:50
14	Mr. Prince.	15:11:53
15	Q But you are not a lawyer,	15:12:01
16	correct?	15:12:03
17	A I am not a lawyer.	15:12:03
18	Q And you don't know the actual	15:12:04
19	contours of licensing law, do you?	15:12:06
20	A Not as a lawyer would, no, sir.	15:12:09
21	Q In paragraph 38 you say,	15:12:12
22	"Mr. Prince, via a hack, added his own	15:12:12
23	self-described gobbledygook."	15:12:16
24	What do you mean by a hack?	15:12:18
25	A It's my understanding from the	15:12:22

Γ			1
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	various documents that I looked at that	15:12:23
	3	Mr. Prince figured out a method to digitally	15:12:26
	4	intervene with the commentary posted on	15:12:33
	5	Instagram and remove assorted comments	15:12:37
	6	according to his purposes and add his own	15:12:42
	7	comments to it.	15:12:47
	8	Q So that hack, in other words,	15:12:50
	9	was what we talked earlier about, the process	15:12:51
	10	of adding comments and selecting or excluding	15:12:54
	11	other comments, correct?	15:12:56
	12	A Right.	15:12:58
	13	Q You refer here to him	15:13:03
	14	downloading the result to his own computer. Do	15:13:04
	15	you see that?	15:13:07
	16	A Yes, I do.	15:13:10
	17	Q Do you have any basis to know	15:13:11
	18	that it in fact was downloaded to a computer,	15:13:12
	19	as opposed to some other device?	15:13:15
	20	A Excuse me?	15:13:22
	21	Q You said that this was then	15:13:23
	22	downloaded to Mr. Prince's computer. How do	15:13:24
	23	you know that?	15:13:26
	24	A He had to make a screen grab of	15:13:31
	25	the altered post. I assume he downloaded it to	15:13:33
- 1			

1		
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	his own computer. He might have downloaded it	15:13:37
3	to a different computer.	15:13:40
4	Q Or he could have done something	15:13:41
5	else with that besides downloading it to any	15:13:42
6	computer, correct?	15:13:45
7	A No, because a screen grab	15:13:46
8	automatically downloads to the screen to the	15:13:48
9	computer to which the screen that is grabbed is	15:13:53
10	connected.	15:13:57
11	Q No, I mean, I could take a I	15:13:58
12	could pull out my iPhone right now as we sit	15:14:01
13	here, put something there, press a button, and	15:14:04
14	I would have a screen shot.	15:14:07
15	I could then save it on my	15:14:08
16	phone. I wouldn't have to do anything with a	15:14:09
17	computer, would I?	15:14:11
18	A I'm using computer in the broad	15:14:13
19	sense. Your cell phone is, in fact, sir, a	15:14:14
20	computer.	15:14:16
21	Q I see. So when you say	15:14:17
22	computer, you mean computer or mobile device or	15:14:18
23	some other device?	15:14:21
24	A Right.	15:14:22
25	Q In paragraph 40 you say,	15:14:31

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	"Plaintiffs' works are the dominant images in	15:14:33
3	the Prince work."	15:14:38
4	How did you make that judgment?	15:14:43
5	A In terms of the visual power of	15:14:47
6	those images, their placement and their scale.	15:14:50
7	Q Based on your experience as an	15:14:56
8	expert?	15:14:58
9	A Yes.	15:14:58
10	Q In terms of an average consumer,	15:15:02
11	do you concede that an average consumer,	15:15:06
12	particularly an Instagram user, might look at	15:15:07
13	that same image and might instead focus on the	15:15:11
14	comments more than the image?	15:15:14
15	A Well, that they might focus on	15:15:17
16	the comments, that would not make the comments	15:15:18
17	the dominant visual component.	15:15:21
18	Q Well, taking them as an	15:15:23
19	observer, perhaps for those people that would	15:15:26
20	be the dominant factor, maybe their eyes are	15:15:30
21	more attracted to the comments than the image;	15:15:33
22	possibility?	15:15:35
23	A Possibility. But those	15:15:39
24	comments but the question of whether those	15:15:41
25	comments constitute an image, even though they	15:15:43

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	are included in a painting, in the eye of the	15:15:45
3	average person, or whether they constitute	15:15:47
4	text, I think is an open question.	15:15:51
5	I would suggest that they	15:15:55
6	constitute text in the eye of the average	15:15:56
7	reasonable observer, and that the image	15:15:59
8	constitutes, the image by McNatt or Graham,	15:16:02
9	constitutes the actual image in each piece.	15:16:06
10	Q Okay, fair.	15:16:09
11	So your opinion would be that	15:16:10
12	they are the dominant image, but not	15:16:11
13	necessarily the dominant feature of the	15:16:14
14	paintings, depending on who the observer is?	15:16:17
15	A Right.	15:16:20
16	Q And you are 74 years old. In	15:16:20
17	terms of Instagram users, do you have an	15:16:28
18	opinion about whether Instagram users tend to	15:16:30
19	be younger people or older people?	15:16:33
20	A I would imagine they are mostly	15:16:36
21	younger people.	15:16:37
22	Q Mostly younger people.	15:16:38
23	So, at least with respect to	15:16:39
24	users of social media, you do concede that when	15:16:42
25	they view the paintings, the dominant feature	15:16:47

			1
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	for them might	be the text?	15:16:49
3		MS. PELES: Objection to form.	15:16:52
4	А	It's possible.	15:16:55
5	Q	But your opinion is really	15:17:00
6	limited to wha	t is the dominant image, not what	15:17:01
7	is the dominan	t feature of the paintings,	15:17:04
8	correct?		15:17:07
9	А	Correct.	15:17:07
10	Q	In paragraph 40 you talk about	15:17:14
11	the Twitter co	mpendium.	15:17:16
12		MR. BALLON: Do we have that?	15:17:19
13	Q	We will provide it as an	15:17:21
14	exhibit, see i	f we are talking about the same	15:17:23
15	thing.		15:17:25
16	А	Um-hum.	15:17:26
17		MR. BALLON: All right, so we	15:17:44
18	will m	ark this as 215.	15:17:45
19		(The above described document was	15:17:49
20	marked	Exhibit 215 for identification, as	15:17:49
21	of thi	s date.)	15:17:49
22	Q	And this, I believe, is what you	15:17:49
23	mean, at least	with respect to the image for	15:17:51
24	the Twitter co	mpendium, is that correct?	15:17:54
25	А	Yes.	15:17:56

			_
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q	All right.	15:17:58
3	A	And that term is not mine, that	15:17:59
4	term came in t	he documents that I Twitter	15:18:01
5	compendium cam	ne.	15:18:04
6	Q	So, it's terminology from your	15:18:08
7	lawyers?		15:18:10
8	A	Yes.	15:18:10
9	Q	But at least in your report you	15:18:11
10	call it the Tw	vitter compendium?	15:18:13
11	A	Right.	15:18:15
12	Q	Now, in here, you have an image	15:18:17
13	on the left.	What is that image of?	15:18:22
14	А	It appears to be a man holding	15:18:25
15	the back of a	skirt of a woman; that's my	15:18:30
16	guess.		15:18:33
17	Q	Is it a cartoon or a photograph?	15:18:34
18	А	I am reasonably sure it's a	15:18:36
19	photograph.		15:18:37
20	Q	Photograph, okay. Is it out of	15:18:38
21	focus?		15:18:40
22	А	It is.	15:18:41
23	Q	Is it blurred?	15:18:41
24	A	Yes, it is.	15:18:43
25	Q	Do you think that's intentional?	15:18:44

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

			7
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A	On the part of the photographer?	15:18:49
3	Q	Well, on the part of whoever	15:18:50
4	created this c	compendium.	15:18:52
5	А	I have no way of knowing.	15:18:54
6	Q	And then the photograph on the	15:18:57
7	right, what is	that?	15:18:58
8	А	That appears to be Rastafarian	15:19:00
9	smoking a pipe	2.	15:19:03
10	Q	Now, are you sure that it's	15:19:07
11	are you sure w	hat it is?	15:19:10
12	А	No.	15:19:11
13	Q	So it could be some other work?	15:19:12
14	А	Wait a minute, am I sure?	15:19:17
15	Q	Are you sure this is a	15:19:19
16	Rastafarian sm	noking a pipe?	15:19:20
17	А	No.	15:19:23
18	Q	You have opined here that, first	15:19:26
19	of all, you've	e said, "In his derivations,	15:19:32
20	Mr. Prince has	appropriated the entirety of	15:19:34
21	both Plaintiff	s' works in the Twitter	15:19:38
22	compendium."		15:19:40
23		Now	15:19:42
24	A	No, that's not what I said.	15:19:42
25	Q	Okay. So what did you say?	15:19:43

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 Page 203

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Maybe I am misreading it.	15:19:45
3	A That actually should read as	15:19:47
4	follows: "In his derivations of the Instagram	15:19:48
5	posts, Mr. Prince has appropriated the entirety	15:19:51
6	of both Plaintiffs' works; in the Twitter	15:19:54
7	compendium he has appropriated the cropped	15:19:58
8	central section of the Graham photograph," et	15:20:01
9	cetera.	15:20:03
10	Q I see. So that's a typo there,	15:20:03
11	there is a comma, but you believe it should be	15:20:06
12	a semicolon?	15:20:08
13	A Yes.	15:20:10
14	Q So then your opinion with	15:20:10
15	respect to the Twitter compendium is that	15:20:11
16	Prince has appropriated the cropped central	15:20:14
17	section of the Graham photo?	15:20:17
18	A Right.	15:20:18
19	Q First of all, what is the basis	15:20:22
20	for your belief that this compendium was	15:20:23
21	created by Mr. Prince?	15:20:26
22	A It was submitted as one of	15:20:30
23	the submitted as one of the, I believe, as	15:20:31
24	one of the documents in the case.	15:20:36
25	Q You mean by your lawyers?	15:20:44

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Yes.	15:20:45
3	Q I am going to show you a version	15:20:48
4	from your lawyers' Complaint, this is document	15:20:51
5	30-7, page 2 of 2, Exhibit G from the Cravath	15:20:57
6	Complaint in this lawsuit.	15:21:03
7	And this is that image included	15:21:08
8	in the Twitter post from Mr. Prince. I would	15:21:11
9	like to ask you to look at that.	15:21:14
10	Have you seen that before?	15:21:15
11	MS. PELES: This is the Complaint	15:21:17
12	in the Graham case?	15:21:18
13	MR. BALLON: Yes.	15:21:20
14	A Yes, I believe it is.	15:21:25
15	Q There is some text there. Would	15:21:29
16	you call that a caption?	15:21:30
17	A I would think of it as a	15:21:32
18	caption, although I am aware from a Twitter	15:21:34
19	standpoint it's called a comment.	15:21:37
20	Q Now, in there Mr. Prince says,	15:21:40
21	"I did not take, make, create this montage."	15:21:42
22	Do you see that?	15:21:48
23	A I do see that.	15:21:49
24	Q So, based on the caption, is it	15:21:50
25	still your opinion that this image was created	15:21:53

Epiq Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

1	ALLAN COLEMAN			
2	by Mr. Prince?	15:21:56		
3	A I actually don't have an opinion	15:22:08		
4	on that. I assume that it was, because he	15:22:10		
5	posted it, and I believe made a painting of it;	15:22:14		
6	although I could be wrong about it.	15:22:18		
7	Q I mean, you are aware that many	15:22:20		
8	of the posts that appear on Twitter are simply	15:22:23		
9	repostings of things that other people have	15:22:26		
10	posted, correct?	15:22:28		
11	A Yes.	15:22:29		
12	Q So why is it you assume that	15:22:31		
13	this image, where Mr. Prince expressly says, "I	15:22:33		
14	did not take, make, create this montage," is an	15:22:37		
15	image that he made?	15:22:43		
16	A I could be wrong.	15:22:52		
17	Q All right.	15:22:55		
18	Now, with respect to this image,	15:22:56		
19	how do you know that the image on the right	15:22:58		
20	side is taken from the Graham photograph as	15:23:00		
21	opposed to from one of millions of other	15:23:04		
22	photographs of Rastafarians?	15:23:09		
23	A I have seen the Graham	15:23:12		
24	photograph, and even out of focus, it's	15:23:13		
25	unmistakably from that photograph.	15:23:16		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q So you recognize that?	15:23:18
3	A Yes.	15:23:20
4	Q Now, in this particular you can	15:23:21
5	see a montage or collage, a couple of images	15:23:24
6	out of focus.	15:23:28
7	Is it your view that this would	15:23:29
8	be transformative?	15:23:30
9	A Not necessarily, no.	15:23:38
10	Q Why?	15:23:39
11	A Because the simple fact of	15:23:43
12	combining two images does not transform	15:23:44
13	automatically either image.	15:23:49
14	Q It doesn't automatically, but it	15:23:57
15	could, combining two images, especially when	15:23:58
16	they are out of focus, that could be a fair use	15:24:00
17	under copyright law, could it not?	15:24:03
18	A It could be considered	15:24:06
19	transformative. I don't know whether it would	15:24:07
20	be transformative enough to constitute fair	15:24:09
21	use.	15:24:12
22	I'm not a lawyer, I can't opine	15:24:12
23	on that.	15:24:13
24	Q So you don't have an opinion	15:24:14
25	about whether this is transformative or not?	15:24:15

		7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A No.	15:24:17
3	MS. PELES: Objection to form.	15:24:18
4	MR. BALLON: What was the	15:24:23
5	objection, counsel?	15:24:24
6	MS. PELES: That's not what he	15:24:25
7	said. You are mischaracterizing what he	15:24:26
8	testified to.	15:24:28
9	MR. BALLON: I didn't make any	15:24:28
10	characterization. In asking questions	15:24:29
11	of a witness, of an adverse witness, I	15:24:33
12	am allowed to ask questions in that	15:24:36
13	form.	15:24:39
14	That's fine, you can preserve that	15:24:39
15	objection for a later time.	15:24:41
16	Q All right, now, did you read the	15:24:49
17	report of Ms. Sussman?	15:24:51
18	A Refresh my memory of who she is.	15:24:58
19	Q She's another expert retained by	15:25:00
20	Cravath in this case in support of the	15:25:02
21	Defendants I mean the Plaintiffs.	15:25:07
22	A I don't believe that I did.	15:25:10
23	MS. PELES: I can represent that	15:25:12
24	he did not read any of the reports by	15:25:12
25	any of our other experts.	15:25:14

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q Are you familiar with Barbara	15:25:15
3	Sussman?	15:25:21
4	A Not offhand.	15:25:23
5	Q All right. So then in 41, you	15:25:34
6	say, "Mr. Wallace and others claim that	15:25:37
7	Mr. Prince sufficiently transformed the	15:25:45
8	photographs in question via changes in scale,	15:25:48
9	medium, et cetera.	15:25:50
10	"I consider this argument	15:25:51
11	specious."	15:25:53
12	Why?	15:25:55
13	A Because while I cannot determine	15:25:58
14	the exact extent, if any, to which Plaintiffs'	15:25:59
15	works have been cropped around their edges, in	15:26:02
16	the process of posting them to Instagram, it is	15:26:04
17	clear to me that this cropping is minimal.	15:26:08
18	Further, it is apparent that any	15:26:11
19	such cropping occurred during original posting	15:26:12
20	of these images by whichever Instagram	15:26:15
21	subscribers put them on-line.	15:26:17
22	Mr. Prince has screen grabbed,	15:26:21
23	deliberately captured the entirety of those	15:26:23
24	posts, including the substantial borders that	15:26:25
25	the Instagram posting process automatically	15:26:27

		٦		
1	ALLAN COLEMAN			
2	places around posted images.	15:26:29		
3	I detect no other alteration of	15:26:31		
4	Plaintiffs' works themselves as they appeared	15:26:33		
5	in those Instagram posts.	15:26:36		
6	Q So the basis for that opinion is	15:26:38		
7	what's written here in 41?	15:26:40		
8	Because the question was why you	15:26:42		
9	considered this specious, and you're reading to	15:26:43		
10	me	15:26:47		
11	A I'm reading to you my	15:26:48		
12	explanation of why I consider it specious.	15:26:48		
13	Q So, just to save time, you	15:26:50		
14	consider it specious for the reasons written in	15:26:52		
15	paragraph 41?	15:26:54		
16	A Yes, that's correct.	15:26:56		
17	Q Okay, all right.	15:26:57		
18	Now, in 41 you say, "It is	15:27:01		
19	apparent that any such cropping occurred during	15:27:03		
20	the original posting of these images by which	15:27:07		
21	Instagram subscribers put them on-line."	15:27:10		
22	What's the basis for your	15:27:13		
23	knowledge about the cropping process when	15:27:14		
24	images are uploaded to Instagram?	15:27:18		
25	A I have watched people post	15:27:20		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	photographs to Instagram.	15:27:22
3	Q Have you ever had that yourself,	15:27:24
4	where you posted a photo and it was cropped?	15:27:25
5	A Basically Instagram drops the	15:27:30
6	pictures into a and the picture you upload	15:27:32
7	into a template.	15:27:36
8	And, depending on the	15:27:37
9	proportions of your photograph, Instagram	15:27:41
10	conforms the proportions to its template.	15:27:48
11	Q Do you consider this somehow	15:27:53
12	relevant to whether the use of these images is	15:27:54
13	a fair use?	15:28:00
14	A It's relevant in the sense that	15:28:15
15	radical cropping, for example, to create what,	15:28:23
16	as I said earlier, we call it detail in	15:28:26
17	historical and art publication language, that	15:28:32
18	act of radical cropping suggests a decision to	15:28:41
19	use only a portion of the image and only a	15:28:44
20	relevant portion of the image.	15:28:48
21	Whereas moderate cropping of an	15:28:51
22	image around the edge does not suggest that one	15:28:52
23	is trying in any significant way to transform	15:28:56
24	the work.	15:28:59
25	Q So in your view there is a	15:29:01
		I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN			
2	difference between cropping and radical	15:29:02		
3	cropping?	15:29:03		
4	A I would say so, yes, or to put	15:29:04		
5	it more the selection of a detail.	15:29:07		
6	Q But is there any relevance to	15:29:11		
7	your opinion on fair use of the fact that	15:29:13		
8	that the cropping occurred during the original	15:29:19		
9	posting, as opposed to some other way, for	15:29:24		
10	example, taking a scissors and just cutting off	15:29:27		
11	the top?	15:29:30		
12	A Well, if Mr. Prince had chosen	15:29:31		
13	to exhibit or include in his work a detail of	15:29:35		
14	the work of Mr. Graham or Mr. McNatt, that	15:29:42		
15	would to me signify that he was abiding by what	15:29:46		
16	I understand to know the restrictions of the	15:29:49		
17	fair use exception.	15:29:53		
18	Q So, what you consider to be	15:29:56		
19	material is that that the cropping was not	15:29:59		
20	radical enough?	15:30:04		
21	A Yes, and did not affect the	15:30:06		
22	actual content of the images.	15:30:07		
23	Q Okay, I understand your opinion.	15:30:10		
24	But there is no particular	15:30:12		
25	significance to the fact that the cropping	15:30:14		
1				

1	ALLAN COLEMAN			
2	occurred during the original posting of these	15:30:16		
3	images by whichever Instagram subscriber put	15:30:20		
4	them on-line, is there?	15:30:23		
5	A Only to indicate that it wasn't	15:30:27		
б	done by Mr. Prince himself.	15:30:28		
7	Q Again, I want to understand the	15:30:32		
8	significance of that, because you know for	15:30:33		
9	centuries artists have had assistants, other	15:30:35		
10	people have helped them with their art,	15:30:38		
11	correct?	15:30:40		
12	A Right.	15:30:40		
13	Q Michelangelo created the Sistine	15:30:41		
14	Chapel, and a number of other people who helped	15:30:43		
15	him at his direction, he indicated what to	15:30:46		
16	paint.	15:30:49		
17	A Right.	15:30:49		
18	Q You are familiar with that, are	15:30:49		
19	you not?	15:30:51		
20	A Yes, I am.	15:30:51		
21	Q So, would there be a difference	15:30:52		
22	between, let's say, Mr. Prince asking one of	15:30:54		
23	the people who work in his art studio to take a	15:30:57		
24	scissors and crop a photo or whether the	15:31:00		
25	cropping occurs automatically by computer?	15:31:03		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A There would be a difference	15:31:10
3	between those there wouldn't be a difference	15:31:11
4	between Mr. Prince doing it himself and	15:31:13
5	Mr. Prince instructing his assistant to do it.	15:31:15
6	Q And what is the difference, in	15:31:18
7	your view?	15:31:19
8	A The difference is that one is a	15:31:20
9	mechanical and automatic procedure for resizing	15:31:22
10	a photograph to fit a given template, and the	15:31:26
11	other is a conscious creative or communicative	15:31:30
12	decision.	15:31:36
13	Q Well, whether the cropping is	15:31:37
14	done by a computer or done by a pair of	15:31:38
15	scissors, isn't it ultimately the artist who	15:31:43
16	chooses what image to include?	15:31:46
17	A Yes, but I don't understand the	15:31:54
18	relevance of that point.	15:31:55
19	Q Mr. Prince could have chosen to	15:31:58
20	use an uncropped version of these photos,	15:32:00
21	correct?	15:32:02
22	A No, because Instagram has	15:32:05
23	templates that automatically conform uploaded	15:32:07
24	images to their dimensions.	15:32:11
25	Q Okay, but these images existed	15:32:16

1	ALLAN COLEMAN			
2	elsewhere. Mr. Graham uploaded the images to	15:32:19		
3	his own website and to Facebook and Twitter,	15:32:21		
4	correct?	15:32:23		
5	A Correct.	15:32:24		
6	Q And the McNatt images existed in	15:32:24		
7	places other than Instagram, correct?	15:32:28		
8	A Correct.	15:32:30		
9	Q So, based on your assumptions,	15:32:32		
10	Mr. Prince, or for that matter any artist,	15:32:35		
11	could have chosen to use an uncropped version	15:32:37		
12	or could have chosen to use the cropped	15:32:41		
13	version, correct?	15:32:43		
14	A If he had access to the	15:32:44		
15	uncropped version, absolutely, yes.	15:32:45		
16	Q So, assuming that those images	15:32:47		
17	were available on the internet at that time,	15:32:49		
18	which I have a good faith belief I can prove at	15:32:50		
19	trial, he could have used the uncropped version	15:32:53		
20	or the cropped version, correct?	15:32:58		
21	A He could have uploaded an	15:33:02		
22	uncropped version or a cropped version to	15:33:04		
23	Instagram, but Instagram would once again have	15:33:06		
24	conformed whatever version he uploaded to its	15:33:08		
25	templates.	15:33:11		

			•
1	ALLAN COLEMAN		
2	Q	Right. But he could have used	15:33:15
3	an uncropped ve	rsion he could have digitally	15:33:16
4	altered, he cou	ld have used the Instagram frame	15:33:21
5	and superimpose	d an uncropped version of this	15:33:26
6	photo, couldn't	he?	15:33:31
7	A	Presumably.	15:33:32
8	Q	Pretty easy thing to do, isn't	15:33:33
9	it?		15:33:34
10	A	I would think so.	15:33:35
11	Q	So there was some selection that	15:33:36
12	went into this	process?	15:33:38
13	A	I don't know that.	15:33:44
14	Q	But you don't know that there	15:33:44
15	wasn't any?		15:33:46
16	A	No.	15:33:47
17	Q	Now, in paragraph 42	15:33:54
18	!	MS. PELES: If you are moving on	15:33:59
19	to a ne	w section, can we just take a	15:33:59
20	quick b	reak?	15:34:02
21	!	MR. BALLON: Okay. I can	15:34:04
22	continu	e asking questions from the	15:34:04
23	prior -	- no, I'm just kidding.	15:34:07
24		Let's take a break. About ten	15:34:10
25	minutes	?	15:34:12
1			

1			ALLAN COLEMAN	
2			MS. PELES: Yes, that would be	15:34:12
3		great.		15:34:13
4			THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here now marks	15:34:15
5		the en	d of video file number 3. The	15:34:16
6		time i	s 3:34 p.m. We are now off the	15:34:19
7		record	l .	15:34:21
8			(At this point in the proceedings	15:53:25
9		there	was a recess, after which the	15:53:25
10		deposi	tion continued as follows:)	15:53:25
11			MS. PELES: Here now marks the	16:09:39
12		beginn	ing of video file number 4. The	16:09:40
13		time i	s 4:09 p.m. We are back on the	16:09:42
14		record	l .	16:09:45
15		Q	Mr. Coleman, do you know Nate	16:09:46
16	Harrisc	n?		16:09:49
17		A	No.	16:09:50
18		Q	Do you know who Nate Harrison	16:09:51
19	is?			16:09:53
20		A	Not to the best of my	16:09:54
21	recolle	ction.		16:09:55
22		Q	Do you know June Besek? June	16:09:58
23	Besek?			16:10:01
24		A	Not to again, I don't think	16:10:02
25	so.			16:10:03

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q Michelle Bogre?	16:10:03
3	A I know the name, but I don't	16:10:08
4	know I don't place it.	16:10:11
5	Q Amy Whitaker?	16:10:16
6	A Not to the best of my knowled	dge. 16:10:18
7	Q I would like to show you what	16:10:21
8	has been marked as Exhibit 216 and ask you	if 16:10:22
9	you recognize this as a blog post that you	16:10:28
10	created about a series.	16:10:32
11	MS. PELES: I think we alread	dy 16:10:38
12	have a 216, the compendium.	16:10:38
13	MR. BALLON: We can call it 2	217 16:10:44
14	or 216 B, 216 C. Let me take that k	pack, 16:10:45
15	we will make it 217.	16:10:50
16	And 217 looks exactly like th	ne one 16:10:57
17	I just gave you. Here is 217.	16:10:59
18	(The above described document	t was 16:11:01
19	marked Exhibit 217 for identification	on, as 16:11:01
20	of this date.)	16:11:01
21	Q Could you tell me, please, if	16:11:02
22	you recognize this as a blog post that you h	nad 16:11:03
23	posted in or around March of 2015?	16:11:05
24	A Yes.	16:11:11
25	Q And this concerns an exhibit	by 16:11:12

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	John Malkovich	where certain photographs were	16:11:17
3	restaged, does	it not?	16:11:22
4	A	The photographer is not John	16:11:24
5	Malkovich, but	John Malkovich is the subject of	16:11:26
6	the photograph	s.	16:11:30
7	Q	Right, okay. So the	16:11:31
8	photographer i	s who?	16:11:34
9	A	The photographer is Mr. Miller.	16:11:35
10	Q	Sandro Miller?	16:11:42
11	A	Sandro Miller, yes.	16:11:44
12	Q	So, for example, as you can see	16:11:47
13	on the first p	age of this exhibit, there is a	16:11:48
14	picture on the	bottom left, Dorothea Lange,	16:11:51
15	Migrant Mother	?	16:11:55
16	A	Right.	16:11:56
17	Q	And then the restaging of that	16:11:57
18	you can see on	the right in the middle part,	16:12:00
19	correct?		16:12:02
20	A	Correct.	16:12:03
21	Q	In this post you opined that	16:12:06
22	this use was n	ot fair use, is that correct?	16:12:08
23	A	No.	16:12:11
24	Q	What did you opine?	16:12:12
25	A	I opined that this use was in	16:12:13

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	fact was in fact fair use, because the	16:12:15
3	Dorothea Lange photograph is in the public	16:12:19
4	domain.	16:12:21
5	Q I see, okay. So I	16:12:22
6	A So it was a very precise	16:12:25
7	distinction that I made.	16:12:27
8	Q But if the Dorothea Lange photo	16:12:27
9	was not in the public domain, you would view	16:12:29
10	this use as not being fair use?	16:12:31
11	A I would view this as potentially	16:12:33
12	not being fair use.	16:12:35
13	Q Potentially not being fair use.	16:12:36
14	There is a comment I want to	16:12:38
15	draw your attention to on page 2 at the bottom.	16:12:39
16	Someone named Colleen Thornton	16:12:42
17	posted a comment suggesting that maybe this	16:12:44
18	could be parody.	16:12:48
19	And you responded at 1:12 p.m.	16:12:50
20	on March 9, "Because Miller claims repeatedly	16:12:54
21	to have homage and respect as his motivation	16:12:57
22	for this series, I don't see how he could claim	16:13:01
23	parody as his intent, even if you or others or	16:13:06
24	the court read the pieces as parodic."	16:13:10
25	Do you see that?	16:13:15

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Yes.	16:13:16
3	Q Do you agree that intent can be	16:13:16
4	used to negate an inference of fair use?	16:13:19
5	A No.	16:13:27
6	Q What was your observation there	16:13:30
7	when you said that you don't that you didn't	16:13:31
8	think that the work could be viewed as parody?	16:13:34
9	A Because the work does not really	16:13:44
10	exhibit any parodic aspects, it simply tries as	16:13:46
11	best as possible to replicate every detail of	16:13:52
12	the original work.	16:13:54
13	Q But in support of that also you	16:13:57
14	note that the photographer didn't cite parody	16:14:01
15	as the intention, correct?	16:14:09
16	A Right.	16:14:11
17	Q And so you feel that bolsters	16:14:12
18	the view that it couldn't be characterized as a	16:14:14
19	fair use parody?	16:14:16
20	A Correct.	16:14:18
21	Q Now, earlier today you said, in	16:14:19
22	connection with Prince, that you felt that his	16:14:22
23	stated intention was not relevant to whether	16:14:26
24	the uses in this case were transformative or a	16:14:29
25	fair use, correct?	16:14:33

1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	А	Right.	16:14:34
3	Q	So how is it that intent can be	16:14:37
4	used to negate	an inference of fair use	16:14:39
5	well, or is it	your view that intent can be	16:14:42
6	used to negate	an inference of fair use, but	16:14:44
7	not to support	an inference of fair use?	16:14:47
8	A	It is my understanding that the	16:14:49
9	courts will co	nsider intent in that regard.	16:14:50
10	Q	So, it's your understanding that	16:14:55
11	courts will co	nsider intent to negate a finding	16:14:58
12	of fair use?		16:15:00
13	A	Or affirm.	16:15:01
14	Q	Or affirm, I see.	16:15:02
15		But in your opinion, you said	16:15:04
16	you hadn't con	sidered Prince's intent	16:15:06
17	A	Right.	16:15:08
18	Q	in determining that this was	16:15:09
19	not a fair use	here?	16:15:10
20	A	Right, I don't use intent as a	16:15:11
21	qualifier in m	y critical work.	16:15:14
22	Q	I see, I see.	16:15:18
23	A	I deal with the finished work	16:15:19
24	itself as de f	acto a statement of intent.	16:15:20
25	Q	I see. So courts will look at	16:15:25

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	intent, but you don't feel intent is relevant,	16:15:26
3	at least for your opinion here?	16:15:29
4	A Right.	16:15:31
5	Q All right. So I would like to	16:15:33
6	ask you to go back to your report, and let's	16:15:34
7	focus this time on paragraph 42.	16:15:38
8	A That's where we were.	16:15:46
9	Q Well, I moved to 42, and your	16:15:47
10	lawyer quite reasonably suggested that if we	16:15:49
11	A You moved to 43, and my lawyer	16:15:53
12	suggested we stop at 42.	16:15:55
13	Q We will go back to 42.	16:15:56
14	A I'm fine with it. I'm trying to	16:15:59
15	keep things straight for the record.	16:16:01
16	Q Yes, yes, I agree.	16:16:02
17	All right, so in paragraph 42	16:16:05
18	you talk about, you say Mr. Prince you said	16:16:08
19	that the comment comprises nothing more than	16:16:13
20	what Mr. Prince acknowledges is gobbledygook.	16:16:17
21	Do you see that?	16:16:22
22	A Yes, I see that.	16:16:23
23	Q Now, what do you understand	16:16:24
24	gobbledygook to mean?	16:16:26
25	A I understand it to mean	16:16:28

		7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	nonsense, basically, babble.	16:16:29
3	Q Do you know whether that's the	16:16:35
4	intent that Mr. Prince has for the term	16:16:35
5	gobbledygook?	16:16:38
6	A No.	16:16:42
7	Q So at his deposition, Mr. Prince	16:16:43
8	explained what he means by the term	16:16:46
9	gobbledygook.	16:16:47
10	I am guessing you didn't you	16:16:49
11	weren't provided with that information?	16:16:51
12	A No, I didn't receive the	16:16:53
13	deposition.	16:16:54
14	Q Now, if I were to tell you to	16:16:54
15	assume that in this context Mr. Prince uses the	16:16:58
16	term gobbledygook to mean something other than	16:17:03
17	gibberish, if it has some specific defined	16:17:07
18	meaning, would that impact your opinion here in	16:17:09
19	paragraph 42?	16:17:11
20	A No, because the prose itself	16:17:24
21	qualifies in my opinion as gobbledygook,	16:17:29
22	whether Mr. Prince considers it such or not.	16:17:30
23	Q Well, I understand that to you,	16:17:36
24	based on your experience, it doesn't mean	16:17:37
25	anything to you, perhaps.	16:17:39
1		1

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	But if it was intended to have	16:17:43
3	meaning to people who understood it, would that	16:17:45
4	change your view?	16:17:47
5	A People who understood it other	16:17:54
6	than Mr. Prince himself?	16:17:55
7	Q Yes.	16:17:56
8	A It would still appear to me as	16:18:02
9	gobbledygook.	16:18:04
10	Q Well, okay. So what if	16:18:06
11	Mr. Prince do you speak Arabic?	16:18:08
12	A No.	16:18:12
13	Q So what if Mr. Prince wrote out	16:18:13
14	several sentences in Arabic and they appeared	16:18:15
15	to you to be meaningless because you don't read	16:18:19
16	Arabic.	16:18:21
17	Does that necessarily mean that	16:18:22
18	because you don't read Arabic that what he	16:18:24
19	wrote was incomprehensible prose inherently as	16:18:26
20	such and not commenting on the work?	16:18:31
21	A No, I don't assume that Arabic	16:18:33
22	is meaningless, so I'm challenging the question	16:18:35
23	or questioning the question.	16:18:40
24	You're asking me to say that I	16:18:42
25	would take Arabic to be meaningless. I don't	16:18:44
1		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	take Arabic to be meaningless. It is simply a	16:18:46
3	language I don't speak or read.	16:18:49
4	Q Certainly. So if he were	16:18:51
5	writing in a certain style that might be	16:18:52
6	understandable to, for example, to social media	16:18:54
7	users, but it nonetheless didn't mean anything	16:18:59
8	to you, would you still call it	16:19:02
9	incomprehensible prose because it doesn't have	16:19:03
10	meaning to you, even if it does have meaning to	16:19:05
11	other people?	16:19:08
12	A Certainly in that sense, in that	16:19:10
13	condition, that situation, I would qualify it	16:19:13
14	as meaningless to me.	16:19:15
15	Q All right, but simply because it	16:19:18
16	it's meaningless to you doesn't mean that it	16:19:19
17	would necessarily be meaningless to a	16:19:21
18	reasonable observer if the reasonable observer	16:19:24
19	understood what the prose meant?	16:19:27
20	A True.	16:19:30
21	Q Okay, that's fair enough.	16:19:31
22	Are you a fan of rock music?	16:19:41
23	A Some of it.	16:19:44
24	Q Some of it?	16:19:45
25	A Yes.	16:19:46

			-
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q	Have you heard of the group	16:19:46
3	Sonic Youth?		16:19:48
4	А	I have heard of it, yes.	16:19:49
5	Q	Are you familiar with any of	16:19:50
6	their songs?		16:19:51
7	А	Not particularly, no.	16:19:52
8	Q	So, for example, the text in the	16:19:55
9	McNatt paintin	g, if I told you that the text in	16:19:59
10	the McNatt pai	nting included some lyrics from a	16:20:02
11	Sonic Youth so	ng, would that change your	16:20:05
12	opinion it was	incomprehensible prose?	16:20:10
13	А	I would simply say it was	16:20:13
14	incomprehensib	le to me. I didn't recognize	16:20:15
15	that reference		16:20:16
16	Q	But a reasonable observer who is	16:20:17
17	familiar with	Sonic Youth, to such a person the	16:20:19
18	prose would ha	ve meaning, wouldn't it?	16:20:22
19	А	Presumably.	16:20:25
20	Q	And it would relate to the photo	16:20:27
21	of Kim Gordon,	who was a member of that band,	16:20:28
22	wouldn't it?		16:20:31
23	А	Yes, in that case it would, yes.	16:20:32
24	Q	And did you know that she was a	16:20:34
25	member of Soni	c Youth before today?	16:20:35

1	ALLAN COLI	EMAN	
2	A No.		16:20:37
3	Q In paragraph	43 you talk about	16:20:40
4	image-text works, and you say	y, "As a critic, I	16:20:41
5	find this distinction signif:	icant, because the	16:20:45
6	Instagram posts themselves co	onstitute what I	16:20:47
7	refer to as image-text works	• "	16:20:50
8	What do you me	ean by image-text	16:20:52
9	works?		16:20:55
10	A Any work of a	rt that combines	16:20:55
11	visual imagery and textual ma	aterial.	16:21:00
12	Q And is it fair	r to say that the	16:21:03
13	Prince paintings at issue in	this case then are	16:21:06
14	image-text works, by that de:	finition?	16:21:08
15	A Yes.		16:21:10
16	In fact it's i	not only fair to	16:21:26
17	say, I say it.		16:21:27
18	Q Even more fair	r.	16:21:31
19	All right. No	ow, why do you say	16:21:36
20	that Mr. Prince appropriated	the comments at	16:21:45
21	the end of paragraph 43?		16:21:52
22	A I don't say he	e appropriated the	16:22:04
23	comments, I say he appropria	ted the entire	16:22:06
24	Instagram post, posts.		16:22:09
25	Q Well, let's st	tart with the	16:22:13

			I
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	Graham well, let's start with the Portrait	16:22:14
	3	of Rastajay92, which includes a photographic	16:22:20
	4	element from the Graham photo.	16:22:26
	5	You earlier testified that it	16:22:31
	6	was your understanding that Mr. Prince	16:22:32
	7	selected used certain hacks to pick and	16:22:35
	8	choose to include or exclude certain comments,	16:22:38
	9	correct?	16:22:44
	10	A Correct.	16:22:44
	11	Q So he was able to exclude those	16:22:46
	12	comments that he didn't want to include for	16:22:48
	13	whatever reason, correct?	16:22:50
	14	A Correct.	16:22:51
	15	Q And then he took a screen shot,	16:22:54
	16	which was essentially an edited selection of	16:22:55
	17	comments, including his own, correct?	16:23:01
	18	A As I understand.	16:23:03
	19	Q So isn't it true, then, at least	16:23:05
	20	with respect to that painting, that Mr. Prince	16:23:06
	21	didn't appropriate the whole, and not separate	16:23:09
	22	elements, he appropriated separate elements, he	16:23:12
	23	picked and chose certain comments and included	16:23:16
	24	his own, correct?	16:23:19
	25	A I would say he appropriated the	16:23:24
- 1			I

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	entirety of it, which included elements that he	16:23:26
3	had added, an element at least that he had	16:23:28
4	added to it.	16:23:30
5	Q But you earlier acknowledged	16:23:31
6	that he had excluded certain comments, correct?	16:23:33
7	A As I understand it, yes.	16:23:36
8	Q And you earlier also	16:23:37
9	acknowledged that you never looked at the	16:23:39
10	original Instagram post on the internet, so you	16:23:41
11	don't really know what was excluded, correct?	16:23:44
12	A Correct.	16:23:46
13	Q So, but as you sit here today,	16:23:47
14	when you say he appropriated the whole, that	16:23:50
15	really isn't correct, is it, he appropriated	16:23:54
16	some comments, not the entire posting?	16:23:56
17	A I was not asked to review the	16:24:05
18	entire posting, I was asked to review the	16:24:07
19	posting as it appears in the Instagram pieces	16:24:09
20	by Mr. Prince.	16:24:15
21	Q But knowing, as you now know,	16:24:16
22	that Mr. Prince selected certain posts and	16:24:18
23	excluded others, the process that you referred	16:24:25
24	to as hacking, you now acknowledge, don't you,	16:24:27
25	that when you say he appropriated the whole,	16:24:30

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	that's not true with respect to Portrait of	16:24:32
3	Rastajay92?	16:24:34
4	A Well, you can't really	16:24:38
5	appropriate your own material.	16:24:39
6	Q I'm focusing on the whole, as	16:24:44
7	opposed to you said he appropriated the whole,	16:24:46
8	not just separate elements.	16:24:49
9	But you yourself acknowledge	16:24:52
10	that using what you called a hack, he excluded	16:24:54
11	certain comments and included he picked and	16:24:56
12	chose which comments to include.	16:25:00
13	So as you sit here today, you	16:25:03
14	have to acknowledge that when you say he	16:25:04
15	appropriated the whole, that wouldn't be	16:25:06
16	accurate, correct?	16:25:08
17	A He appropriated the entirety of	16:25:12
18	what was on the screen when he made the screen	16:25:14
19	grab, which included something that he had	16:25:16
20	added in the comments section.	16:25:19
21	Q Right, but before taking that	16:25:21
22	rephotograph of what was on the screen, using	16:25:24
23	this hack, he deleted and eliminated certain	16:25:28
24	comments, correct?	16:25:31
25	A That's irrelevant to me as a	16:25:32

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	critic. What's not in a work is not relevant	16:25:34
3	to me.	16:25:37
4	Q I understand your view.	16:25:39
5	Again, I'm just trying to get	16:25:40
6	back to where you say he appropriated the whole	16:25:41
7	and not just separate elements, because you	16:25:43
8	have now acknowledged that he appropriated some	16:25:46
9	but not all the comments, correct?	16:25:50
10	A I'm not sure what you're	16:26:00
11	referring to as the whole.	16:26:00
12	You seem to be referring to some	16:26:01
13	version of the Instagram posts that existed	16:26:05
14	prior to his making the screen grab.	16:26:08
15	Q Yes, right, the whole, exactly,	16:26:13
16	the whole Instagram post with all of the	16:26:15
17	comments as they existed on the internet.	16:26:18
18	That's not what he printed.	16:26:20
19	There was some creative process involving the	16:26:21
20	selection and exclusion of particular comments.	16:26:24
21	So when you say Mr. Prince	16:26:28
22	appropriated the whole and not just separate	16:26:29
23	elements, what I'm asking is as you sit here	16:26:32
24	today, you now recognize, don't you, that this	16:26:35
25	statement is not correct, because he did not	16:26:39

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	include every single comment, he only included	16:26:41
3	the ones he thought, for whatever reason, he	16:26:43
4	only included the ones he wanted to include?	16:26:46
5	A But every single comment was	16:26:48
6	not is not present in the in the works	16:26:49
7	themselves.	16:26:57
8	Q But you say he appropriated the	16:26:59
9	whole. If he appropriated the whole, then	16:27:01
10	there would have been some number of comments,	16:27:03
11	40, 50?	16:27:06
12	A No, after he deleted them there	16:27:07
13	were not, and then what was left after he	16:27:08
14	deleted them was the whole, of which he made a	16:27:10
15	screen grab.	16:27:13
16	Q I see. So when you say he	16:27:15
17	appropriated the whole, you don't mean he	16:27:17
18	appropriated the whole Instagram	16:27:18
19	A Stream or thread.	16:27:20
20	Q He didn't appropriate the whole	16:27:23
21	stream, you just mean once he made certain	16:27:25
22	selections of what to include and what to	16:27:29
23	exclude, once he was satisfied with the final	16:27:32
24	product, at that point he took a screen shot of	16:27:34
25	that?	16:27:38
1		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A Right; exactly.	16:27:39
3	Q Okay, I understand now.	16:27:40
4	So, at the end of paragraph 44	16:28:28
5	you say, "One must address Mr. Prince's use of	16:28:31
6	the images in assessing the purportedly	16:28:33
7	transformative aspect of his derivative work."	16:28:36
8	And actually never mind, I	16:28:41
9	think we have gone over that.	16:28:45
10	All right, let's go on to 45. I	16:28:47
11	think we covered that as well.	16:28:56
12	In paragraph 49 you refer to	16:29:16
13	Mr. Prince's disrespect for Mr. Graham and	16:29:18
14	Mr. McNatt as fellow artists.	16:29:21
15	What is the basis for that	16:29:25
16	conclusion? Is it just the fact that the	16:29:26
17	photos appear in the paintings, as you had	16:29:30
18	testified to earlier, or is there any other	16:29:33
19	basis for believing that he disrespects	16:29:35
20	Mr. Graham and Mr. McNatt?	16:29:38
21	A Well, I believe that the taking,	16:29:39
22	the appropriating and use of someone else's	16:29:43
23	work without acknowledgment and permission is a	16:29:46
24	fundamental sign of disrespect to any maker of	16:29:49
25	intellectual property.	16:29:52

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	Q Now, is that true even if	16:29:57
3	Mr. Prince didn't know who Mr. Graham and	16:29:58
4	Mr. McNatt were?	16:30:00
5	A Yes.	16:30:01
6	Q And so with respect to the	16:30:02
7	McNatt photo, which Mr. Prince has testified he	16:30:06
8	understood was a photo that belonged to Kim	16:30:12
9	Gordon, assuming for these purposes that	16:30:16
10	Mr. Prince, in fact, assumed that the McNatt	16:30:24
11	photo belonged to Kim Gordon and not	16:30:27
12	Mr. McNatt, do you still believe that	16:30:30
13	Mr. Prince using that photo in some fashion in	16:30:33
14	his painting constitutes disrespect for	16:30:38
15	Mr. McNatt?	16:30:42
16	A I believe it's incumbent on any	16:30:44
17	maker of intellectual property, whether a	16:30:47
18	scholar or an artist, to discover the sources	16:30:50
19	and acknowledge the sources of the material	16:30:53
20	that one uses and to give credit where credit	16:30:55
21	is due.	16:30:59
22	Q And what if Mr. Prince thought	16:31:03
23	that the photo was owned by Kim Gordon, to whom	16:31:05
24	he did give credit, would that constitute	16:31:07
25	disrespect?	16:31:09

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A It would certainly constitute	16:31:17
3	extreme laziness, because it's very rare that	16:31:20
4	the subject of a photograph owns the rights to	16:31:22
5	a photograph, and has the licensing rights.	16:31:27
6	It happens, but it's reasonably	16:31:30
7	rare. It's usually the photographer who owns	16:31:33
8	those rights.	16:31:37
9	Q Now, the comments in the	16:31:39
10	untitled portrait of Kim Gordon by Richard	16:31:42
11	Prince, are those comments by Instagram users	16:31:45
12	or by Mr. Prince, do you know?	16:31:47
13	A It's my understanding that one	16:31:51
14	of them is by one of the Instagram users and	16:31:53
15	one of them is by Mr. Prince.	16:31:56
16	Q For the McNatt for the Kim	16:31:58
17	Gordon painting?	16:32:01
18	A That's my understanding.	16:32:02
19	Q Now, would it make a difference	16:32:05
20	if all of the comments would it make a	16:32:07
21	difference to your analysis if all of the	16:32:09
22	comments were written by Mr. Prince?	16:32:11
23	A No.	16:32:13
24	Q And why is that?	16:32:15
25	A Because my analysis is based on	16:32:17

			1
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	the images and not	t on the comments.	16:32:20
3	Q I s	see, okay.	16:32:23
4	Are	e you familiar with the	16:32:31
5	photographer Manny	y Garcia?	16:32:32
6	A No	•	16:32:34
7	Q Are	e you familiar with the Hope	16:32:37
8	work of art by She	epard Ferry depicting	16:32:45
9	President Obama?		16:32:49
10	A Yes	5.	16:32:50
11	Q And	d do you know who the	16:32:50
12	photographer was v	whose AP photograph was used	16:32:51
13	as the basis for	that Shepard Ferry work?	16:32:56
14	A I o	do know, and I have written	16:32:58
15	about it, and I ha	ave forgotten his name.	16:32:59
16	Q Cor	uld it be Manny Garcia?	16:33:02
17	A Yes	5.	16:33:04
18	Q And	d had you heard of Manny	16:33:07
19	Garcia before this	s lawsuit arose with Shepard	16:33:09
20	Ferry?		16:33:14
21	A I l	nad seen the by-line on some	16:33:15
22	published photos,	because as a critic of	16:33:17
23	photography, I ter	nd to read by-lines, which	16:33:20
24	most people don't	, but only as a by-line.	16:33:22
25	Q So	it wasn't a name that meant	16:33:25
1			

		7
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	much to you before that?	16:33:27
3	A No, it wasn't.	16:33:28
4	Q But I bet you know an awful lot	16:33:31
5	more about his work today, don't you?	16:33:33
6	A Not a lot, no.	16:33:35
7	Q But certainly more than you used	16:33:36
8	to?	16:33:37
9	A Some.	16:33:37
10	Q Some. So in that instance the	16:33:38
11	fact that Shepard Ferry had used this photo	16:33:42
12	actually enhanced the public's awareness of	16:33:44
13	Manny Garcia, did it not?	16:33:48
14	A I wouldn't really know about the	16:33:54
15	public's awareness. It raised my awareness of	16:33:55
16	his work to some extent, but very modestly. It	16:33:58
17	didn't	16:34:01
18	Okay, fair enough.	16:34:03
19	MR. BALLON: Why don't we take a	16:34:10
20	five minute break at this point.	16:34:14
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.	16:34:16
22	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment,	16:34:16
23	please.	16:34:17
24	The time is 4:34 p.m. We are now	16:34:19
25	off the record.	16:34:23

ı		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	(At this point in the proceedings	16:34:24
3	there was a recess, after which the	16:34:24
4	deposition continued as follows:)	16:34:24
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	16:39:55
6	4:39 p.m. We are back on the record.	16:39:57
7	Q Okay, Mr. Coleman, last night	16:40:00
8	your lawyers sent a new CV to at least to those	16:40:04
9	of us representing Mr. Prince and Blum & Poe,	16:40:12
10	not to counsel for Gagosian, which is a	16:40:15
11	curriculum vitae updated January 2018.	16:40:20
12	I'm going to mark it as Exhibit	16:40:24
13	222 and ask you if you can please we are	16:40:25
14	going to mark it again as 222 and ask you if	16:40:30
15	you can confirm that is the new CV that was	16:40:34
16	produced today, correct?	16:40:38
17	(The above described document was	16:40:39
18	marked Exhibit 222 for identification, as	16:40:39
19	of this date.)	16:40:39
20	A Produced by counsel here today.	16:40:40
21	The CV has actually existed for some months	16:40:43
22	now.	16:40:46
23	Q And can you tell me what is	16:40:48
24	different about this from what we previously	16:40:50
25	had received?	16:40:51

		1
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	A As I noticed, all that you were	16:40:53
3	sent, and I believe this was an oversight, was	16:40:58
4	the first page of this CV.	16:41:00
5	And so having noticed that, I	16:41:06
6	needed to notify counsel that this was only the	16:41:08
7	first page, and she asked me to send my current	16:41:11
8	CV, which is this, full CV, which is this.	16:41:16
9	Q Okay.	16:41:20
10	Well, I appreciate that. I have	16:41:21
11	not seen anything today that I have questions	16:41:24
12	about, but obviously not receiving it until	16:41:28
13	today, we weren't able to do any due diligence	16:41:30
14	or look up any articles that might have been	16:41:33
15	listed here that weren't on our	16:41:34
16	A There actually aren't any	16:41:38
17	articles listed there. There are books, and	16:41:39
18	books in which I have essays, books by others,	16:41:42
19	or monographs or anthologies in which I have	16:41:46
20	essays.	16:41:48
21	But there is a list of my	16:41:49
22	publications for I think the last ten years or	16:41:51
23	so as part of the original report that you did	16:41:53
24	receive.	16:41:58
25	Q I see. So this new one includes	16:41:58

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	portions of books that we weren't aware of?	16:42:01
3	A No, it includes listings of	16:42:02
4	books of mine and books by others in which	16:42:06
5	essays of mine appear, periodicals with which	16:42:08
6	I've had long term relationships, other	16:42:13
7	periodicals in which I have published, various	16:42:15
8	teaching teaching positions I have held,	16:42:18
9	awards I have received, et cetera, et cetera.	16:42:22
10	Q I see, okay, perfect.	16:42:24
11	MR. BALLON: So again, we weren't	16:42:27
12	able to do any due diligence on that in	16:42:28
13	terms of reviewing these materials.	16:42:30
14	I don't know that that would be	16:42:32
15	material, but because we didn't have a	16:42:34
16	chance before today, what I'm going to do	16:42:36
17	at this point is suspend the deposition,	16:42:38
18	reserving the right to retake in the event	16:42:40
19	there is some new material listed here	16:42:43
20	that we consider to be relevant and would	16:42:45
21	want to ask you questions about.	16:42:47
22	But subject to that, I would end	16:42:50
23	the deposition for today.	16:42:51
24	MS. APPLETON: I would join in	16:42:55
25	that reservation, suspension of the	16:42:55
]

_		ADDAN D. CODERAN 07/12/2010 1490 211	
	1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
	2	deposition, but I have no questions at	16:42:58
	3	this time.	16:42:59
	4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel for	16:43:00
	5	the witness?	16:43:00
	6	MS. PELES: I have no questions.	16:43:01
	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment,	16:43:02
	8	everyone.	16:43:03
	9	Here now marks the end of video	16:43:04
	10	file number 4 and concludes this	16:43:06
	11	deposition today.	16:43:07
	12	The time is 443 p.m. We are now	16:43:08
	13	off the record.	16:43:12
	14		
	15		
	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		

	ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2016 Page 242	,
1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2		16:43:12
3	I, the undersigned, a Certified	16:43:12
4	Shorthand Reporter of the State of New York, do hereby certify:	16:43:12 16:43:12
5	That the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place	16:43:12 16:43:12
6	herein set forth; that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, prior to	16:43:12 16:43:12
7	testifying, were duly sworn; that a record of the proceedings was made by me using	16:43:12 16:43:12
8	machine shorthand which was thereafter	16:43:12 16:43:12
0	transcribed under my direction; That the foregoing transcript is a	16:43:12
9	true record of the testimony given. Further, that if the foregoing	16:43:12 16:43:12
10	pertains to the original transcript of a	16:43:12
11	deposition in a federal case before completion of the proceedings, review of	16:43:12 16:43:12
	the transcript [] was [x] was not	16:43:12
12	requested.	16:43:12 16:43:12
13	I further certify I am neither	16:43:12
14	financially interested in the action nor a relative or employee of any attorney or	16:43:12 16:43:12
15	party to this action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this	16:43:12 16:43:12
	date subscribed my name.	16:43:12
16	Dated; July 13, 2018	16:43:12 16:43:12
17		16:43:12
18	MITAL	
19	Stephen J. Moore	16:43:12 16:43:12
20	RPR, CRR	16:43:12
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	ALLAN COLEMAN	
2	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY	16:43:12
3	Case Name: GRAHAM v. PRINCE	16:43:12
4	Date of Deposition: July 12,	16:43:12
5	2018	16:43:12
6		16:43:12
7	I, ALLAN D. COLEMAN, hereby	16:43:12
8	certify under penalty of perjury under the	16:43:12
9	laws of the State of New York that the	16:43:12
10	foregoing is true and correct.	16:43:12
11	Executed this day of	16:43:12
12	, 2018, at	16:43:12
13	·	16:43:12
14		16:43:12
15		16:43:12
16		16:43:12
17		16:43:12
18	ALLAN D. COLEMAN	16:43:12
19		16:43:12
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		ADDAN D. CODENAN 07/12/2010 1 age 211	
1		ALLAN COLEMAN	
2		DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	16:43:12
3		Case Name: GRAHAM v. PRINCE	16:43:12
4		Name of Witness: ALLAN D. COLEMAN	16:43:12
5		Date of Deposition: July 12,	16:43:12
6		2018	16:43:12
7		Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the	16:43:12
8		record.	16:43:12
9		2. To conform to the facts.	16:43:12
10		3. To correct transcription errors.	16:43:12
11	Page	Line Reason	16:43:12
12	From Page	to Line Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
	From	to	16:43:12
13	Page	Line Reason	16:43:12
		to	16:43:12
14	Page	Line Reason	16:43:12
1 -		to	16:43:12
15		Line Reason to	16:43:12 16:43:12
16		Line Reason	16:43:12
	From	to	16:43:12
17	Page	Line Reason	16:43:12
		to	16:43:12
18	Page	Line Reason	16:43:12
	From	to	16:43:12
19	Page	Line Reason	16:43:12
	From	to	16:43:12
20		Line Reason	16:43:12
0.1	From	to	16:43:12
21		Line Reason	16:43:12
20		to	16:43:12
22		Line Reason	16:43:12
23		to	16:43:12 16:43:12
⊿3		Line Reason	16:43:12
24	LTOIII	to to	16:43:12
_ _ 1		trile keason to	16:43:12
25		to to to	16:43:12
25		to	16:43:12
	O.III		10 10 12
I			

			Tage 21	_
1			ALLAN COLEMAN	
2		DEPOSI	TION ERRATA SHEET	16:43:12
3	Page	Line	Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
4	Page	Line	to Reason	16:43:12
5	Page	Line	to Reason	16:43:12
6	From Page	Line	to Reason	16:43:12
7	Page	Line	to Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
8	From Page	Line	to Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
9	From		to Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
10	From		to Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
11	From		to Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
12	From		to Reason	
13	From		to Reason	16:43:12 16:43:12
14	From		to Reason	
	From		to	16:43:12
15	Fage From	Line	Reason to	16:43:12 16:43:12
16	Page From	Line	to to to	16:43:12 16:43:12
17			Subject to the above	16:43:12
18		changes, I	certify that the transcript is	16:43:12
19		true and co	rrect	16:43:12
20			No changes have been	16:43:12
21		made. I cer	tify that the transcript is	16:43:12
22		true and co	rrect.	16:43:12
23				16:43:12
24				16:43:12
25		ALLA	N D. COLEMAN	16:43:12

\$	153 3:13	2009 91:2	25,000 27:23
Ψ		2015	29
515,000	16 3:6 98:3 109:6	217:23	153:20
15:8	17	2018	2:24
300	3:5,8	4:8 238:11	152:15
40:25	18	209	
65,000	113:18 115:16 123:22	15:19	3
15:10	19	21	
570,000	3:8,22 125:16	3:16 131:6 143:16	3
15:10	1960	210	60:5 152:14 216:5
	88:25 89:3,4	3:5 15:15,17,23	30
0			34:16
	1967 28:11	211 3:6 16:6,12,15 18:3,10	30-7
)s			204:5
92:13	1970s	212	34
	100:17	3:8 17:15,19,23	159:5 168:19 181:21
1	1979	213	182:12
•	37:8	3:9 97:5,7	35th
l 4:15 15:12 59:23 74:6	1984	214	4:6
79:3	145:18	3:13 152:24 153:4	36
	1990s	215	186:14
1 0 99:10 186:15	42:8	3:16 200:18,20	37
	1995	216	192:6
1 0001 4:7	90:14	3:18 217:8,12,14	
	1:12	217	38 195:21
10:23	219:19	3:19 217:13,15,16,17,	
4:9	1:25	19	3:34 216:6
11:30	152:8	218	210.0
73:7	1s	3:20	
11:31	92:13	219	4
74:6	323	3:21	4
11:59	2	221	216:12 241:10
74:13	<u> </u>	3:21	40
12	2	222	197:25 200:10 232:11
3:6 4:8 85:3,4,7,23	4:18 59:25 74:12 152:8	3:22 238:13,14,18	
25	204:5 219:15		209:5 200:7 15 19
37:17,23	2,000	23 91:6	208:5 209:7,15,18
12th	28:3,4,13,15		245:47 222:7 0 42 42
13:12	2,500	238 3:22	215:17 222:7,9,12,13, 17 223:19
4	27:23 31:9		
85:25	20	240	43 222:11 227:3,21
5	3:19 130:20 131:6,19	4:6	
3:5 106:21 111:21	200	25	44
150	3:16 4:11	10:2 34:16 37:6,14,22 91:9	233:4
			443

241:12		accurate	31:4 87:4,5 89:4 177:19
45	9	230:16	190:21 196:6
45			
233:10	9	accusation	added
49		7:17	45:6 79:3 162:23 163:4
233:12	219:20	accused	172:22 186:4 190:17,18
233.12	97		192:3 195:22 229:3,4
4:09	3:9	11:5	230:20
216:13	3.9	achieved	
		109:9	adding
4:34	Α		186:19 190:3,13 191:12
237:24		acknowledge	196:10
4-30	A.D.	168:10 229:24 230:9,14	addition
4:39		234:19	1
238:6	6:16		57:10 90:6 181:24
	a.m.	acknowledged	184:24
5	4:9 74:6,13	229:5,9 231:8	additional
	·	acknowledges	3:8 17:15
_	abiding	222:20	0.0 17.10
5	211:15	ZZZ.ZU	additions
3:13	able	acknowledgment	169:6,7
50	able	233:23	·
	29:20 47:5 55:9,15		address
23:2 27:9 28:8,9,12,13	66:7,11,17 73:18	acquired	9:15,16 40:14 233:5
31:8 34:13 43:17 75:14,	172:21 188:15 228:11	27:18	addressed
15 91:7,8,13 92:24 94:7	239:13 240:12		143:9
154:6 170:15 172:24	abroad	act	143.9
232:11		21:18 130:7 164:10	adds
51	14:20	210:18	129:4 166:16 178:24
28:10	absolutely	action	179:3,6
26.10	50:23 168:18 214:15	35:15 41:6,7,18 42:10	,
		97:14 100:11	admissible
6	academic	97.14 100.11	23:18
- 	145:12	actions	ads
6	accont	100:6 101:6 102:22	
=	accept	105:15	87:7
3:3 18:13 20:9,17	51:7 150:21		adverse
21:16,23 22:11 44:19	acceptance	active	70:17,23 71:16 207:11
	109:9,11 110:13	15:9 79:5,6	
7		actively	adversely
	accepted	76:11	50:2
	110:8 111:11	70.11	advise
7	accepting	activities	
59:18,20 79:17 115:5,	accepting	109:15	16:20
22	14:7		advisement
72	access	actual	8:18
187:12,22	88:16 148:25 214:14	45:3 69:15 71:9 87:12	
101.12,22		123:2 195:18 199:9	advocates
74	accompanies	211:22	100:5 101:4,12 102:8
6:21 15:6 199:16	147:16	Adams	104:2,4 107:10 111:21
	accompanying		126:5
	accompanying	12:12	andhatia
8	148:21	ADCOLEMAN	aesthetic
	account	78:21	24:9
8	77:16 78:2,17 159:10		affect
3:9	167:16	ADCOLEMAN1	50:2 66:18 211:21
		78:20	
	accounted	add	affirm
	167:22	auu	221:13,14
	1	T.	I .

	ALDAN D. CODER	DAN 07/12/2010	
afternoon	103:1 104:1 105:1	alter	announcements
152:21,22	106:1 107:1 108:1	185:3	79:8,14
	109:1 110:1 111:1	altaration	
age	112:1 113:1 114:1	alteration	annual
88:24	115:1 116:1 117:1	168:24 170:2,8 181:16,	76:16
ago	118:1 119:1 120:1	19,25 184:25 209:3	anonymous
10:2 11:19 13:11 75:14	121:1 122:1 123:1	altered	62:14 66:2
78:18 98:22 138:3	124:1 125:1 126:1	183:12 196:25 215:4	Ansel
agree	127:1 128:1 129:1	altering	12:12
29:6 58:15 86:8 134:19	130:1 131:1 132:1	185:15	12.12
136:10 163:7 180:21	133:1 134:1 135:1		answer
220:3 222:16	136:1 137:1 138:1	alternatives	17:12 23:21
	139:1 140:1 141:1	110:5,11	answered
agreed	142:1 143:1 144:1	American	180:5
19:17,19 20:3 29:24	145:1 146:1 147:1	34:21,23 75:2	
108:3	148:1 149:1 150:1		answers
agreement	151:1 152:1 153:1	amount	23:17
193:10,13	154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1	18:22 19:6 44:19,23	anthologies
	160:1 161:1 162:1	45:3,13 70:8,11 79:25	239:19
alas 111:4	163:1 164:1 165:1	116:11,12 172:2	anth alam.
111:4	166:1 167:1 168:1	amounts	anthology
alcohol	169:1 170:1 171:1	38:2 40:12	30:3
154:18	172:1 173:1 174:1	Amy	anticipated
Alignable	175:1 176:1 177:1	Amy 217:5	40:24
77:15	178:1 179:1 180:1		anybody
	181:1 182:1 183:1	analog	148:24
aligned	184:1 185:1 186:1	120:4 122:10,11	140.24
104:10	187:1 188:1 189:1	analogy	anyway
Allan	190:1 191:1 192:1	150:22	166:6
3:1,6 4:1,13 5:1 6:1,14	193:1 194:1 195:1		AP
7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1	196:1 197:1 198:1	analysis	236:12
12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1	199:1 200:1 201:1	24:14 129:15 179:17,18	
16:1,17 17:1 18:1 19:1	202:1 203:1 204:1	193:24 194:2 195:6	apologize
20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1	205:1 206:1 207:1	235:21,25	17:8 47:10 73:20
24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1	208:1 209:1 210:1	analyze	117:13 128:13
28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1	211:1 212:1 213:1	18:16 22:12,14,19	apparent
32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	214:1 215:1 216:1	44:18	208:18 209:19
36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1	217:1 218:1 219:1	analyzed	apparently
40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1	220:1 221:1 222:1 223:1 224:1 225:1	18:21 19:4 20:10,16	30:20 66:17,23 125:20
44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1	223:1 224:1 225:1 226:1 227:1 228:1	21:25 24:17 25:10,17	139:4
48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1	229:1 230:1 231:1	· ·	
52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1	232:1 233:1 234:1	analyzing	appear
56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1	235:1 236:1 237:1	100:3	10:21,22,23 37:7 54:22
60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1	238:1 239:1 240:1	anchor	120:9,14 123:10 156:6
64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1	241:1	174:18	158:9 165:18 175:8,10,
68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1			12 205:8 224:8 233:17
76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1	allow	and/or	240:5
80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1	40:11 47:15	114:12 115:18,23	appearance
84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1	allowed	announced	79:10
88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1	23:14 150:24 195:4	77:18	annoared
92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1	207:12	announcement	appeared
96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1	-	announcement	30:17 54:24,25 55:2 209:4 224:14
100:1 101:1 102:1,10	allows	148:10	209.4 224.14
	45:10 53:22		
		I	I

appears

73:11 79:10 174:3 178:22 181:10 201:14 202:8 229:19

Apple

84:17

Appleton

5:8 8:6 17:3 73:9,22 240:24

applies

23:3,6

apply

26:17,20 38:24

appreciate

8:8 11:25 15:21 85:23 99:6 146:11 152:3 239:10

approach

134:24

appropriate

126:10 127:6,18 128:9 228:21 230:5 232:20

appropriate'

125:21

appropriated

80:24 82:3 106:10 116:5 135:2 149:8 169:7 202:20 203:5,7, 16 227:20,22,23 228:22,25 229:14,15,25 230:7,15,17 231:6,8,22 232:8,9,17,18

appropriately

32:12

appropriating

134:16 136:22,25 233:22

appropriation

102:20 103:13,16 105:23 110:6 129:19 132:9,18 133:16,22 135:9,15,17 136:14 137:19 138:20 139:15

appropriations

116:9

approval

107:17

approved

107:19

approximately

27:25 28:3,19 34:9 54:17 58:25

Arabic

224:11,14,16,18,21,25 225:2

Aram

46:22

area

80:18 111:14 116:2,5 122:6 142:18 170:24

areas

10:6 100:13

aren't

173:8 239:16

arguable

123:24

arguably

132:9

argue

23:17

argued 143:23

140.20

argument 208:10

arguments

99:20,21 132:10

arial

84:25 85:6,23

arose

236:19

arrangement

176:21

arrived

20:5

art

49:14 59:24 75:4,19 76:4,6 83:13 99:24 100:12,22 105:6 107:13 126:5,18 131:20,23,25 132:21 133:6,9,16 136:23 138:5 142:7,13 159:24 160:3,11,17,20

161:4,11 163:20 164:2,

8,11,19,23 165:5,10 167:3 168:3,15 177:2,3 180:11,17 181:11 210:17 212:10,23 227:10 236:8

art-world

125:20

article

153:6

articles

36:5,8,9,12,14,20,25 37:4,5,7,10,14,17,22,23 55:14,16,19,25 56:5 57:6 58:2,7,13,21 72:18 100:20 153:10 239:14,

articulated

99:19

artist

61:21 94:9 106:14,15, 16 125:18 129:5 132:20 133:23,24 134:3,10 135:10 136:16 147:5,23 149:3 150:11 177:6 213:15 214:10 234:18

artistic

96:3 99:17 104:8 132:18 164:17

artists

100:22 103:19 104:11 105:23 111:6,15 126:5 129:22 132:20 133:13 135:8 136:22,23 144:17,21 154:22 212:9 233:14

arts

46:8 artworks

106:5

aside

139:10 168:13

asked

10:19 12:16 13:18,24 14:3 18:15 21:17 22:12, 13 44:18 47:23 48:9 58:24 63:20,24 64:14 70:3 149:16 159:25 167:19,20 178:13 180:5 229:17,18 239:7 asking

22:10 25:14 30:10 46:15 121:15 122:6 165:24 166:19 207:10 212:22 215:22 224:24 231:23

aspect

88:3 144:22 233:7

aspects

43:16 156:9 220:10

assembled

10:10,12

assert

31:10,23,25

asserted

31:16 32:6,8,16 33:3, 14,24

asserting

111:7,16 141:11

assertion

101:4 111:21 129:4,8 194:15

assertions

111:19

assessing

233:6

assignment

19:14

assignments

15:2

assistant

213:5

assistants

212:9

association

75:3 76:4,6

assorted

125:20 131:20,25 196:5

assume

43:6 45:20 48:4,6 64:14 69:2 121:10 123:15,17 145:21 146:13 149:16 150:4,7 151:3,4 190:16, 19 191:23 193:19 195:2,3,4 196:25 205:4, 12 223:15 224:21

assumed

31:18 64:17 234:10

assuming

138:21 145:20,21 214:16 234:9

assumption

128:5 129:18 130:4 135:14 157:9 189:13

assumptions

103:17 214:9

attached

19:22 146:23,24 167:9

attention

41:14,24 50:22 55:11 141:17 219:15

attitude

109:14

attracted

198:21

attractive

157:25

attribute

124:25 135:16

attribution

67:6

audience

23:5

author

40:7 68:8,11,19 134:17 145:13 159:2

author's

127:16

authoritatively

141:21

authority

89:18 123:24 153:16

authorization

186:16,22 192:21

authorize

108:21

authorized

54:22,24,25 169:19

authors

63:21 75:2

Authors'

41:19 75:3

authorship

61:23 62:12 69:10 114:25 129:21

automatic

213:9

automatically

106:18 122:22 163:19 168:24 169:2,23,25 197:8 206:13,14 208:25 212:25 213:23

available

61:13 110:12 145:17 214:17

Avenue

4:11

average

120:17 159:15,17,20 160:5 161:16,23 162:4, 21 163:10 166:2,6 198:10.11 199:3.6

avoid

101:20

awards

240:9

aware

50:11 66:20,21 67:23 77:22 94:9 111:5,9,12 138:10 162:9,13,18 188:11 189:15 204:18 205:7 240:2

awareness

159:24 237:12.15

awful

237:4

В

babble

223:2

back

7:14 23:24 24:3 30:21 38:11 40:17 43:23 59:16 63:3 74:13 77:3 79:16,17 89:12 98:21 101:23,25 111:20 117:9,10 119:15 127:23,25 133:3 145:17 152:15 166:21 185:23 201:15 216:13 217:14 222:6,13 231:6 238:6

back-handed 124:6.11

background

100:8 133:15 156:7

bad

112:16,23 113:5,6,11 177:10

Ballon

3:3 5:2 6:8 8:9,15,19 11:23 15:14 16:25 17:9 23:23 64:12 73:6 101:8, 13 125:14 127:22 131:2,10,18 132:14 151:25 152:19 162:7 166:21 182:18 183:19 200:12,17 204:13 207:4,9 215:21 217:13 237:19 240:11

band

226:21

bank

155:12 Barbara

208:2

base

130:15

based

26:24,25 60:9 65:6 71:8 80:19,20,21 98:15,21 112:13 119:16 130:3 166:25 170:15 198:7 204:24 214:9 223:24 235:25

basic

25:15 103:17

basically

38:21 75:16 77:9 79:13 102:18,21 106:4 134:22 144:9 183:23 210:5 223:2

basics 38:23

basis

22:18 23:10 30:10,22 60:21 62:7 65:11,15 80:14 84:11 94:24 101:3 106:7,11,13 132:23 142:9 149:20 154:4,6 175:5 179:10, 12 194:14 196:17 203:19 209:6,22 233:15,19 236:13

beat

147:10,11

began

90:13,25 100:16

beginning

74:12 152:14 216:12

begun

93:13

behalf

5:9,15 13:16 41:18 73:14 124:5

belief

39:22 40:5 146:16 149:18 203:20 214:18

believe

11:8 13:15 27:18 35:25 36:22 43:17 49:2 64:19 76:14 82:13 94:11 104:8 106:14,16 107:19,21 108:4,15 109:13 113:13 114:2 117:18 127:14 144:11, 16,20,22 145:18 164:21 165:12 167:11 171:2 174:4 186:23 188:23 200:22 203:11,23 204:14 205:5 207:22 233:21 234:12,16 239:3

believed 126:23

believing

233:19

bell

52:13,14 99:7

belonged 234:8,11

belongs 128:16

beneficial

61:4

benefit

61:7 99:13 **Besek**216:22,23 **best**

123:25 216:20 217:6 220:11

bet 237:4 better

136:18,23 171:15

beyond 88:14 128:25 130:16

big 128:5

Bigger 84:6,8

billion 40:25

binding 39:19 109:16 112:5

39:19 109:16 112:8

25:8 79:7

black 119:21,24 120:7,9,14 121:2,3,24,25 122:17 184:9 186:5

blog

3:13,19 20:24 21:5 76:24 79:11 90:22 91:3 141:10 152:24,25 153:6,10 217:9,22

blogger 153:17

blogging 90:11

blow 89:5,10

Blum 5:4,7 238:9

blurred 201:23

Bob 93:12 185:24

body 176:22,23

Bogre 217:2

bolsters 220:17

book 30:16 31:2 37:7 85:10

46:10 108:13,23 175:4 239:17,18 240:2,4

borders 208:24

borrowed 48:22

bottom 218:14 219:15

174:18 Bracht 134:22

box

branch 110:22

branches 76:15

breached 194:6

breadbox 84:7.8

break8:20 11:24 21:22 23:19
73:7,8 117:14 130:23

152:2,3 215:20,24 237:20

breaking 17:11

Brian 5:12 102:11 131:24

5:12 102:11 131:24 169:5

broad 26:10,17 124:16 173:4 197:18

broadly 92:23

brought7:18 35:4 41:7,13,18
42:8 50:22 97:20 112:9

brush 186:3

bump 85:25

button 197:13

buttressing 99:19

buyers 168:3

buys 148:24

by-line 236:21,24

by-lines 236:23

C

Cafe 90:15

call 122:11 143:24 174:15 175:21 181:12 187:21

175:21 181:12 187:21 201:10 204:16 210:16 217:13 225:8

called5:23 34:22 37:7 77:15
84:25 90:15,19,23
173:9 188:18 204:19
230:10

camera 156:12

cameras 155:12.13

can't

8:22 20:22 40:16 52:10, 22 99:2,4 102:11 104:23,24 117:13 121:7 122:8 133:18,25 134:2, 7 141:21 150:5,6 167:14 168:2 178:17 184:8 185:4 187:16 206:22 230:4

cancel 78:2

canvas

89:12 171:22 183:13

caption

173:6,13,16,19 174:11, 16 175:18 180:7,19 181:12 186:19 190:3,4, 6,14 191:3,12,16 204:16,18,24

captioned 191:15

captioning 172:25 173:2

captions

173:8,12 174:5,7,8 175:2,7,10,12,22 179:14,20,25 180:16,18

captured 208:23

car 155:8

career 43:17 92:24 94:7

Cariou

81:9,12,25 82:10,16 107:24 132:8

carrying 158:22

cartoon 201:17

case

3:12 4:15.16.18.19 7:16,18,22 9:10,13,14, 16,21,23 10:4,18,25 11:7 12:2,10,19,21,23, 24,25 13:7,9,25 14:11 16:18 17:24 19:17,20 20:3 23:12,16 26:17 30:25 35:5,8,20 36:3,11 37:21 40:18,25 41:10, 11 42:3,4,7,17 43:3,7,9, 18 44:3 51:16,24 52:9 53:8.19 55:6.7 59:9 60:10 62:18 63:12 64:13,25 67:7,17 68:6 69:16 70:17 71:10 72:19 73:13.24 80:14. 25 81:10,12 82:8,10,11, 16 83:2,19 96:21 97:12 98:6,15,25 99:18 100:2 102:15,22 105:9,14,19 106:3 107:25 108:13,22 109:20 111:24 112:4.6. 12,18 114:5 115:4 117:20 118:16 123:3 124:8 126:20 131:24 132:3,8,13 133:21 134:2 137:2,11,13 142:9 143:22 146:13,18 148:16 149:9 154:12 156:20 162:10 164:25 172:16 176:9 180:23 192:10,22 193:15,24,25 195:13 203:24 204:12 207:20 220:24 226:23 227:13

cases

4:14,21 7:8 8:13,25 9:2 10:6,9 12:22 13:4 21:6 22:25 27:2 29:13 32:10 33:18 38:6 108:8 109:2 111:10,11 112:9 113:10 132:8,25 133:15,18,25 134:7 141:2,3,16,19,23 147:20 186:4 195:12

Castellaneta

46:22

cat

125:3

catalogues

104:17

categories

37:4

category

36:12,13,16,24 92:23 98:6,7 168:16 173:6

caused

77:25

CD

148:23

cease

29:2 33:8 40:7 86:21

cell

197:19

center

96:24

central

203:8,16

centuries

212:9

century

49:6

certain

45:10 86:8 89:6 92:11 109:15 178:15 218:2 225:5 228:7,8,23 229:6, 22 230:11,23 232:21

certainly

11:25 39:9 85:16 93:9 95:22 109:16 114:17 120:24 121:2 131:22 161:10 162:25 165:6 166:7 225:4,12 235:2 237:7

cetera

10:17.23 12:15 75:20. 24 104:17 130:2 147:12,17 155:20,25 203:9 208:9 240:9

challenges

132:18

challenging

122:5 224:22

chance

240:16

change

68:12 85:24 88:22 105:13 112:7 118:25 119:6,7,10 127:4,11 168:21 182:16 183:3,4, 7,14 185:20 193:2,23 194:2,3 195:6 224:4 226:11

changed

86:3 116:21 117:7 182:5,9,20

changes

85:16 86:7,9 87:18 140:2 208:8

Chapel

212:14

character

18:22 19:5 21:25 22:4 24:18,20,25 25:10,16, 17.19.23 26:2 43:25 44:2,7,14

characterization

115:16 117:5 142:14,15 207:10

characterized

220:18

charge

182:25 child

7:17 12:23

chip

141:25 142:5

choose

228:8

chooses

213:16

chose

116:16 176:3 228:23 230:12

chosen

211:12 213:19 214:11,

circumstances

159:11

cite

144:3 220:14

citizen

159:16,18,21 160:5

claim

106:8 107:7 123:23 126:9,11,12,17 127:6 128:8,18 130:8 194:9 208:6 219:22

claimed

127:10 129:5 130:6

claiming

125:18 127:17 132:21 164:10

claims

113:20 219:20

clarify

71:14

class

35:15 41:6,7,18 42:10 97:14 133:6

clear

40:5 55:18 59:6 94:7 96:10,11 123:21 125:11 137:16 208:17

clearly

66:15

client

8:10

closely

120:22

Club

7:19

Coleman

3:1,7,13,19,22 4:1,13 5:1 6:1,15,16,17 7:1 8:1.6 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1,17 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1

33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1

41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1

53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1

61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1

73:1 74:1,15 75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1

81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1

85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1

93:1 94:1 95:1 96:1

97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1 102:1 103:1

104:1 105:1 106:1 107:1 108:1 109:1

110:1 111:1 112:1 113:1 114:1 115:1

116:1 117:1 118:1 119:1 120:1 121:1 122:1 123:1 124:1

125:1 126:1 127:1 128:1 129:1 130:1

131:1.15 132:1 133:1 134:1 135:1 136:1

137:1 138:1 139:1 140:1 141:1 142:1 143:1 144:1 145:1

146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 151:1

152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1

158:1 159:1 160:1
161:1 162:1 163:1
164:1 165:1 166:1
167:1 168:1 169:1
170:1 171:1 172:1
173:1 174:1 175:1
176:1 177:1 178:1
179:1 180:1 181:1
182:1 183:1 184:1
185:1 186:1 187:1
188:1 189:1 190:1
191:1 192:1 193:1
194:1 195:1 196:1
197:1 198:1 199:1
200:1 201:1 202:1
203:1 204:1 205:1
206:1 207:1 208:1
209:1 210:1 211:1
212:1 213:1 214:1
215:1 216:1,15 217:1
218:1 219:1 220:1
221:1 222:1 223:1
224:1 225:1 226:1
227:1 228:1 229:1
230:1 231:1 232:1
233:1 234:1 235:1

collaboration

236:1 237:1 238:1,7

239:1 240:1 241:1

158:19

collage

206:5

colleagues

100:24 124:16,22

collecting

11:5 183:20

collections

10:21.22

collectively

37:11

collector

49:17 161:5,11

collectors

49:11,12 160:17,21

Colleen

219:16

college

75:19

color

119:22,25 120:5,6,15

121:3 122:2,20 123:9, 15,16,20 168:22 171:23 182:6,21 184:6,11,12, 14 186:4

colorings

120:2

combines

227:10

combining

206:12,15

come

52:4,8 53:20 81:5 124:23 141:17 164:22 165:4 174:9,10

comes

89:12 101:18

comma

203:11

command

165:7

comment

10:19 12:16 14:2 130:15 168:23 169:16, 25 170:5,6,14 174:17 180:19 181:10 184:8 190:17,21,25 191:5,7, 20,21,22 192:4 204:19 219:14,17 222:19 232:2,5

commentary

179:15 196:4

commenting

14:2 169:12,16 170:5,7 185:5 224:20

comments

14:4 68:20 165:8 169:11,18 172:22 173:5 175:23,25 176:12,13, 17,21,23,24 177:13,19, 21,22 178:16 179:20,24 180:15 181:5,11,25 184:24 185:3,11,15 190:6,7,9,15,18 191:17, 18 196:5,7,10,11 198:14,16,21,24,25 227:20,23 228:8,12,17, 23 229:6,16 230:11,12, 20,24 231:9,17,20 232:10 235:9,11,20,22 236:2

commission

156:5

commissioned

156:3

commissioning

156:12 158:20

committed

104:19

common

52:15,18 85:20

commonly

138:10,12,13 175:3,21

communication

25:6 26:7 43:21

communicative

213:11

companies

89:9

company

89:7,11 193:14

comparatively

82:6 129:24

compendium

3:16,20 200:11,24 201:5,10 202:4,22 203:7,15,20 217:12

complaining

138:11

Complaint

204:4,6,11

complete

18:8 27:7 31:19 67:13

completeness

17:14

component

145:10 176:15 177:19 198:17

components

26:3 174:21 179:14

composites

106:4,6

composition

158:8 168:21 182:5,10, 21 183:15,24

comprises

222:19

computer

187:23 196:14,18,22 197:2,3,6,9,17,18,20,22 212:25 213:14

concede

57:14 136:8 198:11 199:24

concentrate

174:20

concentrates

143:5

concept

26:17 107:5 114:25

concern

128:3 143:4,7

concerned

142:23 179:21

concerns

103:12 217:25

concludes

241:10

conclusion

25:13 136:13 233:16

conclusions

124:24

condition

225:13

conducting

54:5

conference

38:18 76:17

conferences

76:16

confirm

16:16 238:15

conform

213:23

conformed

214:24

conforms

210:10

confused

173:20

confusing

166:13

confusion

101:20

conjunction

55:6 56:24

connect

174:23

connected

197:10

connection

51:16,23 58:20 117:19 176:8 220:22

conscious

153:23 154:13 155:2, 10,16,22 213:11

consciousnessaltering

154:24

consent

135:6

consider

14:2,6 39:20 70:10 95:16 96:19 112:16,23 113:4,6,11 124:15,18 135:9 165:10 166:8 172:4 175:9 180:23 190:6 208:10 209:12,14 210:11 211:18 221:9,11 240:20

considerable

70:8,11 107:21

considerably

160:7

considered

12:14 30:13 31:5 41:10 77:19 93:2 181:24 182:4 206:18 209:9 221:16

considering

179:13

considers

223:22

consist

45:21

consisted

47:4

consists

39:14

consolidated

97:14

constitute

59:24 181:25 184:24 198:25 199:3,6 206:20 227:6 234:24 235:2

constitutes

39:18 125:21 168:24 170:2,7 199:8,9 234:14

constraint

108:6,17 109:4 111:23 112:15 113:15

construct

66:18 107:12

construction

169:13

consultancy

15:2

consulted

98:20

consumer

161:24 162:5 163:11, 15,16 166:3,6 198:10,

consumers

162:21 167:4

contact

28:23 30:17 50:25

contacted

13:14,22 32:25 50:24 82:25

contemporary

10:16 14:24 25:6

109:18 145:9 159:24 160:2,10

content

26:4 44:16 48:7 66:19 80:22 82:3 87:19 90:16 181:4 185:20 186:2

211:22

context

21:8,21 38:4 44:11 145:25 151:12,20,21 171:15 223:15 contextualize

86:24 145:12

continue

215:22

continued

74:10 152:12,18 216:10

238:4

continuously

155:9

contours

195:19

contract

39:25 40:6

contributing

158:25

control

107:7 156:25 157:9

controversial

164:15

controversy

164:16,24

conversely

45:12 69:13,14

convey

38:22

convicted

10:12 11:12

coordinated

97:14

copied

134:10

copies 31:2

conv

17:9 39:15 73:17 88:20 96:22

copyright

3:11 7:22 9:2 10:8 20:12,20,24 21:6,18 22:15,20,22,23 23:2,6 24:6 27:2,12,13,16,17 28:2 34:6,10,19 35:3, 11,15 37:9,15,18 38:6, 15,17,24 39:13 41:7,11 42:10 43:9,14 87:20 89:17 90:12 91:5,13 94:8 97:11 98:5 106:13, 16 107:5,11,14 108:5, 16,21,23 109:2,3 111:22 112:14 113:14 130:7 138:22 140:4 147:15 171:5 193:8,22 194:10 206:17

copyrighted

28:6,14,16 31:9 93:22 94:13,18 95:18 96:13 110:9 111:15 127:19 128:16 139:12

cordial

134:20

corner

135:3

correct

17:17 20:25 21:6 22:15 27:4,6 35:21,22 40:22 41:21,24 42:8,9,11,15, 19 43:9 44:3,4 47:21 50:13,17,18 53:16 55:12 56:6,7,11,12 57:12,13,22,23 58:3,5 59:14 65:9 69:23 72:10 76:20 81:21,25 90:7 107:15 111:17,25

112:22 113:15 114:3 116:11 117:22 134:17 135:16 136:19,23 140:10 143:14 156:25

157:2,5,15 168:14,17 172:2 182:7 184:17,20,

172:2 182:7 184:17,20, 22 188:9,10,12 189:17

191:18,19 192:23 195:16 196:11 197:6 200:8,9,24 205:10

209:16 212:11 213:21 214:4,5,7,8,13,20 218:19,20,22 220:15,

20,25 228:9,10,13,14, 17,24 229:6,11,12,15 230:16,24 231:9,25

238:16 corrective

112:11 correctly

35:19 55:7

correspondence

78:6

couldn't

54:20 70:14 101:8 119:9 145:15 151:11,19 215:6 220:18

counsel

4:24 5:13 6:5 7:15 8:4,9 11:21,22,23 16:19 17:16 18:16 19:13 23:14,20,22 67:10 182:18 187:4 207:5 238:10,20 239:6 241:4

countries

76:15

counts

165:14

couple

8:2 206:5

course

27:9 100:13,16 138:18 161:7 173:15 190:20

courses

14:21,22 100:15

court

4:5,22 5:19,20 16:9 23:24 63:16 99:13 101:22 108:3 109:16,17 112:17,25 127:22 219:24

Court's

82:8

courts

221:9,11,25

cover

49:19

covered

87:20 233:11

Cravath

5:15 13:16 82:25 204:5 207:20

create

144:18 204:21 205:14 210:15

created

27:22 43:15 86:16 87:14 126:24 144:25 202:4 203:21 204:25 212:13 217:10

creates

145:2

creating

28:6,12 31:8

creation

159:12

creative

26:7 43:20 59:23 90:7 115:7 143:25 144:16, 21,23 153:25 154:15,21 155:4 156:9 158:5,15 172:6 178:16 213:11 231:19

creativity

144:6,8,12 159:2 171:4 176:20

creator

28:14 107:9

creatures 144:9

credibility

124:3 125:9

credit

234:20,24

criteria

182:13 185:14

critic

60:12 90:23 128:3 143:5 177:2 178:11 180:11,17 181:11 227:4 231:2 236:22

critical

14:17 24:13 126:4 221:21

critically

173:2

criticism

14:23 100:12,13

critics

75:4,24 76:4,6

critique

25:5

critiquing

177:9

crop

212:24

cropped

203:7,16 208:15 210:4 214:12,20,22

cropping

208:17,19 209:19,23 210:15,18,21 211:2,3,8, 19.25 212:25 213:13

Cubism

134:23

cultural

43:21 109:10,14,18 124:3,8 145:24

culturally

110:7 180:6

culture

106:4,6 144:9 145:5,6

cumulative

49:14

curate

15:3

curator

60:13

curatorial

126:4

curators

75:24

current

13:9 17:21,22 75:4 239:7

currently

6:18 14:10 74:17

curriculum

238:11

custody

11:15

cut

77:2

cutting

211:10

CV

3:8,22 16:21,23 17:21, 23 18:3,4,8 73:11,16 238:8,15,21 239:4,8

CV-08896-SHS

4:20

D

Dale

5:5

damages

29:10,12,15 40:25

Daniels

42:18

data

77:20 78:10

Databases

3:11 97:11 98:5

date

15:18 16:13 17:20 97:8 153:5 188:7 200:21 217:20 238:19

days

14:8,9 120:5

de

136:4,15 221:24

deal

50:16 80:14 100:16,23 128:2 142:22 176:25 177:2,5 221:23

dealers

49:10

dealings

31:8

Dean

9:18 13:15

decide

88:15,18 118:13

decision

155:20 177:12 192:16 210:18 213:12

decisions

153:23 154:13,20 155:3,23 156:17 168:5

declaration

99:10

defendant

9:20 29:21,24 32:17 38:9 61:19 67:10

Defendant's

61:11 82:9

defendants

5:3,6 29:6 61:21 71:10 207:21

Defendants'

62:11 99:22 102:14 143:22 165:9

defenders

99:20 101:11 129:2

defense

11:3 21:12 22:15,20 62:16 99:19 102:5 111:8

define

24:20 91:19 133:12 159:19 185:17

defined

185:13 223:17

definition

24:12 58:10,13 95:20 106:15 133:11 176:6 181:19 188:12,15,19 189:20,24 227:14

degree

142:12

deleted

230:23 232:12,14

deliberated

155:23

deliberately

45:19 208:23

demand

29:12

demarcation

46:14

demonstrate

69:9

denied

111:10

deny

114:24

denying

82:8

Department

7:21

depend

139:7 170:13

depending

83:9 139:5 167:7 199:14 210:8

depends

94:21 138:22

depicting

236:8

deponents

131:23 165:8

deposed

6:22 7:2,7,12 63:12 67:18

deposing

63:14

deposition

3:5 4:13 16:2,3,10 19:22 62:17,21 63:8,10, 17,19 67:18 74:10 152:12 216:10 223:7,13 238:4 240:17,23 241:2, 11

depositions

67:6.13

derivation

186:17 187:8 191:10

derivations

202:19 203:4

derivative

233:7

derived

92:18

derogatory

78:13

describe

186:8

described

15:16 16:11 17:18 97:6 153:3 161:25 200:19

217:18 238:17

describes

98:3

deserves

143:3

design

86:17

designated

7:8

designer

86:19 87:10

designers

89:25

desist

29:2 33:8

desk

155:13

detail

46:11 120:22 187:24 210:16 211:5,13 220:11

details

8:3 46:9

detect

209:3

determination 20:23 160:13

determinative

112:5

determine

116:7 159:20 160:2 161:9,13 168:4 208:13

determining

165:16,22 221:18

developing

78:5

developments

17:11

device

155:8 196:19 197:22,23

devices

155:19,21

didn't

17:3 30:5 47:8 55:19, 23.24.25 64:3.4.7 66:6. 10 70:19 82:21 123:17 157:23 164:3 167:23 176:23 185:25 194:8 207:9 220:7.14 223:10. 12 225:7 226:14

228:12,21 232:20 234:3 237:17 240:15

difference 91:22 120:19 121:14,23

122:4,8 162:15,19 211:2 212:21 213:2,3,6, 8 235:19,21

differences

125:3 136:12

different

15:15 17:22,23,25 18:2 38:13 72:6 76:15 87:13 89:22 90:3,5 94:25 104:4 117:15 118:10 120:4.6.14 124:23 128:24 137:11 138:23 148:6,17,18 149:5,9 163:17 171:6 177:11,24 184:2,4,6,11,14,17 186:6 197:3 238:24

differently

25:8

difficult

8:7 46:6

digital

66:15 121:12 122:15, 22,23 123:13 183:10 186:17 187:7 191:9 194:13,15

digitally

122:12 183:13 196:3

215:3 diligence

30:14 239:13 240:12

Dillon

93:12

Dillon's

185:24

dimensions

213:24

diminish 68:13

diminished

65:8 68:6 69:4,17

diminishes

61:25

dint 132:21

direction

140:15 212:15

directions

140:12,13

disabuse

39:21 40:4

disadvantage

8:23

disbursed

35:20 42:14

disbursements

35:24

discourse

99:23 102:3,4 135:18

discover

30:5 53:25 234:18

discovered

30:9

discuss

99:25

discussed

3:20 100:24

discussing

154:9

discussion

34:5 41:4 42:3,5 86:6 101:21 152:3,4

discussions

43:6.8

dismiss

82:9

disproof

113:22 114:6,11,18 115:18 125:12

disprove

116:15

disproving

114:20 115:21

dispute

29:20 32:17 33:25

disputes

32:4,22,23

disrespect

 $61{:}22\ 65{:}7{,}13\ 233{:}13,$

24 234:14,25

disrespects

233:19

disseminated

64:19 126:22,25 145:22 146:19 148:5 149:13, 19,22 150:4,8,9,12,20 151:6,7,10

distinction

121:7 219:7 227:5

distinctive

143:24 144:7

District

4:22 9:7 42:18 82:7

diverse

39:7

diversity

14:19 76:22

divide

37:3

dividing

45:15

doc

85:19 86:22

doctrine

21:12

document

15:16,24 16:11 17:18 97:6,21 98:3 153:3 200:19 204:4 217:18

238:17

documentation

13:25

documents

53:7,8 67:10 82:14 102:13 169:4,17 170:5 189:10 196:2 201:4

203:24

docx

85:20 86:22

doesn't

8:21 62:22 64:24 90:6 99:6 106:16 125:12 138:13 146:5 162:24 173:18 206:14 223:24

225:9,16

doing

15:14 39:2 56:24 139:17 156:11 158:20

177:11 213:4

dollar

162:17

dollars

162:16 165:20

domain

219:4,9

dominant

198:2,17,20 199:12,13, 25 200:6,7

don't

7:24 8:3 9:6,24,25 10:5 18:6,7 23:19 36:4 38:2, 3 41:2 42:21,24 47:2

57:19,20 58:6,22 66:25 67:4,9,12 70:12,25

71:5,6 73:6 77:8 78:23 82:13 83:3 96:19 98:11

99:2,6 100:25 101:16, 17 103:24 112:10,24,25

115:2 116:23,24 117:4 119:6,11,12,13,14

120:16 121:15 124:10 128:2 135:8 137:24,25

139:19 141:6,17,18 142:3,4,12,19,22

144:12,16 147:4 148:10 149:25 150:2 151:25

155:2 157:12,17 160:25

161:8 168:3,12 169:22 171:12,13 172:11

173:21 174:8 176:4,11 177:5 182:25 184:19,20

186:7 189:19 190:21,25 192:5,20 193:12 194:22

195:18 205:3 206:19,24 207:22 213:17 215:13,

14 216:24 217:3,4 219:22 220:7 221:20

222:2 224:15,18,21,25 225:3 227:22 229:11,24

231:24 232:17 236:24 237:5,19 240:14

Donald 4:15

Dontzin

5:9

Dorothea

218:14 219:3,8

doubt

157:20

Douglas

3:7

Douglass 6:15 16:17

downloaded

186:16,21 187:7 189:5, 24 191:9,14 196:18,22, 25 197:2

downloading

196:14 197:5

downloads

197:8

dozen 34:12,13 56:21,22

DPI

187:12,23 188:22

draw

80:7,10 219:15

drawn

80:16

dress 88:24

drive

92:13

drop

86:19

dropped 53:19

dropping

66:9,12

drops

210:5

drunk 154:11

Duchamps

163:24

dua

30:13 164:24 234:21 239:13 240:12

duly

5:24

Ε

e-mail

17:6 enhance 6:25 7:12 239:18.20 240:5 50:7 e-mails either essentially 17:6 17:10 33:20,25 37:11 enhanced 158:10 228:16 69:11 72:10.17.21 53:5,11 69:18 70:20,25 earlier 71:9 73:4 86:21 92:23 237:12 17:24 26:24 76:19 10:17,23 12:15 75:20, 113:22 114:6,11 115:17 97:23 115:5 117:11 enjoy 24 104:17 129:25 116:11 118:16,19 142:10 149:15 196:9 65:19 110:12 147:12,17 155:19,24 119:13 127:10 134:25 210:16 220:21 228:5 203:8 208:9 240:9 155:8 165:23 193:9 enjoyed 229:5,8 233:18 206:13 133:4 et al earn 4:16,19 **Electronic** enter 15:4 3:10 97:11 98:5 168:2 ethical earth 107:11 108:5.16 109:3 entered element 7:19 111:23 112:15 113:15 24:16 44:17 48:17 49:4 100:18 89:25 90:2 123:18 easier Europe entire 84:15 228:4 229:3 76:14 94:15,18 227:23 easily elements evaluate 229:16,18 61:12 84:22 20:11,20 21:16,17,21 172:21 entirely 22:14,19 99:23 117:22, easy 139:8 170:13 evaluating 23 123:7,14 134:23,24 159:8 168:20 173:22 85:3 116:15 215:8 172:18 177:25 179:13 entirety 181:21 228:22 229:2 230:8 edge 32:9 46:4 47:14,16 231:7,23 210:22 evaporate 81:15 123:16 141:19 202:20 203:5 208:23 107:12 111:24 eliminated edges 229:2 230:17 230:23 208:15 event entitled 43:2 240:18 else's edited 3:14 37:21 152:25 233:22 86:15 228:16 eventually 194:9 90:15,21 164:18,19 embedded editing entitlement 92:4,5 147:14,15 90:5 evidence 129:9 12:9 69:15,23 73:4 embeds editor envelope 146:18 160:22 164:13 96:16.18 85:9,22 174:19 39:17 179:19 embrace editor's Epiq exact 125:17 87:4 4:5 5:20 116:20,25 117:3,12 editorial emerge 129:24,25 208:14 equally 100:16 86:7,8 72:9 exactly employed education 49:9 77:25 87:19 Eric 14:10 103:11 138:13 148:15 34:24 75:5,9,11,14,18 4:18 155:24 161:9 171:14 76:2 enable 217:16 231:15 233:2 errors educational 110:13 111:25 **EXAMINATION** 31:5 enables 3:2 6:7 152:18 especially 66:18 88:21 effect 206:15 18:25 19:9 40:8 48:9,13 examine endorsement 70:23 71:17,22 141:16 140:17 176:7 essay 125:19 185:9 30:2,16 40:3 85:8,11 examined engagements 86:11 87:15,18,23,24 effectively 5:25 51:3 88:13,17 29:4 107:6 179:14 example **English**

30:15 86:12

eight

essays

27:23 30:4 32:9,11

39:10,15 45:20 46:9

47:3 54:6 84:13 92:7 94:22 103:13 107:25 108:2 114:23 115:25 137:23 139:2 145:16 153:17 154:11 155:7,13 156:4 163:24 165:8 185:24 210:15 211:10 218:12 225:6 226:8

examples

52:5,7 53:17 107:23 136:22 155:6

excellent

99:14

exception

24:5 26:9,10,11,22,23 31:22 32:12 40:11 45:10,19 47:15,19 48:3 170:9 211:17

excerpt

45:23 46:7 89:15

excerpts

46:9

exclude

176:2 177:13 228:8,11 232:23

excluded

176:5,12 177:6 229:6, 11,23 230:10

excluding

178:15 196:10

exclusion

231:20

excuse

27:23 50:3 66:14 112:21 166:25 189:9 196:20

execution

44:15

executive

74:20

exhibit

15:12,17,23 16:6,12,15 17:15,19,23 18:3,10 97:5,7 152:24 153:4 200:14,20 204:5 211:13 217:8,19,25 218:13 220:10 238:12,18

exhibited

93:13

exhibition

83:15 104:11,16

exhibitions

15:3 104:14

exhibits

3:21 15:20

exist

162:24

existed

136:12 213:25 214:6 231:13,17 238:21

existing

144:7

exists

91:25 92:12

experience

27:10,16,17 34:6 38:12 43:12,13 50:20 60:11 80:19,21 100:8 172:24 198:7 223:24

experienced

133:5

experiences

154:24

expert

7:3,9,13 9:15 12:8 27:19 43:23 59:16 64:11,13 99:22 100:9 105:10 120:18,25 121:6,20 122:6 124:4, 20,22 151:2 153:20 165:24 166:18,23 172:5 181:20 198:8 207:19

expertise

10:7 22:19 170:17,24 171:3 172:21 173:22 174:4

experts

102:16,25 105:9,14 124:7,18 132:2 207:25

explain

84:15 91:22 92:9 143:19 179:23

explained

223:8

explanation

43:25 209:12

expositions

49:13

exposure

. 157:16,18

express

114:21

expressed

39:6 78:8

expressive

59:24 115:7

expressly

205:13

extensively

170:23

extent

40:10 107:18,22 208:14 237:16

extreme

235:3

eye

62:2 122:3 184:14,17 199:2,6

eyeball

120:22

eyes

65:9 68:6,14 69:5 198:20

F

Facebook

77:16,21,24 78:2,4 188:9,12 189:16 214:3

fact

24:7 62:15 64:7,22 69:3 78:8 80:21 93:23 94:5 104:18 106:12 109:21 110:6 114:24 127:8 137:18,21 140:14 146:25 157:21 162:20 168:13 169:19 174:10 184:16 189:24 192:13, 16 193:21 194:22,25 196:18 197:19 206:11 211:7,25 219:2 227:16 233:16 234:10 237:11

facto

221:24

factor

198:20

factors

167:15,17,20,21

fair

20:12,20 21:9,12,17 22:14,19 23:12 24:5,16 26:8,9,18,21,22 27:19 31:10,16,18,25 32:6,8, 12,16,22 33:3,14,24 40:10 45:10,18 47:15, 18 48:3 49:4 55:8 56:8 80:5 81:2,4 82:4 91:18 93:2,20 94:12,19 95:16, 21 96:5,20 97:2 105:20 111:8,17 112:2,7,9,18 114:10 115:14 116:22 117:5,7,21 135:7,25 139:21,22 140:18 142:14,15 151:15,24 157:11 162:14 170:8 181:7 193:25 195:8 199:10 206:16,20 210:13 211:7,17 218:22 219:2,10,12,13 220:4, 19,25 221:4,6,7,12,19 225:21 227:12,16,18 237:18

fairly

52:15 124:11

fairs

49:14

faith

30:22 146:16 149:18 214:18

fall

24:12 173:5

false

70:24

familiar

35:5 52:25 75:11 81:9 98:9,24 108:12 134:8 136:3 138:5,15 141:3 146:12 156:19 171:16, 19 208:2 212:18 226:5, 17 236:4,7

famous

9:17 51:9,10 109:2

filed flesh 134:16,17 136:10 87:14 90:8 93:14 101:7. 42:22 98:22 10 103:23 116:4 121:8 118:18 fan 129:11 135:13 138:5 225:22 files floating 139:15 142:7 160:24 84:21,22,24 187:19 146:9 fantasy 170:19 178:14 180:3,18 floats 181:17 182:17 191:2 39:21 filing 55:10 56:10 200:3 207:3,13 39:11 far 35:22 42:16 film flow form.and/or 23:20 185:20 156:14 fashion format 192:19 234:13 final flower 84:21 85:18 87:10 184:23 232:23 89:5 fast forms 24:8 financially focus 129:8 18:9 38:20 198:13.15 122:11 feature 201:21 205:24 206:6,16 forth 199:13,25 200:7 find 222:7 10:20 46:10 50:21 54:8 100:3 169:14 features 56:18 57:2 59:2 85:2,8 focusing forthrightly 177:24 99:25 112:17 117:14 230:6 143:23 federal 147:7 175:3 227:5 foggy forwarded 7:18 finding 129:20 30:20 fee 149:6 221:11 followed found 111:7 fine 49:5,7 54:15 56:4 57:25 66:22 207:14 222:14 feel following 72:18 91:17 171:12 172:20 finish 97:19 founded 220:17 222:2 131:4.6 follows 75:14 76:13 fellow finished 6:2 74:10 152:12 203:4 233:14 four 221:23 216:10 238:4 8:14 13:4 31:2 54:20 felt 78:18 93:14 **Finland** font 137:25 220:22 30:8 31:21 33:12 84:25 85:2,4,24 88:22 frame **Ferry** 89:2,4 215:4 **Finnish** 236:8,13,20 237:11 30:3 footnote framed 145:8,11 field 155:24 firm 15:9 32:13 44:12 65:17 foremostly 82:25 86:18 100:11,18,25 124:16,19 frankly 79:7 125:4 159:24 160:8 first 9:24 161:22 5:24 8:20 13:14 15:19 forget frequently 18:4.14 24:16 61:9 188:22 fields 32:13 102:5 175:12 67:25 73:18 78:17 32:14 forgotten 100:2 109:7 113:19 friend 236:15 figured 119:18 132:16 145:18 78:6 97:20 135:3,12 202:18 203:19 218:13 196:3 form friends 239:4,7 20:15 21:19 22:16.21 figures 7:18 137:19,21 23:13 24:4,22 25:20 124:3,8 131:20 132:2 fit 26:12,19 27:21 32:20 front 213:10 file 34:11 37:25 39:18 41:3 139:3 155:13 five 44:5,25 48:5,18 52:20 74:6,12 85:5,6,11,12, fucking 13:4 54:20 59:5 78:18 14,18,19,20 86:4,14,15, 54:10 55:13 56:13 77:19 78:12 93:15 237:20 57:18 58:4 61:2 62:9,24 20,21,23 141:13 152:8, 64:6,10 65:4,14 67:8 14 188:21 216:5,12 full **Fleissig** 241:10 69:24 70:21 71:4,12 6:14 16:24 30:2 47:7,19 5:9 72:11 81:19 83:23 176:23 239:8

function

53:22 54:12 175:17

fundamental

107:2 233:24

fundamentally

144:4

further

88:2,10 208:18

future

73:23

G

Gagosian

5:10,11 73:14,15 238:10

gained

89:17,18

galleries

104:13

gallery

5:10 49:12,13,14 73:14 139:25 165:18

Garcia

236:5,16,19 237:13

gelatin

92:15 122:17

general

5:13 46:14 91:12 135:17 161:20

generally

44:7,9 84:21,24 90:4 98:9 99:24 187:10,21

generate

50:12 58:9

generated

50:16 60:19

generically

121:17 133:10

George's

135:3

getting

40:17 111:20 119:15

129:20

gibberish

223:17

Gibbs

4:5

give

12:17 14:25 46:13 70:14 84:13 107:23 155:6 166:10 234:20,24

aiven

10:18 19:13 44:10 53:24 62:18 143:3 213:10

giving

79:8 158:24

go

7:14 49:12 73:9 79:17 87:25 114:20 115:20 117:10 125:16 142:9 166:7 222:6,13 233:10

gobbledygook

195:23 222:20,24 223:5,9,16,21 224:9

goes

82:4

going

34:6 43:3 85:19 86:5 130:21,24 145:17 159:25 185:23 204:3 238:12,14 240:16

Goldstein

5:5

good

4:2 6:10,11 30:22 81:18,20 146:16 149:17 152:2,21,22 177:10 187:23 214:18

Google

53:20,21,22 54:5,11,12 55:20 56:15,19,25 59:3, 7 66:12,13,21 67:2 108:12

Googled

53:11

Gordon

59:11 193:23 226:21 234:9,11,23 235:10,17

government

11:10,11 12:4,5,20

grab

196:24 197:7 230:19

231:14 232:15

grabbed

197:9 208:22

grade

75:22

Graham

4:15 18:23,25 19:3 44:20 47:23 48:11 51:19,22 52:3,15 53:6, 11 56:14 57:9 58:19 59:12 65:19 67:7 69:17 73:23 82:12 96:23 116:3 117:24 119:19 127:10 145:16,18 146:12,20,21 148:2 149:19 151:5,6 153:22 163:9 176:14 189:9,16 199:8 203:8,17 204:12 205:20,23 211:14 214:2

Graham's

234:3

56:18,25 59:2 118:19 186:15,17,21,24,25 191:10

228:2,4 233:13,20

granted

28:2 124:5 195:11,13

graphic

89:25 90:2

great

50:16 100:16,23 216:3

reater

55:11 57:15,16 167:12,

Greenberg

4:10 5:3,6

grounds

102:20 106:2

group

10:10 104:14 226:2

grouped 126:6

120.0

groups 104:4

guess

37:19 78:6 201:16

guessing

168:8 223:10

guest

153:11,17

guests

153:12

Guild

41:19 75:3

guilds

74:24

Н

habituated

180:7

hack

66:18 195:22,24 196:8 230:10.23

hacked

183:11

hacking 229:24

hacks 228:7

hadn't

83:13 221:16

half

49:6 56:20,22

hand

157:10

handle

78:19

handling

156:12

happen

88:23,25 138:13

happened

18:7 50:10 148:15

happening

140:12

happens

138:13 140:11,15 235:6

hard

24:8 45:17 46:10,13

harmful

113:14

Harrison

216:16,18

hasn't

42:14 103:21 109:21

haven't

17:10 35:20 72:15,23 73:3 79:6 89:17,18 118:15,16,17 144:14 184:7,21

he's

66:17 99:14 136:18

head

40:19

headline

86:25

hear

8:7 101:9 147:4,6

heard

30:21,23 51:13,19 52:12,21 57:22 226:2,4 236:18

hearing

68:2

heavily

129:7

held

74:20 194:4 240:8

help

58:8 143:14

helped

212:10,14

helps

57:15 58:15

hierarchy

133:9

high

61:20 75:21 121:11 124:3,8 129:6 132:19 133:22 134:12 188:12, 15,18,25 189:6,11,20, 24

higher

134:3 167:16 168:11 188:21

highly

118:7,9

hip-hop

111:6,15 144:17,21 145:25 146:3 148:10,19 149:2,15,24 150:16 151:13,21

hire

39:24 40:6 193:5,9,11

historian

60:12 173:2

historical

14:18 145:8 210:17

history

10:15 12:14 14:23 43:19 44:12

holders

108:21

holding

201:14

holds

76:15

homage 135:9,16 219:21

hope

131:10 236:7

hour

130:24

housing

139:9

HTML

88:7

hugely

79:6

human

158:13

hundred

52:16

hypertext

88:6

hypocritical

143:18

hypothetical

70:14 149:17 150:23,24 151:5 193:19 195:2 ı

ľLL

8:17 17:14 23:23 42:24 117:14

ľМ

20:22 21:20 32:13,21 36:20 40:13,18 42:5 47:9 57:4 58:24 66:20 71:18,21 72:2 75:6,11 76:11,21,24 77:9,22 94:7 103:6 113:3 115:2, 13 116:17 119:3 120:24 121:15,19 122:5 125:8, 10,14 128:13 129:19 134:6,18,25 137:8 142:23 149:11 155:18 158:16 161:8 164:4 166:10,12,17 169:15 170:20 171:8,19 173:20 178:9,20 179:21 182:2 197:18 206:22 209:11 215:23 222:14 224:22 230:6 231:5,10,23 238:12 240:16

I'VE

14:12 83:14 90:22 240:6

lan

5:2

idea

150:15,19

ideas

44:13 114:17 123:23 124:2 137:22

identical

118:5 163:8,12,15

identification

15:17 16:12 17:19 97:7 153:4 200:20 217:19 238:18

identified

12:22 67:21 68:11,16, 19 112:2 147:21 148:3, 7 166:2

identifies

18:15

identify

20:10 22:11 38:14 39:8

66:3,5,6,8,11 92:24 93:5 148:14

idiots

77:19 78:12

ignore

15:19

. 76:14

illustrations

87:5

image

53:24 54:5,11,12,16 55:11 56:2,5,15,19,23, 25 59:3,4,7 66:9,13 67:2,22 89:9 91:20,25 92:4 95:7,14,16 96:8,12 116:2,8 117:24,25 130:10 146:14,18 149:12,19,22 150:12 151:5,6,10,17 175:20 185:3,8,9,11,15 186:17 189:4,14,16 190:12 191:10 192:7 193:16.22 194:5 198:13,14,21,25 199:7,8,9,12 200:6,23 201:12.13 204:7.25 205:13,15,18,19 206:13 210:19,20,22 213:16

image-text

227:4,7,8,14

imagery

82:3 134:25 227:11

images

53:18,20,21 54:2,9,13 60:20 66:10,12,23 70:7 80:2,22 89:5 92:19 116:3,5 118:14,21 119:17 120:10 126:21 133:20 174:23 175:18, 22 179:16 180:8,9 181:14,16 183:11 184:25 186:5 187:9,12, 15,16,22 189:11 198:2, 6 206:5,12,15 208:20 209:2,20,24 210:12 211:22 212:3 213:24,25 214:2,6,16 233:6 236:2

imagine

185:4 199:20

imbalance

61:17 65:7,12,16 143:14

imitation

135:13

immediate

148:25

immediately

67:19 68:10,16,22,24

impact

48:23 60:6,16,24 64:20, 24 68:4 70:18 71:2,3 115:11 125:13 151:12

223:18

impaired

72:25

imperil

113:14

impinged

100:17

implicit

61:22,23 65:7,12 103:18 126:12,18

implicitly

61:17 106:12

import

85:14

important

109:7,12,19,22,24 110:2,3 165:10

impossible

99:25 144:4

impression

55:3

imprimatur

143:25

in-house

86:17

inception

133:7

incident

77:25

incidents

33:4

include

46:17 92:17.18 160:16

161:4,10 175:25 176:4 177:12,23 211:13 213:16 228:8,12 230:12 232:2,4,22

included

45:5 80:23 90:16 109:23 130:5 168:16 169:8 176:4,13,18 177:22 179:7 191:6 199:2 204:7 226:10 228:23 229:2 230:11,19 232:2,4

includes

16:22 18:3 24:6 26:3 148:20 150:14 177:4 228:3 239:25 240:3

including

24:7 72:18 92:6 128:6, 14 129:23 131:24 145:23 161:19 175:13 177:3,24 178:15 208:24 228:17

incomprehensible

224:19 225:9 226:12,14

inconsistent

107:4

incorporate

110:14 138:24

incorporated

106:10

incorporation

105:24

increase

65:22

incumbent

234:16

independently

82:22

Indesign

86:20,23

indicate

47:22 122:19 165:20

212:5

indicated

20:7 30:12 117:17 148:21 189:10 212:15

indicates

162:25

indication

69:10 109:18

indistinguishable

122:14,16

individual

70:12 161:9

individually

37:11 41:20

individuals

129:6

industry

85:21 110:17,20,21,23 147:8 170:16

inevitably

143:4

inference

220:4 221:4,6,7

influence

109:17 154:18.23

inform

145:13

information

11:20 53:5 147:13,15 223:11

informed

159:16,17,21 160:5,7 161:17,23 162:5,21 163:10 192:14 194:17

infringement

140:5 194:10

inherently

90:3 153:24 154:14 155:3 224:19

initial

19:16,18 191:20,21,22

initially

190:16

20:6 146:21 186:5

Inkjet

184:10

184:1

insert 148:22

inserted

145:8

insist

62:22 64:8,15

insisted

62:8 64:23

insistence

61:11 62:6

inspect

83:18 176:23

inspected

118:23

Instagram

66:19 77:7,9 148:4 173:5 175:17 180:25 181:3,9 183:11 186:19 187:17 188:2,3,8,16 189:17 190:3,13,21,25 191:11 196:5 198:12 199:17,18 203:4 208:16,20,25 209:5,21,

24 210:2,5,9 212:3 213:22 214:7,23 215:4

227:6,24 229:10,19 231:13.16 232:18

235:11.14

instance 29:25 50:9 91:15 94:9 118:16 132:13 134:15

136:8 156:22 159:22 177:8 185:2 237:10

instances

28:22 29:6,9,16,20,23 32:4,15,24 33:5,8,12, 14,16,24 53:23 54:9,15, 18,23 55:2 56:4,17

58:25 92:24 138:6,15

156:2

institute

75:20

institution

33:12

institutional

49:11

institutions

129:7 139:8

instructing 213:5

instructions

156:6 158:8,12,21,22,

25

instructs 23:22

instrument

157:8

insubstantial

80:11

intellectual

27:11 39:12 89:21 110:19,23 233:25 234:17

intended

25:5 125:22 129:12,13, 15 179:19 224:2

intent

127:16 128:2 142:23 179:22 219:23 220:3 221:3,5,9,11,16,20,24 222:2 223:4

intention

38:21 220:15,23

intentional

201:25

interact

161:22

interested

85:9,10 161:20

interesting

124:21 152:4

Interior

7:21

international

75:3 76:4,6,17 90:24

internet

33:21 53:5 90:14 214:17 229:10 231:17

interpretation

24:13,14 26:14 104:20 160:15

interpreted

186:2

interpretive

153:24 154:14,21 155:4 156:8 158:4,14

intervene

196:4

interviews

104:12

introduce

4:24

Introduction

18:14

introductions

104:16

intuitive

153:23 154:13,20 155:3,11,16

invented

134:23

invested

129:7

invited 153:12

involve

90:6 132:19 133:16 145:23 155:2 156:8,10

involved

9:17 10:9 35:3 49:18 75:18 76:11,18,22 77:23 78:7 87:8 133:17 141:22 142:20 151:17 157:8 174:20

involvement

77:3

involves

95:9 133:22 153:22

involving

7:16,23 12:2,24 23:2 82:12 132:9 231:19

iphone

197:12

irrelevant

230:25

Island

6:19

229:15

isn't

52:15 89:23 110:17 113:4 114:10 115:14 126:19 135:11 143:2 147:25 148:16 181:7 213:15 215:8 228:19 isolate

123:18

ISP

33:22 34:2

issue

9:16 10:17 36:6,7 44:3 53:19 59:9 71:11 80:5 83:19 105:18 123:2 129:22 131:4 138:9 139:10 140:13,18,25 162:10 172:16 174:3 180:23 227:13

issues

14:23 20:25 21:3 24:6,8 61:6 66:16 91:5,13 103:12 115:15

it's

8:6 15:6.7.9 26:13 36:22 40:15 45:2,9,17 46:13 48:19 52:15,18 65:16 69:22,25 70:2 71:2,8 75:15,16 76:5,13 80:20,21 81:21 84:15 89:24 90:2 92:4,14 94:25 95:20 98:19 104:21 109:7.24 110:3. 19 114:15 117:21 118:25 120:3 121:16,18 124:10,11 125:7 128:3 131:3,10,16 133:21 135:7 137:3,4,19 140:19,23 141:16 143:4,7 146:3,7,9 147:14,19,21 148:12,18 150:4,8,23 152:4 155:24 163:19 165:12 166:15 171:10,12 174:22 177:6 182:25 188:22 190:9 195:25

200:4 201:6,18 202:10

204:19 205:24 210:14

221:10 225:16 227:16

234:16 235:3,6,7,13

itemized

19:21 **items**

20:5,16 163:15

its

26:3,4 44:15,16 73:7 107:2,10,16 113:21 147:16,17 174:21 210:10 214:24 J

James

7:19 9:18 12:3,24

January

238:11

jargon

129:3,17 135:18

jeweler's

120:21

jewelers

122:7

job

39:21

jobs 15:2

.

Joe 12:12

12.12

John 218:2,4,5

join

240:24

ioint

59:13 159:2

ioke

171:17,22 172:14 173:24

Jonathan

35:4 40:20,21,22 97:20

Journalists

75:2

JPEG

89:10 92:7,8,9,10,11 120:11

JPEGS

53:18 123:11

Judge

42:18

judgment 80:19,20 81:20 198:4

judgmental

161:7

July 4:8 June

90:25 216:22

justification

102:22

justified

105:25

justifies

170:8

justify

100:6 101:5 102:18 105:15

Κ

keep

222:15

key

135:22

kidding

215:23

Kim

59:11 193:23 226:21 234:8,11,23 235:10,16

kind

26:7 79:9 120:6 133:14 144:6 145:7,24 150:2 151:11 161:24 164:7 165:4 174:11 175:17 186:11

kindly

101:23

kinds

109:15 138:23 155:19 161:19 187:14

knew

52:5 63:21 67:2 127:19 130:6 134:20

know

8:20 9:8,12 18:6,7 35:23 38:2,3 42:16,20, 21 46:19,20 50:9 52:3, 11 66:10,25 68:7 70:12, 25 71:5,6 72:12,13 78:23 80:17 83:3 84:4 92:13 103:24 112:24,25 119:6,9,13,14 120:20 121:15,22 122:9 124:13,15 127:9 137:24,25 139:19 141:12,18 142:4,19 146:5 149:25 150:2 157:12,17 161:8 164:5 167:23,25 168:3,6,12 169:13 171:20,25 175:24 176:5,11,14,19 184:19,20 186:8,20 187:8,25 188:19 189:4, 19 192:12 194:22 195:18 196:17,23 205:19 206:19 211:16 212:8 215:13,14 216:15,18,22 217:3,4 223:3 226:24 229:11,21

234:3 235:12 236:11,14

knowing

166:15 192:2 202:5 229:21

237:4,14 240:14

knowledge

9:19 60:11 63:20 98:14 127:17 160:10 209:23 217:6

known

58:16 68:22,24 132:20 133:24 134:3 136:16, 18,23 145:3,4,6,22 146:14 149:20,22 150:13,17,21 151:18

knows

128:15 146:4

Kooning

136:5,15

KV-10160-SAS

4:17

L

label 147:16

Lange

218:14 219:3,8

language

30:16 86:12 88:7 102:2, 4 103:6,9,10 117:12 135:4 210:17 225:3

lapsed 76:10

large

35:3 84:6

larger

47:18,20 79:25 176:22

largest

41:6

late

17:10,16

Laurence

5:10 73:15

law

22:23 24:6 26:21 34:7, 10 38:24 80:25 82:25 112:2,4,7,9 193:8,11 195:19 206:17

lawsuit

36:6,21 42:22 49:25 50:16,21,22,25 51:5,8, 12,18 52:2 55:10,12,15, 16 56:6,10 57:2,6,11, 21,24 58:21 60:19 62:15,16 141:5,13 174:4 204:6 236:19

lawsuits

38:9 50:12 143:13

lawyer

8:5 20:22 23:8,12 195:15,17,20 206:22 222:10,11

lawyers

18:20 21:24 25:9 98:16, 20 101:21 201:7 203:25 238:8

lawyers'

204:4

layout

158:9

laziness

235:3

leads

104:7

leave

16:8

lecture 79:9

lectures

14:25

led

54:2

left

66:2 201:13 218:14 232:13

legal

23:9 24:8,11 94:5 96:17 106:11 107:11,17,19 108:5,16 109:3 111:23 112:15 113:7,8,15,23 114:7,12 115:18,24 149:2

legally

39:18 40:7 147:19

lesser

132:20 133:23 134:2 136:16 138:5

let's

18:9 21:22 27:15 38:11 43:23 45:24 59:16 60:14 72:15 79:16 87:25 92:22 95:13 119:18 121:10 125:16 154:24 156:13 159:4 162:7 166:7 186:9 193:19 195:2,3,4 212:22 215:24 222:6 227:25 228:2 233:10

letter

30:19 45:24 90:19

letters

28:22 29:3 33:9

level

14:22 65:18,20 70:10 75:19,20 78:13 161:21

levels

26:14

license

29:24 31:16 33:2 86:10 93:24 111:7,16 125:21 139:11 149:10,11 192:7,9,13,17 193:16 194:20,21,23

licensed

27:22 43:15 55:3 93:21 148:19 194:13,15

licensee

94:11

licenses

27:25 28:4 111:14

licensing

28:6,13,15 148:19 195:19 235:5

life

161:19

light

37:7 90:20

limit

187:18

limitation

188:2

limited 107:8 200:6

line

45:15 46:14 80:7,10,15 95:2 131:7

Linkedin

77:16

Lisa

102:10

list

7:15 8:10,12,16,21 17:6 19:21 20:7 27:5 73:12, 23 102:12 156:5 239:21

listed

63:9 239:15,17 240:19

listen

148:9

listeners

150:18

listings

240:3

lists

98:6

literally

101:18 185:11

literary

3:10 97:10 98:4 100:12

litigation

3:11 35:11,16 42:11 97:12,23 98:6,10 142:18

litigious

140:21.24 141:8

little

25:8 52:5 75:15 120:3 129:20

live

6:18

loaded

161:7

loading

156:14

located 79:2

long

14:6,8 29:14 40:15 43:3 76:7 90:11 131:8 133:4,

5 240:6

look

13:25 18:13,17 70:19
77:8 98:2 99:9 105:2
106:20 113:17,18
117:11 120:25 121:10,
23 148:13 153:19 159:5
178:11 180:17 187:23
190:24 198:12 204:9
221:25 239:14

looked

120:21 123:6 182:13 196:2 229:9

looking

14:4 55:20 160:19 162:5 163:6 176:12 178:21 180:22 181:8

looks

98:8 217:16

loose

114:14

lose

187:24

lost

166:5

lot

41:14,23 54:8 77:11 135:8 187:24 237:4,6

loud

106:24,25

loupe 120:20,21 low

186:16 187:7,11,22 189:5,20 191:9

lower

61:21 65:18,20 133:10 162:17

luminaries

125:20

lunch

129:21 131:13 152:2

luncheon

152:11

lyrics

226:10

M

Mac

84:18,19,20 85:6,13 86:22

magazine

9:6 34:22 67:20 68:10, 18 87:22 88:2 174:13 192:22,25 193:21

magazines

175:4

mail

39:16

mailing

73:12,23

major

80:22

majority

82:2

maker

89:21 145:4 233:24 234:17

makers

39:12 66:8,11,22 106:9

making

29:7 79:9 127:5 128:5, 8,17,21 135:14 137:16 139:20 156:17 157:18 179:17 189:13 231:14

Malkovich

218:2,5

man

186:18 190:13 191:11 201:14

man's

39:13

manifested

99:18

manner

44:15 156:7

Manny

236:5,16,18 237:13

Marcel

163:24

March

217:23 219:20

mark

17:15 77:18 78:5 200:18 238:12,14

marked

3:18,21 15:12,17,23 16:6,12,15 17:19 97:5,7 152:24 153:4 200:20 217:8,19 238:18

market

19:2,10 48:10,13 49:6, 8,18,19,21 50:2,7 60:7, 16,24 69:11 70:18 89:18 115:12 163:20 167:2,3

marketability

48:24

marks

74:5,11 152:7,13 216:4, 11 241:9

markup

88:7

Masuda 13:15

material

3:8 17:15 19:17,18 33:17,20 39:15 48:23 87:4 90:7 129:23 192:15 193:18 211:19 227:11 230:5 234:19 240:15,19

materials

20:5 60:10 110:9

126:15 144:7 148:22 Meverowitz moderate 225:10 226:18 240:13 12:12 210:21 meaningless 224:15,22,25 225:2,14, **Matisse** Michelangelo modestly 134:9 137:2,7,14,25 212:13 237:16 16.17 Michelle modified matter means 4:14 12:15 45:19 141:9 38:3 40:11 58:15 132:2 217:2 116:21 174:10 214:10 137:19 150:16 223:8 microphones modifying 74:4 152:6 96:2 matters meant 12:17 24:10,11,12 25:7 150:9 225:19 microscope moment 236:25 Mcnatt 122:7 18:11 74:3 138:3 152:5 4:18 19:5,7,8,10 51:13, measure 237:22 241:7 Microsoft 116:2,4 15 53:6 54:6 55:2.9 84:20 monev 56:9,11 57:10,22 58:19 measurement 36:2 162:11 172:2 59:11 65:18 66:14 67:7, middle 45:4 116:14 17.21 68:8.10.11.16.18. 218:18 monkey 19,24 69:5,16 73:13 mechanical 156:19 157:7,15,17 Migrant 82:12 96:23 116:3 155:19 213:9 158:3 218:15 117:25 119:19 127:10 media monkey's 148:2 151:16,17 153:22 Miller 34:23 64:17 68:21 157:13 163:9 192:8,17,23 218:9,10,11 219:20 77:12,23 126:22 146:15 193:17 194:8,12,25 monochrome 147:8,22 148:4,15 millions 195:3 199:8 211:14 120:10,13 121:5,24 149:7,20 150:13 151:8 150:18 205:21 214:6 226:9,10 233:14, 123:8,10,19 168:22 169:13 180:16. 20 234:4,7,10,12,15 mind 24 182:6,16,22 183:3,5, monographs 235:16 99:12 101:18 132:15 8 195:5 199:24 225:6 10:23 239:19 233:8 Mcnatt's medium montage 53:12 55:24 68:13 minds 43:20,21 44:11 133:7 204:21 205:14 206:5 69:11 118:19 125:17 168:3 208:9 months mean mine medium's 13:11 238:21 9:24 24:21,24 25:16,22 30:2 37:7 90:17,19 44:12 49:8 57:4 58:11,12 mood 128:3 135:12 137:3 65:21 79:24,25 81:14 meet 201:3 240:4,5 186:9,10 83:25 95:5,6,10 96:12 97:16 minimal Moore 103:11 112:24 115:2,3 member 116:13 208:17 5:15,20 120:24 121:19 122:15 23:5 74:15,17,18,23,25 124:14 126:19 128:10 minute morning 75:4 76:8 226:21,25 131:20.25 134:6 135:7 73:7 202:14 237:20 4:2 6:10,11 137:24 138:9 141:18 members Mother minutes 149:25 161:13 163:3 38:22 130:25 215:25 218:15 168:25 169:23 170:3 membership 172:14 180:21 185:22 mischaracterizing motion 76:9 187:3 190:4,14,24 207:7 82:9 191:12 192:18,20 193:4 memory misreading motivation 195:24 197:11,22 207:18 203:2 142:24 219:21 200:23 203:25 205:7 mentioned 207:21 222:24,25 mix motives 34:7 38:12 223:16,24 224:17 142:21 144:11 225:7,16 227:8 232:17, merit mouth mixing 164:17 144:13 116:24 meaning method mobile move 75:19 91:24 96:15 184:15 196:3

145:5 223:18 224:3

197:22

130:22

moved

222:9,11

moving

215:18

multiple

4:14 191:17

museum

10:21 30:7,10 31:21

museum's

139:6

museum-goers

139:2

museums

139:2.5

music

110:16,21,22 111:7,14, 15 144:15 147:3,4,6,8

148:25 225:22

musician

93:12

Mutt

164:6

Mutt's

164:7

myth

39:10 107:3

N

Nagy

5:9

naked

184:13,17

name

6:12,14 7:24 9:6 11:16 27:24 40:3,19 46:20 52:13,14,15 53:12

55:24 57:15 78:24 146:23,24 157:7 164:4,

7 165:18 166:15 167:8, 9 13 21 168:14 190:8

9,13,21 168:14 190:8 217:3 236:15,25

named

7:23 41:20 97:24 98:25

219:16

names

46:19 52:16

narrow

26:10,17

narrower

55:20

Naruto

157:7

Nate

216:15,18

national

34:20 35:2 38:19,23 40:19 41:5,19 74:16,21

76:16 98:17

nature

10:13 14:15 18:25 19:8 44:10 47:23 48:2 79:11

170:13 173:23

Nearby

90:15

Nearbycafe.com

152:25

necessarily

36:15 61:4 85:13 90:9 120:23 124:10 154:12

156:8 163:3 184:13 186:9 193:6 199:13 206:9 224:17 225:17

need

58:10 71:14 91:19 92:9 96:10 129:21 133:2

140:16 159:23 193:12

needed

166:13 239:6

needs

156:6

negate

220:4 221:4,6,11

negative

60:6,16,23 61:5 71:3,21

115:11

negotiate

86:6,7

negotiated

86:12

neither

167:24

never

30:20 50:24 53:14 57:22 71:19,23 79:2

83:15 94:19 98:19 132:15 137:6,15 185:14

194:19,21 229:9 233:8

new

4:6,7,11,12,23 6:19 9:7, 8,12 37:6 40:24 42:19, 23 77:15 79:10 85:24

90:6 100:15 130:22 144:18 165:3 215:19 238:8,15 239:25 240:19

news

58:2,13 174:14

newsletter

90:16.19

newspaper

174:12

newspapers

175:4

Nicole

5:14

night

16:20 17:4,5,16 238:7

nine

32:3,22 33:3,13,23 90:23 91:4

non-

acknowledgment

62:11

nonmaterial

92:6

nonsense

223:2

normally

176:25 180:6

Notary 5:25

note

87:4 109:6 132:17 190:2 220:14

notes

23:20

Notice

3:5 16:2

noticed

239:2.5

notify

239:6

nowadays

88:3

number

4:16,18,19 7:6 24:6 41:20 56:4 74:6,12,18 100:11 143:21 152:8,14 156:16 162:17 177:23 212:14 216:5,12 232:10

241:10

numbers 15:15 150:3

numerous

112:8

0

Obama

236:9

object

91:21,25 96:9,13

objected

101:10

objection

20:15 21:19 22:16,21 23:13,20 24:4,22 25:20

26:12,19 27:8,21 32:20 34:11 37:25 41:3 44:5,

25 48:5,15,18 52:20

54:10 55:13 56:13

57:18 58:4 61:2 62:9,24

64:6,10 65:4,14 67:8 69:24 70:21 71:4.12

72:11 81:19 83:23 90:8

101:7,9 103:23 121:8 129:11 160:24 170:19

173:10 180:3 181:17 182:17 191:2 200:3

207:3,5,15 objectionable

183:2 objections

23:15 101:17

objects 118:14.15

observation

125:23.25 129:13.14 143:17 220:6

observe

181:15

observer

120:13,17 159:8,14 160:20 161:4,14 165:25 166:7,8,19,24 167:12 168:17 172:7 180:12, 14,20 181:8,22 198:19 199:7,14 225:18 226:16

observing

154:7

obviously

8:20 239:12

occasion

53:4

occasions

28:16

occupy

180:4,5

occurred

208:19 209:19 211:8 212:2

occurs

212:25

offered

162:11

offhand

84:5 108:10 109:5 134:2 208:4

offices

4:10

Oh

13:24 33:5 77:14 138:18 160:18

okay

7:2 9:9 13:6,17 14:9 15:14,21 16:25 19:18 20:8 22:5,10 23:10 26:5 28:21 29:19 32:21 33:10,15 42:21 44:17 50:11 53:3 56:22 62:5 63:2 64:3 67:14,16 69:13 73:20 76:7 77:6 78:14,15 79:12,15 84:8 93:10 94:17 96:11,21 98:23 103:21 108:2

113:3,18 117:13 121:13 131:3,14,16 132:14 134:5 172:13 173:16 177:16 179:9 181:20 182:14 183:6 190:11 199:10 201:20 202:25 209:17 211:23 213:25 215:21 218:7 219:5 224:10 225:21 233:3 236:3 237:18,21 238:7

6:20 199:16

239:9 240:10

older

199:19

on-line

33:19 53:15,23 54:2,9, 16 56:18 59:2 66:16 67:20 88:3,4,5,16 91:9 145:17,22 187:10 208:21 209:21 212:4

once

40:5 68:23 77:18 135:12 214:23 232:21, 23

one's

105:25 129:23

ones

36:12 176:4,5 191:24 232:3,4

ongoing

42:11

open

26:13 199:4

operation

193:10

operator

4:4

opine

21:5,17 42:3 47:23 48:9 49:3 167:14 170:17 206:22 218:24

opined

21:9 79:19 202:18 218:21,25

opining

70:16 165:25 166:18,23 167:3,6 177:11

noinigo

9:22 12:17 24:9 26:15, 16 51:16 57:19,20 58:6 60:15,22 61:25 62:7 64:20,25 65:6,15 68:4, 12 69:3,25 70:2,3,5 71:25 72:3 81:2,18,22, 25 82:8,23 83:4,5,10 84:10,12 95:24 109:20 110:3 117:21 119:2,4,6, 7,10 125:3 132:24 140:18,19,23 141:7 154:5,6 166:20 170:10 172:11 175:6 176:8 177:17,18 178:4,14,18, 23 179:2,5,11,12 180:11 193:2 199:11,18 200:5 203:14 204:25 205:3 206:24 209:6 211:7,23 221:15 222:3 223:18,21 226:12

opinions

23:9,11 39:6 40:13 43:18 59:19,22 60:9 79:18 81:5 83:8 114:5, 8,10,13,21 115:4,6,15, 21 172:17

opportunity

111:25

opposed

96:13 135:16 196:19 205:21 211:9 230:7

opposite

11:8

order

30:17 160:13

organization

75:17,23 76:8 176:21

organizations

34:20,21,25 38:13 76:10,19 77:3,4

original

22:8 45:4 48:24 67:22 68:20,25 80:2 90:7 97:19 116:4.8 118:17 145:5 147:23 148:3 162:11,24 175:17 176:7 177:22 183:17,24 186:10 208:19 209:20 211:8 212:2 220:12 229:10 239:23

originality

106:3,8,9 107:3 114:25 144:8,12

originally

42:8 66:10 87:14 146:6 168:23 178:7 182:7,22

originals

118:3,24 119:9 184:7,9, 21

output

105:25 129:9

outset

78:4

outside

53:10

outsourced

86:17 123:13

overall

80:15 83:10

overlaps

75:25 oversight

239:3

owned

188:8 192:25 193:13,22 234:23

owner

27:12,13,16,18 28:2 107:15

ownership

107:5,7

owns

195:3 235:4,7

Ρ

p.m.

152:8,15 216:6,13 219:19 237:24 238:6 241:12

page

3:2 18:4,14 77:21 87:12 98:3 186:15 204:5 218:13 219:15 239:4,7

pages

63:7 84:2

paid

192:22

paint

90:10 212:16

painted

171:22

painter

96:16 127:17 128:10, 11,13,15,17 130:6 134:17 136:9,10 139:22

painters

133:11,17,19 134:13 138:7 140:8,21,25 141:3,9,23 142:2,7,13

painting

3:15 46:11 89:23 90:4 91:17 92:25 93:7 94:10, 19,22,24,25 95:5,21 96:2,4,17,18,24 110:18 111:3 127:15 128:7,14 130:5 134:16 136:4 137:14 139:12 141:14 145:23 149:22 150:14 151:20 153:2 171:21 173:13,17,18 176:14 177:9,10 179:24 181:9 199:2 205:5 226:9,10 228:20 234:14 235:17

paintings

83:19 92:16,18 93:12, 16,19 112:18 117:19 118:2,25 123:2,8 134:10 138:17,22,24 139:3 140:9 142:2 160:22 162:10,15,22 163:2,8 164:22 167:4, 13 168:11 171:17,21 172:9,12,14,16,19,23 173:24 174:3,8 175:7, 10 177:20,23 178:21,25 179:4,8 180:22,24 184:10 185:24 186:6 199:14,25 200:7 227:13 233:17

pair

213:14

pallet

168:22 182:6,22 184:6, 12,15

panel

38:18,20 39:6

panels

23:6 34:8,9,19 38:12, 13,15 42:2 100:19

paper

67:20 68:10,18 87:12 145:12 192:7,22,25 193:16,21

paragraph

18:13 20:9,17 21:16,23 22:11 44:19 59:18,20 79:17 99:10 106:21 109:6 113:18 115:5,22 123:22 126:8 130:20,22 131:19 132:17 143:16 153:20 159:5 168:19 181:21 182:12 186:14 192:6 195:21 197:25 200:10 209:15 215:17 222:7,17 223:19 227:3, 21 233:4,12

paragraphs

131:6

Park

4:11

parodic

219:24 220:10

parody

219:18,23 220:8,14,19

parole

10:13 11:14

part

12:10 19:17 23:4 39:20 106:9 177:6 178:7 181:4 202:2,3 218:18 239:23

partial

16:22

participant

23:5

participate

35:7

participation

27:3

particular

12:13 46:24 49:3 54:13, 16 56:23 59:4 99:3 126:3 130:17 147:5 149:7 159:22 160:21 177:13 179:24 180:8 206:4 211:24 231:20

particularly

109:22 136:6 142:22,23 143:15 155:10,16 198:12 226:7

particulars

100:2

parties

32:25 33:2,14 175:25

partly

187:13

parts

32:11

party

12:3 32:6 34:2 156:13

passage

88:17,18

passes

155:15

passing

83:14

paste

88:20

pasted

96:23 185:11

pay

29:10

paying 94:10 111:7,16

payments

35:19 37:22,23

payout

98:4,9 99:3

pays

193:14

pedophile

10:13 11:4,17 12:24

Peles

5:14 8:12,17 16:19 17:5 20:15 21:19 22:16,21 23:13 24:4,22 25:20 26:12,19 27:8,21 32:20 34:11 37:25 41:3 44:5, 25 48:5,15,18 52:20 54:10 55:13 56:13 57:18 58:4 61:2 62:9,24 64:6,10 65:4,14 67:8 69:24 70:21 71:4,12 72:11 73:20 74:2 81:19 83:23 90:8 101:7,10 103:23 106:23 121:8 129:11 130:21 131:8,14 160:24 170:19 173:10 180:3 181:17 182:17 183:17,20 191:2 200:3 204:11 207:3,6,23 215:18 216:2,11 217:11

pending

42:17

people

28:16,23 31:9,13,14,15
64:18 68:7 75:18,24
78:8 90:10 102:7
104:15 110:14 119:24
124:12,13,14 126:23
138:4,25 148:14 149:6
151:7 160:17 161:19,20
165:9 169:8 180:22
187:20 190:18 191:15
195:4 198:19 199:19,
21,22 205:9 209:25
212:10,14,23 224:3,5
225:11 236:24

people's

39:4

perceive

167:5,7

perception

68:14

perfect

240:10

performed

153:22

performing

56:19

period

34:14

periodic

153:11

periodically

14:21

periodicals

240:5,7

periods

107:8

permanent

143:11.12

permissible

93:24 103:18 129:19 138:20 139:4,16 195:7

permission

9:19 28:17,24 30:18 31:24 45:12 49:24 135:20,22 139:11,14 195:13 233:23

permissions

195:12

permit

39:3 47:19

permitted

45:16

person

104:3 156:11 158:20, 21,24 175:16 191:13 192:3 199:3 226:17

person's

58:8

personal

50:19,22 78:9 79:14

perspective

120:23 135:21

persuade

61:12

pertain

81:6

pertains

22:23

phenomenon

142:11

Philips

102:10

phone

197:16,19

photo

12:13 14:22 55:3,21 59:11,12 68:20 75:18, 25 90:23 95:25 96:2,13, 14,16,23 100:12 117:25 121:25 127:15,18,19 130:16 154:11,19 156:3,8 157:14 158:9, 11,13 188:18 190:20 195:4 203:17 210:4 212:24 215:6 219:8 226:20 228:4 234:7,8, 11,13,23 237:11

photocopied

84:2

photocopies

119:17 123:5

photograph

9:17 21:3 49:17,24 50:4 91:16,19,23 92:25 94:10,13,15,18 95:8,10, 18,19 96:4 116:19,20 117:6 120:14 121:5 128:7,14 130:4,11 139:12,18,20 141:13 145:16,21 156:5,18 174:13,19,21,23,24,25 175:8 192:23 193:2,14 194:9 201:17,19,20 202:6 203:8 205:20,24, 25 210:9 213:10 219:3 235:4,5 236:12

photographer

3:14 7:23 51:9 67:22 68:25 69:18 128:7,12 134:4 139:11 153:2 154:10,19 156:23 202:2 218:4,8,9 220:14 235:7 236:5,12

photographer's

50:3 51:10 58:14

photographers

12:12 34:22,23 49:20 61:13 65:8 70:13 72:20 122:10 133:10,13,20 138:10,16 140:9,16,20, 24 141:4,8,12,22,24 142:12,20 148:4 154:7

photographic

12:9 34:24 75:5,8,11,13 92:19 95:6 96:8,9,12 117:22,23 123:7,18 130:10 172:18 177:24 179:16 180:8 228:3

photographs

10:10,11,14,20 12:15 22:24 23:3,7 57:7 58:20 59:9 66:8 70:18 71:20 92:17 93:17,19,22 94:8 117:19 118:24 119:25 133:17 138:6,23 140:8 142:2 155:17 160:21 161:18 162:11 163:9 173:3 174:9 175:3,11, 12 179:7,15 185:25 186:10 188:4 194:4 205:22 208:8 210:2 218:2,6

photography

10:16,17,23 12:13,25 14:17,19,23,24 30:4,7 43:20 49:5,8,15,19 52:19 60:12 75:17,23 81:7 83:13 90:12 91:5 110:17,24 120:5 122:11,12 133:4 138:4 142:6 143:2,6 153:21 161:21 167:2 170:16,25 236:23

photojournalistic

95:14

photos

11:5 59:2 69:17,19 70:20 71:11 119:19,22 126:24 127:5,9,11 154:25 162:16 188:12, 15 189:21 190:24 213:20 233:17 236:22

physical

26:3 91:24 92:2,5,12, 14,17 96:16 130:11 185:8

physically

96:17

Picasso

95:15 134:9,22 137:2,6, 14

pick

87:22 174:12,13 228:7

picked

228:23 230:11

picture

120:25 121:2,4,23 127:3 146:12 149:8 155:14,23 156:23,25 157:25 158:4,13 193:23 210:6 218:14

pictures

77:11 138:16,25 180:19 210:6

piece

87:2 166:11 199:9

pieces

219:24 229:19

pinpoint

174:19

pipe

202:9,16

place

10:15,16 43:7 52:22 182:3 217:4

placement

198:6

places

167:12 209:2 214:7

Plaintiff

35:14 38:5,8 43:14 50:15,20 97:24 98:25

Plaintiff's

12:10

Plaintiffs

5:16 7:15 18:21 21:24 25:9 35:13 41:21 61:8, 19 207:21

Plaintiffs'

18:15 19:13 59:23 60:2, 4,8,17 62:12 79:20,22 102:19,23 112:12 115:6,9,12 159:10 181:23 182:7,23 198:2 202:21 203:6 208:14 209:4

platform 84:17

platforms

77:13 **please**

4:24 5:20 6:12 16:16 18:17,19 23:25 59:20 74:4 101:23 106:21,25 113:18 143:20 152:6 153:20 159:5 217:21 237:23 238:13

pleases

129:10 plural 190:14

Poe 5:4,7 238:9

poem

45:23 46:3,4 47:4,7,16

poems

45:21 46:16,19,24

poet

46:20 47:3

poets 46:21

point

15:7 30:6 69:15,23 73:10 74:8 76:25 85:3, 4,7,23 86:2 104:22,25 108:8 109:8,25 110:2,5 130:18 147:10 152:10 157:11 158:11,19 184:23 213:18 216:8 232:24 237:20 238:2 240:17

points 169:4

policies

139:6,8 policy

112:16,25 113:2,3

poor 39:13

porn 12:23

pornography

7:17

portion

46:12 60:2 79:20,24 80:9,11,12 115:8 210:19,20

portions

40:2 82:5,6 240:2

portrait

151:17 228:2 230:2 235:10

Portraits 165:3

position

145:6 155:21 175:11,20 180:6 187:2

positions

74:21 240:8

positive 71:2

possession

96:17

possibility

111:13 185:14 198:22,

possible

8:15 45:22 51:8 95:25 106:8 118:25 125:5.7 126:19 141:16 144:8 188:14 189:23 200:4 220:11

possibly

82:25 162:20

post

3:13,19 66:19 76:14 79:10 88:4,5 102:3 152:25 153:6,17 175:17 178:7 181:9 196:25 204:8 209:25 217:9,22 218:21 227:24 229:10 231:16

post-secondary

14:22 75:19

posted

64:17.18 67:19 68:21 145:18 175:16 187:10, 11 196:4 205:5,10 209:2 210:4 217:23 219:17

posting

208:16,19,25 209:20 211:9 212:2 229:16,18,

postmodern

25:5 99:16.24 100:9.10. 21,22 101:2,5 102:3,4, 17,21 103:5,7,8,10,14 104:9,11,19 105:5,11, 12,14,17,18,21,22 106:15 107:4,13,16,20 108:3 109:8 111:22 112:14 113:20 114:9,24 115:3,16 123:23

125:12.17.19 126:5 129:3,17 131:5,11 135:18 162:2

postmodernism

126:7

posts

153:12 176:8 180:24 203:5 205:8 208:24 209:5 227:6,24 229:22 231:13

potential

7:9 60:7,24 173:22

potentially

50:5,6,7,12 161:6 174:22 194:6 219:11.13

power

61:18 89:5 198:5

practice

84:14 99:17 104:8,12 109:18 110:7 140:17 159:25 160:3

pre-blogware

90:18

precedent

112:24 113:7,9,12

precedents

112:8

preceding 175:15

precise

219:6

precocious

156:24

predictable

129:3,16

preempt

107:7

preface

110:10

prefer

88:23,25

premised

99:23

preparing

51:23 53:3,10 83:19

present

63:17 232:6

presentation

168:22 182:6,21 183:25 184:2

presented

62:13 68:17 82:14

preserve

207:14

preserves 23:16

President

236:9

press

41:24 197:13

presumably

26:6 215:7 226:19

pretty

95:2 122:14,16 128:5 131:10 215:8

prevail

107:10 111:22

prevailed

11:7,11 12:18,21

previous

145:3 174:24

previously

7:12 238:24

price

162:19

prices

129:6 165:7

primarily

14:16 140:7

primate

156:24

Prince

4:16,19 5:4,7,13 19:5 48:22 59:25 60:3,5,15, 22 61:20 62:7,18,22 63:11,20 64:7,14,23

65:22 66:15 67:2,19 68:15,20 69:16 70:9

79:19,21 80:24 82:24 83:3,18 84:10 96:22

99:18 100:5 101:4

102:5,6,11,15 103:21, 25 104:5,7,21 105:4 107:24 115:8,9,10 116:6,9 117:18 118:2 123:12,13 124:7 126:20 127:9 129:2,5,17 130:17 135:15 136:16 143:24 148:8 150:11 159:9 162:9,22 163:2,8 164:21 167:13 168:6,7, 11,21 169:12,19 171:20 172:6,19,22 173:23 175:24 179:19 180:22 181:22 182:9,16,20 183:9,14 184:10 191:6, 7,25 195:14,22 196:3 198:3 202:20 203:5,16, 21 204:8.20 205:2.13 208:7,22 211:12 212:6, 22 213:4,5,19 214:10 220:22 222:18,20 223:4,7,15,22 224:6,11, 13 227:13,20 228:6,20 229:20,22 231:21 234:3,7,10,13,22 235:11,12,15,22 238:9

Prince's

62:5 63:8 65:17 70:17 71:19 72:22 99:19 102:19,22 105:15,19 112:17 118:17 124:5 126:9 136:4 149:21 151:9 163:19 165:3,18 167:8,9 168:14 169:6 171:17 175:6,14 177:12 178:7 181:25 182:5 184:24 196:22 221:16 233:5,13

Prince-graham

18:22,24 19:2 22:2 24:18 25:11 44:21 48:10

Prince-mcnatt

19:8,9

print

87:11 91:24 92:3,15 119:24 120:5,6,7,13,15 121:16,25

printed

87:12 98:17 120:15 121:3,4 122:2 123:8,19, 20 183:13 184:11 231:18

printer

87:11 120:15 122:20,23 123:9

printers

119:25

printing

122:2 123:13

prints

92:17 118:19 121:11,12 122:14,15,17,18,22 123:15

prior

51:12,18 52:2,8,25 57:21 215:23 231:14

privacy

128:23

private

10:22 49:12

probably

34:15 52:16 77:4 85:15, 19 87:7 88:3 106:19 157:22 162:3

problem

140:8

procedure

213:9

proceed

6:5

proceeded

30:21

proceedings

74:8 152:10 216:8 238:2

process

90:5 120:7 122:2 144:23 178:15 196:9 208:16,25 209:23 215:12 229:23 231:19

processing

84:23

produce

14:16

produced

44:12 46:21 238:16,20

producer

27:10

product

232:24

production

184:15

professional

39:23 79:13 84:14 161:18

professionally

6:15 76:21

profile

61:21 65:23 72:20 124:3,8 132:19 133:23 134:3,13

program

84:20 88:21

programs

84:23

prohibits

45:13

project

78:7

prominence

51:4 65:17,23

prominent

12:11

promptly

29:15,17 33:20 77:20

proof

39:18 113:22 114:6,11, 18 115:17 125:11

properly

91:18 93:2 94:11

property

27:11 39:12 89:21 110:20,23 233:25 234:17

proportion

45:10 116:8

proportions

210:9,10

proposition

107:3

proscribe

39:2

prose

223:20 224:19 225:9,19

226:12,18

187:13

protection

106:17 107:14

prove

116:10,15 146:17 149:18 150:5,7 151:4 214:18

provide

8:16 57:15 104:16 156:5 158:7 200:13

provided

102:14 149:2 187:3 223:11

provider

33:21

providing

50:7 124:4 158:21

proving

114:20 115:21

proximity

185:8

pseudonym

164:7

psychodelic

89:2,4

public

5:25 14:25 61:25 65:9 68:7,14 69:6 79:9 110:13 128:21 219:3,9

public's

237:12,15

publication

31:6 58:7 83:16 86:11, 13 98:18 108:22 140:2 148:22 210:17

publications

14:19 33:19 49:16,17 58:19,23 76:23 81:16 239:22

publicity

50:8,12,17,21 51:8 55:6,12 56:10 57:2,5, 11,15 58:9,11 60:19 61:3,4,5 68:5,12 69:4

publish

30:22 153:11 195:5

published

10:14 30:7,11 37:8 58:3 68:23 70:9 93:13 100:20 149:3 175:22 192:7,16,18 193:16,22 236:22 240:7

publisher

7:24 9:6,20 30:16 85:9, 10

publishers

149:4

publishing

39:23 76:12,22,24 85:10,21 90:13,14,22

pull

197:12

purchase

168:5

purchasers

168:15

purportedly

233:6

purpose

18:21 19:5 21:25 22:4 24:17,20,25 25:10,16, 17,18,23 26:5,6 38:22 43:24 44:2,7 48:7

purposes

103:20 149:17 151:4 171:5 196:6 234:9

pursue

31:13

pursued

31:14

pursuing

29:15

purview

24:13

put

71:16 72:15 78:24 86:25 87:3 88:18,21 89:3,13 104:24 116:23 137:8 170:20 171:15 175:16 197:13 208:21 209:21 211:4 212:3

puts

8:22 143:24

putting

81:2 128:21 139:10

Q

qualifications

49:2.3

qualified

27:19

qualifier

221:21

qualifies

223:21

qualify

116:22 117:7 128:22 153:24 154:14,20 155:3 158:4,14 175:7 179:24 188:24 225:13

qualifying

119:3

qualities

122:19

quality

94:21 121:11 122:21 170:13 178:5,24 179:3, 7 187:17

quantitative

45:3 141:7

quantity

70:14,15

question

22:8,9 23:21,25 24:2 31:3 95:23 101:23,24 117:16 127:24 132:15 150:24 159:11 160:25 166:11 171:7,10 185:21 198:24 199:4 208:8 209:8 224:22,23

questioned

129:10

questioning

131:7 224:23

questions

23:17 63:24 207:10,12 215:22 239:11 240:21

241:2,6

quick

131:11 147:10 215:20

auicker

141:12

quickly

99:14 147:7,22,24 148:5,14

quite

7:14 11:19 88:2 106:19 157:24 222:10

quo

143:11,12

quotation

129:24,25 146:9

quote

40:9 45:23 78:12 104:24 117:9 130:17 145:11

quoted

32:13 45:11 147:23

quoting

46:4 47:14,16

R

radical

95:9,18 210:15,18 211:2,20

radically

87:13,17 89:14 94:23 95:4,7,11

raised

140:13 237:15

rank

133:10

rare

235:3,7

Rastafarian

59:12 127:2 186:18 190:13 191:11 202:8,16

Rastafarians

205:22

rastajay92

127:3 190:8 228:3 230:3

rationalizes

105:22

raw

129:23

reach

158:11

read

18:18 19:16,24 20:2 23:24 24:2 49:16 59:19 62:17 63:4,5 64:3 67:6, 9 81:12,14,15 82:7,18, 21 85:3 88:9,10 97:17 99:12 100:15,23 101:23,24 102:24 106:21,24 117:9 127:23,24 186:23 189:10 203:3 207:16,24 219:24 224:15,18 225:3 236:23

readable

84:22

reader

161:16,17

readily

66:7,11

reading

63:23 85:23 87:22,24 101:14 154:8 209:9,11

Readings

37:8

really

52:22 69:21 70:25 73:3 83:14,15 88:17 106:2 143:2 144:5 167:25 168:12 173:7 189:4,5 191:12 200:5 220:9 229:11,15 230:4 237:14

reason

142:18 228:13 232:3

reasonable

30:13 120:12 159:8,14 160:19 161:4,6,10,14 163:10,14,16 165:25 166:6,8,18,24 167:12 168:16 172:7 180:12, 14,20 181:8,22 199:7 225:18 226:16

reasonably

124:20 201:18 222:10

235:6 reasons 18:6 209:14 rebuttal 3:6 16:17 17:7 recall 7:25 8:3.21 9:6.24.25

10:3 11:18 12:18 13:4 36:4,11 38:20 40:16,18, 23 41:4,9,17,23 52:10 55:4,5 56:20 58:18,22 63:23 74:22 91:2 98:11 105:4 117:2 receive

received 63:9 73:15 238:25 240:9

17:3 223:12 239:24

receiving 239:12

recess 74:9 152:11 216:9 238:3

recognition 57:16 65:18,21

recognize 15:13,24 17:12 20:11, 19 21:15 97:21 99:2 151:8 181:4 206:2 217:9,22 226:14 231:24

recognized 147:24 165:4

recollection 36:17 216:21

recontextualize 87:8

record 3:20 4:25 6:13 18:18 23:15 59:19 73:10 74:7, 14 137:16 152:9,16 216:7,14 222:15 237:25 238:6 241:13

records 70:13,19 71:9 155:9

rectified 29:14,17

61:7 refer

redound

46:3.8 48:2 103:4 105:10 126:9 131:19 144:3 169:10.11 196:13 227:7 233:12

reference 137:15 145:2,24 146:3, 7 148:12,13 149:15,23 151:10 169:5 182:8 226:15

referential 151:11,20

referred 26:8 46:16 97:22 99:24 113:9 137:6 151:21 229:23

referring 47:13 102:8,25 103:8 126:11 130:8 132:12 150:12 169:3,15 190:5 191:3,5 231:11,12

refers 44:8,10,23 45:3 48:6, 17.20 105:12 135:18 146:4,6

reflect 162:20 refrain 101:17

refrained 29:15

Refresh 207:18

refused 66:3,4

regard 102:23 132:17 221:9

regardless 95:20 127:16 128:15 130:5

registered 36:13,15 37:9,11 39:16

registration 37:15,18

regularly 104:10 138:14 169:11

reject 157:9

relate 226:20

related 172:25 relating

180:7 relation

18:24 19:7 44:21 100:20 110:9 160:2 172:9,12 175:20

relationship 61:18

relationships 101:2 134:20 240:6

relative 72:18 relay

174:18 release

147:17 relevance

211:6 213:18

231:2 240:20

relevant 43:18 45:8 105:18 135:24 179:18 210:12, 14.20 220:23 222:2

relied 53:7

remaining 37:10

remanded 11:13,14

remember 40:19 42:22 43:2 46:23, 24 55:7 98:21 102:11 116:18

remix 144:11

remixing 144:6,14 remove

196:5

removes 108:20

rendered 95:14

rendering 26:16 62:14

repainting 136:9

repaints 136:4 repeat

22:8 repeatedly 219:20

repeating 71:21

rephotograph 230:22

replicate 183:23 220:11

replicated 95:2

report 3:6 16:17,22 18:10,13 43:23 44:19 51:23 53:11 59:17 79:16 83:2, 20 101:15,19 105:11 109:24 114:21 115:5.23 117:11 137:9 153:20

159:6 161:25 181:20 182:19 186:24 201:9 207:17 222:6 239:23

reporter 5:19 16:9 23:24 24:3 63:16 99:13 101:22,25 127:23,25

reporters 50:25 131:23

Reporting 4:6 5:20

reports 17:7 53:4 67:15 99:22 102:14,25 103:18 105:10 124:4 132:3 144:3 207:24

repostings 205:9

represent

10:24 12:3 97:9 99:5 207:23

represented

11:2,17 12:4,23 96:14

representing 180:24 238:9

represents

181:9

reprinted

30:2 58:20

reprinting 96:25

reproduce 186:2

reproduced

9:19 56:5 57:3,7,12 58:8,14 185:25

reproduction

46:11 83:24,25 93:14 187:17

reproductions 10:11 119:16

Republic

40:24 republish

republish 40:3

reputation 55:25 69:11,18

reputationally

129:8

reputations

72:10,17,21,24

request

14:7 18:20 19:12 21:24 25:9

requested

19:16 24:2 101:24 127:24

require 24:9

required 23:21

requirement

82:5 148:20 149:2

requires 160:14

resemble

175:19,21

reservation 240:25

reserving 240:18

resizing 213:9

resolution

186:16 187:7,11,22 188:19,25 189:5,6,11, 20 191:9

resolve 29:20

resolved

30:25 32:17,22 33:4,17, 25

respect

24:15 38:17 55:9 56:9, 14 57:9 67:5 71:10 77:24 78:16 84:10 105:8 116:17 124:14 142:13 143:3 162:4 172:15 174:2 176:14 182:15 199:23 200:23 203:15 205:18 219:21 228:20 230:2 234:6

responded 219:19

ospondonte

respondents 143:22

responding 172:25

rest

123:19

restaged 218:3

restaging 218:17

restrictions 211:16

result

51:4 55:10 56:3 57:24 196:14

resulting 141:4

retained

9:15 10:7 12:7 13:8,19, 20 52:8 207:19

retake 240:18

retract 125:15

reusages 187:14

reuse

61:14 91:17 140:25 149:12

reused

91:16 92:25 93:6

reusing 140:8,9

reveal 56:15

revealed 78:3

review

19:19 27:2 60:10 71:8 80:25 81:4 229:17,18

reviewed

20:6 22:25 83:15 99:22 170:6

reviewers 147:9

reviewing

240:13

revise 40:2

rich

84:21 85:5,6,18

Richard

4:16,19 5:4,7,13 46:21 62:18 99:17 129:4 136:16 150:11 163:18 167:8,9 171:16 172:22 173:23 235:10

rignt

9:14 13:2,13 15:11

20:18 23:16 29:22 30:23 31:10,16,18,23, 25 32:5,8 37:16 41:22 43:11,22 52:23 53:2 54:7 55:22 59:10 61:11 62:6,8,22 63:11,18 64:8,15,23 79:15 82:21 84:3,9 91:25 92:3 94:6 96:15 108:7,21 111:18 113:4 116:16 119:15,20 121:21 123:4 124:12 125:6,8 126:9,13,14 127:6,17 128:8 132:14, 21 139:13 146:8,11 159:3,4 160:9 161:3 163:6 164:12 166:4 171:14 172:15 173:25 174:6 177:16 178:2.12. 19 182:14 185:12 189:18 192:24 196:12 197:12,24 199:15 200:17 201:2,11 202:7 203:18 205:17,19 207:16 208:5 209:17 212:12,17 215:2 218:7, 16,18 220:16 221:2,17, 20 222:4,5,17 225:15 227:19 230:21 231:15 233:2,10 240:18

rights

39:25 86:11,12,13 107:8 127:11 194:4,5 235:4,5,8

ring 99:7

rings

52:13,14

risen 70:7

Robert 4:5

rock

225:22 role

35:10

ROM 148:23

Roman 85:25

roughly

75:14 90:23 91:6,7,13, 14

Roy

7:23 9:5

RTF

86:21

rule

46:14

rules 188:4

S

S-C-H-A-T-T

7:24

sale

162:12

sales

70:7,13,19 71:9

sample

111:6 144:17

sampled

147:3

samples

148:19

sampling

111:15 144:16,20,21,24

Sandro

218:10,11

sans

85:2

sarcastic

125:23 129:12,14

Saroyn

46:22

satisfied

232:23

satisfy

171:4

save

84:21 197:15 209:13

saw

98:12

saying

22:6 68:15 105:5

116:11 119:4,5 121:6 122:7,9 124:7 128:6 134:6 177:9 178:3,21, 22 180:10,13 190:11

says

76:5 124:12 204:20 205:13

scale

29:13 61:22 168:22 182:6,21 184:4 186:6 198:6 208:8

scenes

186:13

Schatt

7:23 9:5.17

schedule

98:10,12 99:3

scholar

234:18

school

75:21,22

Schwartzman

102:10

science

114:16

scientific

113:23 114:7,12 115:18,23 116:14

scissors

211:10 212:24 213:15

screen

85:4 183:10,12 187:23 196:24 197:7,8,9,14 208:22 228:15 230:18, 22 231:14 232:15,24

sculptors

133:12,18

se

107:4

sealed

39:17

search

53:4,21,22 54:5,12 55:19,20,23,24,25 56:3, 15,19,25 57:25 59:3,7 66:13,21 67:3 searched

53:14 56:2

searches

54:12 59:8

second

70:16 133:5

Secretary

7:20

section

181:11 203:8,17 215:19

230:20

see

10:3 11:16 18:5,9 22:5 27:14 32:3 34:17 35:14 37:13 43:22 47:17 48:25 53:9,20 54:4 55:8 70:7 73:18 77:2 78:15 79:4 82:15 83:17 88:24

79:4 82:15 83:17 88:24 92:22 93:23 98:13 103:15 113:24 120:8,

12,19 121:23 122:4,24 132:11 136:11,12 140:7 142:20 143:8 154:2,3

156:2 158:23 160:4 162:23 163:4,22 165:6 167:11 168:9 171:9.14

174:13 180:15 182:8 190:25 194:7 196:15

197:21 200:14 203:10 204:22,23 206:5

218:12,18 219:5,22,25 221:14,22,25 222:21,22 232:16 236:3 239:25

240:10

seeing 119:8

seek 30:18

seen

17:10 72:16,23 73:3 80:3 83:7,22 118:15,17, 19 119:4,16 120:11 123:2 133:2,15 164:19, 22 171:18 184:7,21 192:9 194:19,21 204:10 205:23 236:21 239:11

selected

104:15 175:24 176:22 228:7 229:22

selecting

196:10

selection

176:20 191:25 211:5 215:11 228:16 231:20

selections

232:22

self-addressed

39:17

self-contradictory

143:18

self-described

195:23

self-employed

14:12,13,14

self-evident

65:16

selfie

156:20 158:3

sell

171:25

sells 129:5

semi-retired

15:7 76:20

semicolon

203:12

seminars

23:6 27:3 43:12

send

28:22 85:11 86:14,16 87:9,10 89:6,10 239:7

sending

31:2 39:14

sense

90:3 92:12 113:23 114:7,12 115:18,24 124:16 157:2,3 173:4 177:9 197:19 210:14 225:12

senses

180:13

sent

16:20 17:4,5,16 20:6 33:8 73:12 238:8 239:3

sentence

109:7 113:19 132:16 short 125:2 signing 46:5 163:25 skirt sentences shot silver 201:15 224:14 197:14 228:15 232:24 92:15 122:17 separate small 228:21,22 230:8 231:7, shots similar 29:13 40:2,11 47:12 183:10,13 117:22 118:2,6,8,9 82:5,6 separately shoulder similarities smaller 178:8 141:25 142:6 136:12 37:22 46:12 series similarly smoking 93:11 97:13 174:23 15:11,22 16:5,14 97:4 109:2 151:16 59:12 202:9,16 217:10 219:22 114:23 152:23 174:14 simple snippet 204:3 217:7 206:11 serif 147:11 showed 85:2,24 simply so-called 139:24 serious 26:20 35:12 90:2 94:24 105:12 125:22,24 shown 96:22 114:8 127:5,14, social 169:4,17 15 144:13 175:18 serve 64:17 68:21 77:12,23 178:21 188:3 190:17 shows 112:11 107:11 108:5,17 109:3 205:8 220:10 225:2,15 104:13,15 113:15 126:22 146:14 226:13 serves 147:8,22 148:4,14 145:7,12 side sincerest 149:7,20 150:13 151:7 32:16 33:24 143:23 service 135:13 169:12,13 180:16,24 165:9 205:20 195:5 199:24 225:6 33:21 singer sides set 151:18 societal 112:8 78:17 86:8 92:12 111:23 112:15 single 153:23 Sierra 45:21,24 232:2,5 Society 7:19 34:22,23,24 75:2,5,8, sets sir 10,13 sign 113:2 6:10 15:13,23 40:15 39:24 40:6 164:3 setting 55:17 71:5 79:17 100:7 sold 233:24 110:20 116:15 137:5 162:10 165:19,20 100:2 signals 165:23 195:20 197:19 settled solo 122:22 98:25 Sistine 49:13 signature 212:13 settlement somebody 163:19,22 165:13 3:9 35:19 37:20 42:13, 77:10 155:20 166:15 167:14,21 13:3 105:3 189:3 14 97:10,22 98:4,10 song 197:12 229:13 230:13 sianed 147:5,14,16 148:10,11 seven 231:23 35:13 164:6 193:4 149:3,15,24 150:9,17 6:25 7:11 significance sites 151:22 226:11 Sexton 187:17,21 164:23 211:25 212:8 songs 5:12 significant situation 226:6 shared 29:14,17 112:12 225:13 37:21 38:3 41:10,16 Sonic 134:23,24 43:8 47:20 80:4,8,9 six 226:3,11,17,25 sharing 129:22 210:23 227:5 59:5 137:22 sorry significantly size 9:11 28:13 32:21 36:20 She's 116:21 117:6 84:4 85:25 89:6 187:18, 40:13 47:9 58:24 71:18 207:19 25 72:2 75:6 80:9 97:18,19 signify **Shepard** 109:10 211:15 101:8 113:3 115:2

236:8,13,19 237:11

skin

123:12 125:10,14

ALLAN D. COLEMAN - 07/12/2018 128:13 129:20 166:10. subscriber spitting statute 185:5,7 87:21 88:15 212:3 12 182:2 20:12,21 22:15,20 spoke subscribers step 34:25 75:25 104:3 88:2 133:2 208:21 209:21 31:21 38:15,18 123:24 Stephen subscribes spoken sounds 34:7,10 5:19 125:18 13:13 sponsored stop subsequent 38:19 29:6 140:21 222:12 113:10 195:7 source 135:19 146:10 148:21, sponsors stopped subsequently 34:18 29:21 194:13 sources springboard stopping substances 234:18,19 32:17 34:3 186:12 154:23 Southern Square straight substantial 4:22 42:18 9:18 222:15 60:2.16 79:20.23 80:9. speak 11,18 115:8,11 116:12 straightforward stand 8:8 49:10,11,20 118:18 208:24 36:2 124:11 141:21 224:11 225:3 substantiality standard stream speaking 18:23 19:6 44:20,23 158:10 232:19.21 4:5 51:3 91:20,21 96:8 substantially 167:18 175:23 standpoint Street 60:6,23 204:19 4:6 specialist substantiate 160:8 strike start 115:22 27:15 60:14 92:22 64:12 162:7 specialists 227:25 228:2 suddenly 161:22 stroke 165:17 starting 186:3 specialized 28:10,11 sufficiently 49:15 60:11 struggling 208:7 state 171:10 specific 6:12 11:9 70:3 132:16 suggest 19:12 46:14 58:22 59:8 studio 72:19,24 160:22 170:6 103:14 104:21 223:17 stated 128:24 139:23 212:23 199:5 210:22 24:7 71:19,23 103:17 specifically style 220:23 suggested 13:5 14:3 52:24 93:6 88:24 89:5 94:21 135:3 222:10,12 104:6,25 105:4 126:2 statement 137:14 186:3 225:5 131:21 132:7 133:19 27:4,6,7 70:24 114:3 suggesting styles 110:11 121:17 157:6 177:15 182:19 221:24 specifics 95:15 166:17 219:17 231:25 8:4 stylistically statements suggests specified 95:12 175:19,21 72:16 103:7 109:14 12:11 147:19 144:4 210:18 subject Staten specify 12:15 49:25 98:6.7.8 6:19 suit 130:9 113:21 114:6.11.18 35:3 41:6,7,18 97:20 States 115:17 156:6 218:5 specifying 4:21 141:20 235:4 240:22 suitable 146:3

subjective

submitted

127:16 157:13

12:10 16:18 17:24 18:2

67:11 132:2 203:22.23

stature

status

132:22

113:20 133:6 143:11,12

specious

speed

90:20

208:11 209:9,12,14

12:14

97:15

81:15

summaries

suits

summarize

59:18 115:22

summarized

115:4

summary

59:22 79:18

superimposed

215:5

supplied

53:8

support

207:20 220:13 221:7

supporters

100:5 101:5,12 102:8

104:2,4

supporting

99:20 124:7

suppose

58:17 70:6 124:9 133:18 188:17 189:25

sure

21:20 42:5 57:4 59:21 66:20 93:4 94:7 103:4,6

117:8 118:21 134:18,25 155:5,18 158:16 161:2

164:4 170:22 171:8

182:12 188:5 201:18 202:10,11,14,15 231:10

surface

96:18 116:2.5

surprise

78:8

surrounding

87:3 95:8 159:11

suspect

157:22

suspend

240:17

suspension

240:25

Sussman

207:17 208:3

Swaine

5:15

swear

5:21

sworn

5:24

synopsis

186:25 187:3

system

107:17,19

systems 25:6

Т

tacit

135:6

take

4:12 8:17,23 16:9 18:17 19:17,19 20:3 29:24

42:25 62:23 73:6 89:9 103:19 130:23 131:12

133:2 138:16 151:25 154:11 156:23 159:5,10

192:23 197:11 204:21 205:14 212:23 215:19,

24 217:14 224:25 225:2 237:19

taken

33:20 67:7 78:24 96:22 147:10,11,12 155:2

157:14 205:20

talk

51:15,22 118:13 119:18 142:20 161:17 168:20

200:10 222:18 227:3

talked

43:11,12,24 60:18 63:25 65:5 138:3

142:10 144:15 145:25

149:14,24 151:12

170:23 196:9

talking

59:7 115:13 118:14,20 126:3 130:10 149:10,11

150:3,17,20 178:9

190:8,10 191:17,19

200:14

Tasini

35:4,10,15 40:17,21,22, 23 41:5,9 50:20 97:20,

23

taught

100:14

teach

14:21

teachers

75:17,22

teaching

240:8

technological

87:18

tell

7:11 60:21 76:3 121:2 122:8 217:21 223:14

238:23

telling

40:23

template 86:20 210:7,10 213:10

templates

213:23 214:25

ten

28:20,21 29:5 31:15 33:8,11,23 73:7 77:5,6 130:25 215:24 239:22

tend

199:18 236:23

term

24:23 25:21 48:2 102:21 103:14 105:23 113:12 121:16 134:19 135:8 160:14 169:16

201:3,4 223:4,8,16 240:6

terminology

116:18 118:22 201:6

terms

38:25 79:5 103:13 141:16 158:8 165:7 170:4 174:18 175:19

181:14 198:5,10 199:17

240:13

test

20:12 21:18 24:16 49:4 70:4,6 114:22 160:20 166:19,24

testified

6:2 8:11 64:16 76:20 126:21 173:21 207:8 228:5 233:18 234:7

testify

27:19

testimony

26:25 150:6

text

84:21 85:5,6,18 88:20 89:3,15,16,19,22 90:5 170:18 173:23 174:3 175:15 177:25 178:4, 10,22,23 181:10 183:12 199:4,6 200:2 204:15

226:8,9

texts

90:10 169:8 175:13 178:6,8

textual

169:6 174:17 175:19 179:13 180:7 181:4

227:11

Thank

5:17 6:17 59:15 131:18

theft

135:13

theoretical

14:18

theories

100:11 114:13,22

123:23

theorist

60:12

theory

69:21 71:7,8,15 99:16 100:3,6,9,10,14 101:2,5

102:3,4,17,21 103:5,7, 8,10,14 104:9,19 105:5,

11,13,15,17,18,21

107:4,6,16,20 108:3 109:8 111:22 112:14

113:20,21 114:9,15,24

115:3,16 125:12,18,19 131:5,12 144:2 162:2

theory' 99:25

thing

39:13 56:16 92:2,5,12, 14,20,21 106:2 113:5 128:24 144:5 147:25

200:15 215:8

things

19:23 24:9 30:23 79:11 87:6 92:6 96:3 120:4 140:3 144:11 169:24 205:9 222:15

think

16:23 20:4,7 26:13 33:18 45:18,22 46:6,18 54:20 59:5 61:7 62:25 63:2 64:4 65:16 67:9, 12,15 75:15 76:16 78:12 80:15 81:17,21, 24 82:2,4 91:15,24 101:11 108:25 112:3,10 116:24 120:16 124:10 129:20 131:9 132:6 133:19,25 134:2,7 140:11 141:24 142:8.17 143:5,10,13 154:16 160:12 165:23 167:24 180:4 182:25 199:4 201:25 204:17 215:10 216:24 217:11 220:8 233:9,11 239:22

third

60:14 175:25

Thornton

219:16

thought

44:2 78:14 127:2 232:3 234:22

thousands

162:16 165:19 188:22

thread

232:19

threat

107:14,17 108:4,16

three

12:22,25 31:2

TIF

188:21

time

4:9 40:15,20 41:13 43:4 52:25 67:3,25 73:18 74:6,13 82:24 83:22 91:16 108:24 127:8 152:2,8,15 164:9,14,15 207:15 209:13 214:17 216:6,13 222:7 237:24 238:5 241:3,12

timely

36:13,15

timer

155:8

times

6:24 7:5,12 9:18 15:8 37:6 85:24

timing

155:22

title

87:2 173:14

todav

4:8 63:14 105:3 119:24 188:6,7 189:3 220:21 226:25 229:13 230:13 231:24 237:5 238:16,20 239:11,13 240:16,23 241:11

today's

16:3

told

25:12 68:9 98:16 105:9 136:7 226:9

tone

44:16 95:2,3

top

52:16 186:15 211:11

total

80:2 129:25

toto

31:11 33:6

track

70:13 141:19 166:5

Tracy

5:8

trade

174:15

traditional

121:24

trained

120:18

transcribed

63:17

transcript

63:5,8,24

transform

206:12 210:23

transformation

95:9,19 160:14 163:7 185:18

transformational

176:15 177:19 178:5, 17,24 179:3,6

transformative

60:3 79:21 84:11 115:10 160:23 170:17 172:8,19,23 173:23 177:13 206:8,19,20,25 220:24 233:7

transformed

87:17 88:6,8,11 89:15 94:23 95:5,7,11 159:9 181:23 208:7

transforms

85:15

translated

30:3

Traurig

4:11 5:3,6

travels

155:9

treat

90:2

trial

146:17 149:18 150:5 151:4 214:19

tribute

135:10

trick

171:10

tried

26:20

tries

220:10

tropes

129:3,16

trot

129:2

trotted

129:17

troubles

142:25

true

135:11 188:5 190:23 225:20 228:19 230:2 234:2

truly

78:13 141:8

trusting

77:20 78:9

try

161:15,17

trying

40:18 116:18 156:23 210:23 222:14 231:5

turn

89:9,11

turned

90:21

turns

127:18

TV

174:14

tweeting

79:5

Twitter

3:16 77:14 78:16,17 189:17 200:11,24 201:4,10 202:21 203:6, 15 204:8,18 205:8 214:3

....

13:11 53:18 59:8 60:20 61:13 66:23 70:18 71:10 89:13 104:4 118:24,25 162:6 163:15 174:3 175:6 206:12,15

type

14:4 84:25 85:25 89:2,4 154:25 156:8 158:10 171:3 172:5

typically 81:4 180:16

typist 99:14

typo

203:10

U

U.S.

109:9

ultimately

108:4 213:15

Um-hum

54:14 138:8 147:2 193:20 200:16

umbrella

126:7

umpteen

98:22

unauthorized

29:10 54:22 61:10,24 187:14 192:19

uncropped

213:20 214:11,15,19,22 215:3.5

undermine

69:3 109:2 112:14

underneath

174:15

underpinned

104:9

underpinning

105:5

underpins

111**0e**r) 99:17

understand

10:18 21:20 24:21,23 25:4,15,21 35:18 42:12 69:14 84:6 87:15,23 88:13 89:16,20 92:10 94:4 100:4 110:20 112:3 113:7 122:25 123:11 128:4 160:25 161:16 169:21,22 171:8,13 172:17 175:15 176:3 184:5 185:10 192:24 211:16,23 212:7 213:17 222:23,25 223:23 228:18 229:7 231:4 233:3

understandable

225:6

understanding

21:11 22:3 26:9,25 37:13,24 43:19 44:6,9, 22 45:2,7,9,14 47:5,10, 11,17,25 48:12,16,19 113:8 119:18,22,23 123:6 150:16 157:13 159:13 161:25 165:3 166:25 167:2 183:8,9 194:3,10,11 195:25 221:8,10 228:6 235:13,

understood

26:21 74:2 114:15 121:17 157:8,18 224:3, 5 225:19 234:8

Union

34:21 35:2 38:19,23 40:20 41:5,19 74:16,21 98:17

unions

74:24

unitary

122:21

United

4:21 141:20

universal

109:9

University

100:15

unloading

156:14

unmistakably

205:25

unpermitted

45:16

unproblematic

110:8

unregistered

36:16

untitled

173:9 235:10

untrained

122:3

updated

3:22 16:20 73:11,15 238:11

upload

153:16 187:16,19,20,21 188:11,15 190:20,22 210:6

uploaded

186:18 189:11,16,20 190:3,12 191:11,15 192:3 209:24 213:23 214:2,21,24

uploader

190:17

uploading

153:18 188:4

urinal

163:25

usage

61:9,10,24 62:10 93:21 109:14 194:6

usages

169:16 170:4

use

20:12,20 21:9,12,18,21 22:14,20 23:11,12 24:5, 16 26:8,10,18,21,22 27:20 29:7,11 31:10,16, 18,19,25 32:7,8,9,12, 16,18,22 33:3,14,25 34:3 40:10 45:10,13,16, 18 47:6,7,15,18,19 48:3 49:4 59:25 60:22 64:15 65:21 68:13 70:8,9,17 72:22 77:7,12 79:7,20 80:5 81:2,4 82:4 83:12 84:19 91:18 93:3.19.20 94:11,12,19 95:8,16,21, 25 96:4,5,20 97:2 100:5 101:5 102:2,19 103:6, 12,19 105:14,20 110:9 111:8,17 112:2,7,9,18 114:15 115:8 116:18,22 117:7,21 126:14 130:16 133:22 135:4,8,25 136:25 137:11 139:21, 22 140:18 141:13,25 149:21 150:6 151:9,15, 24 170:9 193:24 206:16,21 210:12,13,19 211:7,17 213:20 214:11,12 218:22,25 219:2,10,12,13 220:4, 19,25 221:4,6,7,12,19, 20 233:5,22

useful

77:10

user

190:7 198:12

users

85:14 181:3,5 199:17, 18,24 225:7 235:11,14

uses

27:22 69:15 71:20 101:11 105:19 113:21 195:7 220:24 223:15 234:20

usually

46:9 122:8 135:5 147:14,18,21 173:19 174:10,14 175:14 187:22 235:7

٧

vague

45:19

valid

39:19

validity

123:24

valuable

163:20 164:2,11,14

value

19:2,10 48:10,13 60:7, 17,24 69:12 81:20 115:12 160:21 162:21 163:2,11,16 164:8 165:5 166:9,16 167:12, 16,22 168:11 169:25 170:17

valued

162:17

varied

15:6

various

23:5 81:16 83:7 100:21, 22,25 104:12 112:8 169:3,15 170:5 187:14 191:15 196:2 240:7

variously

126:6 144:3

verbatim

101:14

verify

17:17 55:10,15

versed

66:15

version

16:21,23,24 86:15 88:16 194:13,16 204:3 213:20 214:11,13,15, 19,20,22,24 215:3,5 231:13

versions

53:25 80:23 120:10,11 183:10 186:12

versus

4:15,18 9:5 107:24 189:5

video

4:4 74:5,12 152:7,14 216:5,12 241:9

VIDEOGRAPHER

4:2 5:17 6:4 74:3,11 152:5,13 216:4 237:22 238:5 241:4,7

videotaped

4:12

view

49:23 65:12 80:4 93:20, 25 94:17 95:17 96:5,25 105:13,20 107:13,16 111:24 118:3,11 125:5 126:25 127:4,12 136:15 139:15 140:5 144:10 159:9 166:2 179:25 180:15 181:10,22 199:25 206:7 210:25 213:7 219:9,11 220:18 221:5 224:4 231:4

viewed

138:4 142:6 172:7 220:8

viewer

174:20

views

112:13 115:3 135:15

violation

96:20 139:21,22

virtue

56:9 128:18,20

visible

185:20

visual

46:8 180:8 198:5,17 227:11

vitae

238:11

voice 44:16

volumes

63:4,6

W

wait

70:16 202:14

wall

88:19 89:12,13 165:18

Wallace

102:11 105:11 131:24 169:5 208:6

want

8:23 55:17 79:17 88:18 94:6 106:23 116:23 118:21 131:4 157:12 171:11 212:7 219:14 228:12 240:21

wanted

64:18 110:4,10 114:22 232:4

wanting

126:25

wants

174:20

War

76:14

wasn't

71:8,15 212:5 215:15 236:25 237:3

Watch

74:4 152:6

watched

209:25

Watt

7:20 12:3,24

way

31:20 45:22 46:2 47:13 64:25 69:10 70:4 71:2 72:16 73:4 84:16 85:16 88:8,9,10 89:19 92:15 95:12 96:19 112:7 113:12,22 114:11,19 115:17,20 117:20 119:13 124:6 141:14 143:8 144:24 147:6 150:8,11 157:4 158:9 160:20 161:15 163:23 164:19,22 165:15,22 166:14 167:14 168:8 170:21 192:2 202:5 210:23 211:9

ways

7:14 81:24 86:4 100:4 118:10 125:2

website

55:5 90:14 145:19 189:12,14 214:3

websites

54:3

week

97:16

weeks

89:13

went

215:12

weren't

52:24 116:19 134:13 148:7 223:11 239:13,15 240:2,11

West

4:6

wet

122:11,12

what's

15:12 17:22,25 18:2 22:3 26:5 45:7,14 47:25 48:12,16,25 62:6 76:3 78:19 97:4 101:9 178:11 179:10 194:14 209:7,22 231:2

whatsoever

164:8

whichever

208:20 212:3

Whitaker

217:5

white

119:21,24,25 120:7,9, 15 121:2,4,25 122:17 184:10 186:5

widely

58:16 110:7 126:22 145:17,22 146:14,18 149:12,19,21,22 150:2, 4,8,9,12,13,17,20,21 151:6,7,10,18

widespread

109:10,22 110:12

Windows

85:15 86:22

withdraw

132:15

witness

5:21,24 7:3 131:4,16 182:24 207:11 237:21

241:5 woman

201:15

won

10:4

word

21:21 42:25 45:21 46:17,24 47:4,6 84:19, 23 85:6,15,19,20 86:21, 22 101:14 113:4 114:15 133:5 136:25 137:3,4,8 160:13 161:7 171:4 172:5

words

71:16,21,24 116:20,24, 25 117:3 137:17 196:8

work

14:15 15:5 18:22,23,24, 25 19:2,3,6,7,8,9,10 22:2 24:18 25:2,4,5,11, 13,19,24 26:2,7 29:21 32:18 33:6,13 34:2 39:3,4,24 40:6,8,10 44:10,20,21 45:4,5,11 46:3 47:19,24 48:7,10, 11,13,14,21,22 49:21,

24 51:10 52:5,7,25 56:11,18,25 58:8,9,14, 16 61:10,23,24 62:13 67:20 68:21,23 69:5,12 72:22 75:25 76:12 83:6, 16 84:17,25 85:17 89:11 102:19 103:19 105:23,24 110:14 126:10,18 128:9,16 129:23,24,25 132:19,20 133:23 134:3 135:19 136:5,9 141:4 143:25 145:3,4,9,10 146:6 154:7,8,9 160:23 164:2 167:5,7 170:8 177:2,3,7 182:2,16,20 185:21 191:6 193:4,9,11 198:3 202:13 210:24 211:13. 14 212:23 220:8,9,12 221:21,23 224:20 227:10 231:2 233:7,23 236:8,13 237:5,16

worked

122:10

works

3:10 28:7,14,15,17,23 29:7 31:9,10,15,17,19 33:2 36:16 43:15 44:3 46:5 47:12,18 48:24 49:22 57:3,12,17 58:2 59:23,25 60:2,3,4,5,8, 15,17,22,25 61:13 62:10,12,14,23 63:21 64:15,16 67:3 68:17 79:19,20,21,22 82:24 83:8,9 84:10 97:11 98:5,7,8 100:21 102:23 105:25 106:10,12 108:22 110:15 111:16 115:7,8,9,10,13 118:18 123:15 126:13 129:22 133:16 134:9 139:9 144:17,18 148:5 159:9, 10,11 162:6 165:17 167:8 168:6,21 169:7,9 173:9 181:23 182:5,7,9, 23 183:14,18,24 198:2 202:21 203:6 208:15 209:4 227:4,7,9,14 232:6

world

52:17 76:14 110:18,25 111:3 131:20,23,25 132:22 133:6,9 142:13 164:8,20,23 165:5,10

wouldn't

42:20 47:5,19 58:14 65:20 68:7 69:3 72:12, 13 95:21 99:11 119:12 136:10 138:12 142:3 145:23 149:23 150:10 158:14 160:6 163:7,12 171:19 173:14 180:14, 21 188:25 194:2,9 197:16 213:3 226:18,22 230:15 237:14

write

6:15 19:11 20:24 83:2 84:18 85:8 141:10,15 154:8

writer

77:9

writers

32:13 35:2,12 38:24 39:2,3,11,21,23

Writers'

34:21 38:19,23 40:20 41:5,19 74:16,21 98:17

writing

14:17,18 27:2 43:13 84:19 91:3,4,9,12 94:8 225:5

writings

105:2 140:14 175:6

written

22:22 30:15 37:5 43:14 83:11,12 100:21 140:12 145:13 153:9,13 154:22 171:3 172:5 177:25 178:4,6 193:10,12 209:7,14 235:22 236:14

wrong

125:6,8 133:5 182:2 205:6,16

wrote

30:6,9,10 59:20 106:20 153:7 224:13,19

Υ

yeah

76:21 78:11 84:3 107:24,25 176:19 182:4,15

year

15:5,8,10 34:14 36:2 43:17 76:17 92:24 94:7

years

8:14 10:2 23:2 27:10 28:5,8,9,12,14 31:8 34:16 74:19 75:14,15 76:10 77:5,6 78:18 82:17,19 90:23 91:4,6, 7,8,10,13 93:15 98:22 154:7 170:15 199:16 239:22

years'

172:24

York

4:6,7,11,12,23 6:19 9:7, 8,12 37:6 42:19,23 100:15

you're

22:5 23:12 67:25 76:18, 20 121:16 138:5,10 150:19 171:9 176:12 209:9 224:24 231:10

you've

22:13 28:6 34:7 53:14 91:3,4 122:25 128:17 161:3 202:19

younger

199:19,21,22

Youth

226:3,11,17,25

Ζ

Zuckerberg

77:18 78:5